

INVESTIGATION INTO THE EFFECTS OF COPPER BASED NANOPARTICLES ON  
SUGARCANE (*SACCHARUM OFFICINARUM*) AND  
ZUCCHINI (*CUCURBITA PEPO*)

CARLOS TAMEZ JR

Doctoral Program in Environmental Science and Engineering

APPROVED:

---

Jorge L. Gardea-Torresdey, Ph.D., Chair

---

Jason C. White, Ph.D.

---

Lixin Jin, Ph.D

---

Jose A. Hernandez-Viezcas, Ph.D.

---

Charles Ambler, Ph.D.  
Dean of the Graduate School

Copyright ©

by

Carlos Tamez Jr

2019

## Dedication

To Mom and Dad, I think I'm finally done with school.

*"Science is a way of thinking much more than it is a body of knowledge."*  
– Carl Sagan

PREVIEW

PREVIEW

INVESTIGATION INTO THE EFFECTS OF COPPER BASED NANOPARTICLES ON  
SUGARCANE (*SACCHARUM OFFICINARIUM*) AND  
ZUCCHINI (*CUCURBITA PEPO*)

by

CARLOS TAMEZ JR, MS

DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of  
The University of Texas at El Paso  
in Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements  
for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Environmental Science and Engineering Program

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO

May 2019

ProQuest Number: 13884024

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 13884024

Published by ProQuest LLC (2019). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code  
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.  
789 East Eisenhower Parkway  
P.O. Box 1346  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

## Acknowledgements

I would first and foremost like to thank Dr. Jorge Gardea-Torresdey for welcoming me into his research group and for all of his support while working on my doctoral degree. This project would not have been possible without his guidance and expertise. Additionally, thank you for all of the opportunities to travel and present my work to a scientific audience.

I would like to thank the members of my dissertation committee, Dr. Jason White from the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station for all of his helpful comments, suggestions, and for taking time to travel to both my proposal and final defenses. Dr. Lixin Jin, from the Geology department at the University of Texas at El Paso, your classes were the most enjoyable of my time at UTEP. I would also like to thank you for all of your helpful advice.

I owe a debt of gratitude to Dr. Jose “Pepe” Hernandez-Viezcas, his constant support is a shining example of the type of scientist I hope to become. Pepe always made time to discuss life, experiments, and his expertise in analytical instrumentation was invaluable. I will always consider him a good friend and a great person.

Thank you to Mariana Hernandez-Molina, the hardest working undergrad I have ever met. From setting up experiments, grinding samples, and collecting data, the work necessary to complete this dissertation would have been impossible without your help. You have a bright career as a scientist ahead of you and I wish you all the best in your future endeavors.

Special thanks to all the current and previous members of Dr. Gardea’s research group. To Arnab Mukherjee, Sanghamitra Majumdar, Ana Barrios, Cyren Rico, Jie Hong, and Nubia Zuverza, thank you for all of your advice when I first started. To Aidee Medina, thank you for all of your help with performing my last enzyme experiments, as well as for your friendship in and out of the lab. To Swati Rawat, Ishaq Adisa, and Reagan Turley, thank you for all the discussion about life, science, and the day’s events. Thank you also to Jesus Cantu, Diego Gonzalez, Carolina Valdes, and Kenny Flores for adding a reminder of home to the lab group.

Finally, I would not have made it through this experience with my sanity intact without the love and support of my other half, Esther Salinas. Through all the adventures we've shared, I could not have asked for a better companion and though we may be parted to pursue our careers I will always carry you in my thoughts and in my heart.

I would like to acknowledge that this dissertation could not have been completed without the financial support of the University of California Center for the Environmental Implications of Nanotechnology, the Chemistry Department and the Environmental Science and Engineering program at the University of Texas at El Paso, and the Center for Education and Training in Agriculture Related Sciences.

PREVIEW



## Abstract

The widespread use of copper-based nanomaterials has been accompanied by an increasing interest to understand their potential risks. Due to high surface area to volume properties, nanomaterials are more reactive than their bulk counterparts. Copper nanoparticles are used in numerous products, and could enter the environment through their synthesis or incidental use. It is essential to understand the effects of nanoparticles on edible crops by performing both short-term and long-term experiments at relevant exposure concentrations. In order to evaluate biochemical and physiological effects crops, sugarcane and zucchini, were grown in soil amended with: Kocide 3000 (copper-based fungicide), nano-sized CuO (nCuO), a bulk micron-sized CuO (bCuO), copper nanoparticles (Cu NP), and CuCl<sub>2</sub>. Briefly, our results show immature sugarcane plants increased their activity of stress enzymes ascorbic peroxidase (APX) and catalase (CAT), at varying concentrations. Concentrations of Cu in roots increased with treatment, with minimal translocation into aerial tissues. Mature sugarcane plants showed no changes in Cu concentrations in root and leaf tissues, but superoxide dismutase activity in sugarcane roots treated with Cu NP and CuCl<sub>2</sub> decreased by 55%. Zucchini grown for 3 weeks saw Cu concentrations in root, stem, and leaf tissues increase with rising treatment. APX activity in zucchini roots treated with Kocide, nCuO, and bCuO decreased 45%, while CAT activity in roots treated with Cu NP decreased 77%. Similarly, mature zucchini showed Cu increases in root, leaf, and flower tissues, with all applied treatments. CAT activity in roots increased only in plants treated with Cu NP at 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. In all studies, plant growth was unaffected by the applied treatments. Based on the results observed, sugarcane and zucchini displayed minimal negative effects upon exposure to copper-base nanoparticles at the tested concentrations.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	v
Abstract .....	vii
Table of Contents .....	viii
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Figures .....	xiii
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
Chapter 2: Biochemical and physiological effects of copper compounds/nanoparticles on sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> ) .....	7
1. Introduction .....	7
2. Methods.....	8
2.1. Nanoparticles .....	8
2.2. Growth Conditions.....	9
2.3. Physiological Measurement .....	9
2.4. Enzymatic Activity Assays .....	9
2.5. Chlorophyll Content.....	9
2.6. Copper Uptake and Nutrient Content.....	10
2.7. Statistical Analysis.....	10
3. Results and Discussion.....	10
3.1. Physiological Measurements.....	10
3.2. Catalase and Ascorbate Peroxidase Activity .....	13
3.3. Chlorophyll Content.....	16
3.4. Copper Uptake .....	18
3.5. Nutrient Content.....	20
4. Conclusion .....	23
Chapter 3: Uptake, transport, and effects of nano-copper exposure in zucchini ( <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> ) .....	24
1. Introduction .....	24

2.	Methods.....	25
2.1.	Copper Nanomaterials/Compounds .....	25
2.2.	Preparation of soil medium.....	26
2.3.	Tissue Harvest and collection .....	26
2.4.	Chlorophyll Content.....	26
2.5.	Enzymatic Activity Assays .....	27
2.6.	Copper uptake and Nutrient Content .....	27
2.7.	Statistical Analysis .....	27
3.	Results and Discussion .....	28
3.1.	Copper Uptake and Translocation.....	28
3.2.	Effects of Nano-copper on Plant Growth .....	30
3.3.	Chlorophyll Content.....	33
3.4.	Antioxidant Enzyme Activity .....	35
3.5.	Nutrient Content.....	38
4.	Conclusion .....	41
Chapter 4: Long-term effects of nano-copper exposure in sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> ): Uptake, transport, and effects.....		
1.	Introduction .....	42
2.	Methods.....	43
2.1.	Nanomaterials/Compounds.....	43
2.2.	Study design .....	43
2.3.	Chlorophyll Content.....	44
2.4.	Tissue Collection .....	44
2.5.	Sugarcane Juice Analysis .....	44
2.6.	Enzymatic Activity Assays .....	45
2.7.	Copper Uptake and Nutrient Content.....	45
2.8.	Statistics .....	45
3.	Results and Discussion.....	46
3.1.	Copper Uptake and Translocation.....	46
3.2.	Chlorophyll Content.....	49
3.3.	Ascorbate Peroxidase and Superoxide Dismutase Activity.....	50

3.4. Nutrient Content.....	52
3.5. Sugarcane Juice Yield and Quality .....	54
4. Conclusion .....	57
Chapter 5: Effects of nano-copper exposure in zucchini ( <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> ) grown to maturity .....	58
1. Introduction .....	58
2. Methods.....	59
2.1. Nanomaterials.....	59
2.2. Study Design .....	60
2.3. Tissue Collection.....	60
2.4. Chlorophyll Content.....	60
2.5. Enzymatic Activity Assays .....	60
2.6. Copper Uptake and Nutrient Content.....	61
2.7. Statistics .....	61
3. Results and Discussion.....	62
3.1. Copper Uptake and Translocation.....	62
3.2. Effects of Nano-copper on growth.....	65
3.3. Chlorophyll content .....	67
3.4. Ascorbate Peroxidase and Catalase Activity .....	68
3.5. Nutrient Content.....	71
4. Conclusion .....	74
Chapter 6: Conclusions .....	75
References .....	78
Curriculum Vita .....	90

## List of Tables

Table 1.	Altered nutrient content of sugarcane tissues exposed to Kocide 3000, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 3 replicates per treatment. Asterisk (*), significantly different from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	22
Table 2.	Altered root nutrient content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisk (*), significantly different from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	40
Table 3.	Altered stem nutrient content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisk (*), significantly different from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	40
Table 4.	Altered leaf nutrient content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisk (*), significantly different from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	41
Table 5.	Altered nutrient content of sugarcane roots exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisk (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	53
Table 6.	Altered nutrient content of sugarcane leaves exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisk (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	54
Table 7.	Altered root nutrient content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	72
Table 8.	Altered stem nutrient content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	72
Table 9.	Altered leaf nutrient content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ....	73

Table 10. Altered flower nutrient content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).....	74
--	----

PREVIEW

## List of Figures

- Figure 1. Physiological measurements of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub>. A) plant height and B) stalk diameter at the base. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 12
- Figure 2. Physiological measurements of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub>. C) Number of secondary shoots, and D) Length of longest secondary shoot. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 13
- Figure 3. Catalase (CAT) activity in leaves of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub>. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicated a statistically significant difference from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). 14
- Figure 4. Ascorbate Peroxidase (APX) activity in leaves of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub>. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 15
- Figure 5. Chlorophyll content in sugarcane treated with Kocide 3000, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub> at 20, 40, and 60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. A) chlorophyll A, B) chlorophyll B, and C) total chlorophyll. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 17
- Figure 6. Copper concentration in A) leaf, B) stem, and C) root of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub> at 20, 40, and 60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control, ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 19
- Figure 7. Copper concentration in A) the root, B) the stem, and C) the leaf tissues of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 50, and 200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Diamond (♦) indicates a statistically significant difference between treatments ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 29
- Figure 8. Total plant length (A) and root length (B) of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 50, and 200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Values displayed as mean  $\pm$  SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 31

Figure 9. Fresh tissue mass of A) root, B) stem, and C) leaves of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 50, and 200 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). .....	32
Figure 10. Chlorophyll content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 50, and 200 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . A) Chlorophyll A, B) chlorophyll B, C) total chlorophyll. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) .....	34
Figure 11. APX activity in A) root and B) leaves of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 50, and 200 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).....	36
Figure 12. CAT activity in A) root and B) leaves of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 50, and 200 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).....	37
Figure 13. Copper concentration in A) the root and B) the leaf tissues of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 20, 40, and 60 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). .....	48
Figure 14. Chlorophyll content of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 20, 40, and 60 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). .....	49
Figure 15. A) APX activity and B) SOD activity in the roots of sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 20, 40, and 60 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). .....	51
Figure 16. A) Juice yield, B) juice quality (measured as °Brix), and C) copper content of juice from sugarcane exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, and Cu NP at 0, 20, 40, and 60 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). .....	56
Figure 17. Copper concentration in A) the roots and B) the stems of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl <sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). .....	63



- Figure 18. Copper concentration in the A) leaves and B) flowers of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 64
- Figure 19. Plant length (A) and root length (B) of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 66
- Figure 20. Chlorophyll content of zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. A) Chlorophyll A and B) chlorophyll B. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 68
- Figure 21. A) Ascorbate peroxidase and B) catalase activity for zucchini exposed to Kocide 3000, nCuO, bCuO, Cu NP, and CuCl<sub>2</sub> at 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Values displayed as mean ± SE of 4 replicates per treatment. Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistically significant difference from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). ..... 70

## Chapter 1: Introduction

Nanotechnology is changing every aspect of science. Old technologies and ways of thought have been reinvigorated, thanks in part to the embrace of nanotechnology. Within that emerging world engineered nanoparticles have found a highly productive niche (Behzadnasab et al., 2011; Espitia et al., 2012; Horcajada et al., 2010; Hua et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2012; Koponen et al., 2011; Krishnaraj et al., 2010; Longano et al., 2012; Veisheh et al., 2010). Engineered nanoparticles are materials with at least two dimensions less than 100 nm (Bhatt and Tripathi, 2011). As the dimensions of a particle decrease, the surface area increases; an increased surface area allows a material to be more reactive, or otherwise display properties not found in their larger sized counterparts (Ma et al., 2010). Conventionally engineered nanoparticles are separate into two distinct categories, organic and inorganic (Peralta-Videa et al., 2011). Organic nanoparticles include: Carbon Nanotubes (both single walled and multi-walled) and fullerenes. Inorganic nanoparticles are further divided into: metals, metal oxides, and quantum dots.

Currently engineered nanoparticles such as:  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{ZnO}$ ,  $\text{CuO}$ ,  $\text{CeO}_2$ , Ag, and Au are found in a wide variety of commercial and industrial products. Sunscreens, food packaging, automotive paint coatings, and electronics are just a few of the everyday products that contain these vital materials. Of these metal-based nanoparticles only Ag,  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{ZnO}$  have applications approved by the FDA (anti-microbial bandages and sunscreens, respectively) (Sadrieh, 2005).

The ubiquity of nanoparticles poses distinct environmental challenges, especially at the massive quantities being produced. Keller et al. estimates the production of nano-copper and nano-copper oxides at 200 metric tons in 2010 (Keller et al., 2013). The fate of these manufactured materials was traced through the environment and was shown that approximately 25% of these materials were located in the soil, air, or bodies of water. The contamination of

soil and water with nanoparticles leaves plants, wildlife, and humans at risk of exposure with potentially dangerous side effects (Baek and An, 2011; Buffet et al., 2013, 2011; Gomes et al., 2011; Manusadžianas et al., 2012; Saison et al., 2010; Shaw et al., 2012). In addition to contamination via waste disposal, there is also an increased demand for authorization to apply copper-based nanoparticles onto agricultural lands and crops (Naderi and Danesh-Shahraki, 2013). These demands bring urgency to the need to study copper-based nanoparticles such as: Copper metal nanoparticles (Cu NPs), nano-sized CuO (nCuO), a bulk micron-sized CuO (bCuO), and the commercially available fungicide Kocide 3000 (Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>). Currently there is not sufficient evidence to determine the implications of these nanoparticle's application onto food crops over the entire life cycle of the treated plants.

Interactions between nanoparticles and plants have been well documented, but the research area has a sizeable knowledge gap when it comes to copper-based nanoparticles (Clément et al., 2013a; Hong et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2012; Schwabe et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). Previously conducted studies using copper metal nanoparticles (Cu NPs) in hydroponic environments have shown a 77% reduction in root emergence and a 90% decrease in the biomass of squash (Stampoulis et al., 2009). A similar study also found that the growth and transpiration of squash was reduced by 60-70% in the presence of Cu NPs (Musante and White, 2010). Both of these studies found similar albeit, less toxic effects when non-nanosized (bulk) copper was exposed to the plants. Cucumber seedlings grown in suspensions of CuO, Cu metal, ZnO, or Zn metal NPs saw a significant decrease in biomass (Kim et al., 2012). Plants exposed to Zn or ZnO NPs experienced a 56% and 42% decrease in biomass, respectively. Alternatively, cucumber seedlings exposed to nCuO experienced a higher decrease in biomass, 75%, compared to 33% in plants exposed to Cu NPs. Atha et al. found significant amounts of DNA damage to radish sprouts grown in the presence of nano-sized copper oxide (nCuO). This same study also found decreased growth in radish, perennial ryegrass, and annual ryegrass (Atha et al., 2012).

Hydroponic studies have also been published using core/shell nanoparticles. Core/shell nanoparticles are materials that combine a metal core with a metal oxide shell, or vice versa. Trujillo-Reyes et al. sprouted lettuce in suspensions of Cu/CuO NPs and found diminished chlorophyll levels, up to 14%, as well as lower biomass and up to 50% shorter roots (Trujillo-Reyes et al., 2014). These results were mirrored in lettuce plants grown with copper ions from CuSO<sub>4</sub>. Numerous hydroponic studies have been published using copper-based nanoparticles on different plants, ranging from aquatic weeds to maize, all obtaining similar results of diminished biomass, increased oxidative stress, and reduced chlorophyll content and photosynthetic activity (Hong et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2013; Nekrasova et al., 2011; Perreault et al., 2010; Shaw and Hossain, 2013; Shi et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012).

Servin et al. have demonstrated the uptake and translocation of intact nanoparticles, using hydroponically grown cucumber exposed to nano-sized TiO<sub>2</sub> (Servin et al., 2012). Using synchrotron and micro X-ray absorption near edge structure (micro-XANES) spectroscopy, Servin was able to confirm the chemical speciation of the translocated titanium to be consistent with TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Translocation of nCuO has also been demonstrated using maize grown in a suspension of nanoparticles. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images confirmed transport of nCuO from root to shoot in the plant phloem (Wang et al., 2012). Other studies completed with wheat grown in sterilized sand have further confirmed the uptake of nCuO into plant shoots (Dimkpa et al., 2013, 2012).

From the literary search conducted here, few studies were performed using soil as the growth medium. In one report lettuce was sprouted in soil amended with Cu NPs; the authors found no difference in shoot-root ratios, when planted immediately after exposure (Shah and Belozerovala, 2009). After a 15-day incubation period, higher concentrations of Cu NPs produced seedlings with high shoot/root ratios, indicating a reduction in root growth. Ebbs et al. looked at the effects of nCuO exposure to carrots grown in sand (Ebbs et al., 2016). Following 16 weeks of growth, the treated carrots showed no effects on biomass or root/shoot ratios when

compared to controls. The authors also found higher copper concentrations in the aerial tissues as opposed to the edible tap root.

It is clear that the vast majority of studies on the interactions of copper nanoparticles and plants are lacking in two serious ways: (1) The studies focus on plants grown in either a nutrient solution suspension or in soil medium that has been washed and/or sterilized. (2) The studies monitor only the effects that manifest during the early growth stages. This illustrates the need for experiments to be completed under more realistic conditions, using natural soil that has not been sterilized, with the effects of nanoparticle exposure going beyond the developmental stages and through the entire life cycle. It is also apparent for the literary search conducted, that more exploration of copper-based nanoparticle interaction with food crops is needed.

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) is a perennial grass with a life cycle of approximately 12 to 20 months, and is grown predominately in tropical to subtropical regions. According to the FAO cane sugar accounts for 70% of the world's sugar supply, over  $1.9 \times 10^{12}$  kg, making it the top commodity in 2016 (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2016). The United States is the 10<sup>th</sup> largest grower of sugarcane, approximately  $2.8 \times 10^{10}$  kg, with the majority of cultivation occurring in: Florida, Louisiana, Texas, and Hawaii. Although the US is one of the top 10 producers of sugar cane, the vast majority of cane production occurs in newly industrialized countries such as: Brazil, China, India, and Mexico. These four countries alone account for 68% of total production, making sugarcane an important crop on both the national and global stages.

In 2017 the average American consumed nearly 18 kg of refined cane or beet sugar (USDA ERS, 2018). The potential for the translocation of copper nanoparticles into the aerial tissues of the sugarcane plant could present two potential problems: (1) the presence of copper nanoparticles in the edible tissues of sugarcane provides a direct route into the food chain, and (2) nanoparticles accumulated in the leaf tissue of sugarcane could be redistributed in the surrounding environment. In many parts of the world the edible parts of sugarcane are directly consumed, either by chewing of the stalk to extract the sugary juices or by pressing the stalk to

make sugarcane drinks. Mechanized harvesting of sugarcane requires the removal of all leaf tissue; setting an entire field on fire prior to harvest does this. The incineration of leaf tissue with copper nanoparticles can contaminate the surrounding air, exposing field workers and surrounding communities.

Grey Zucchini is a variety of summer squash belonging to the species *Cucurbita pepo*. Domestic cultivation of all squash varieties in the US is  $2.6 \times 10^{11}$  kg, representing a product value of 1.92 million dollars (USDA NASS, 2015). Zucchini is consumed worldwide and can be a significant source of minerals (e.g. magnesium, potassium, and phosphorus) and vitamin C (USDA ARS, 2015). Because there are many varieties of zucchini within the *C. pepo* species, Grey Zucchini could possibly serve as a model for nanoparticle exposure. If it holds true that closely related plant species would show similar effects, then it can be expected that zucchini will behave similar to the closely related cucumber, which has already demonstrated uptake and translocation of metal oxide nanoparticles (Servin et al., 2012).

This study aims to understand the effects and implications of copper-based nanoparticle exposure to both sugarcane and zucchini. To the best of the author's knowledge research into the effects of nanoparticle exposure to sugarcane is completely lacking, and more investigation with zucchini done under soil-based conditions is warranted. Understanding the effects of copper nanoparticle can be carried out by conducting both short term (over a few weeks) and complete life cycle studies on sugarcane and zucchini exposed to varying concentrations of: Kocide 3000 nCuO, bCuO, and CuCl<sub>2</sub>. Overall plant health will be evaluated by determining nutrient content, via inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), monitoring plant growth, and biochemical analysis for chlorophyll content and enzymatic activity. The uptake of Cu into the root, stem and leaf tissues can be established using ICP-OES.

### **Research Objectives**

1. Investigate the potential detrimental effects of Cu NPs, nCuO, bCuO, Kocide 3000, and CuCl<sub>2</sub> exposure on sugarcane and zucchini.
2. Determine if nanoparticles will translocate to the edible tissues of sugarcane or zucchini.

### **Specific Aims**

- To measure physical changes (plant height, root length, and tissue mass) in sugarcane and zucchini exposed to copper-based nanoparticles.
- Determine biological effects of nanoparticle exposure by measuring biochemical indications of plant health such as: photosynthetic activity, oxidative stress indicators, and nutrient levels.
- Quantify uptake of copper in treated plants in comparison to control plants, confirm that copper speciation is consistent with that of the appropriate nanoparticle.
- Evaluate fruit quality, measure translocation of copper, and determine any correlation between quantity/quality and nanoparticle treatment.

### **Hypothesis**

1. Plants exposed to copper treatments will be less productive than control plants.
2. Nanoparticles will be translocated from the roots to the aerial plant tissues, and possibly edible portions.
3. nCuO will be more toxic than bCuO.
4. Fruit production and quality will be negatively affected when exposed to nanoparticle treatments.

## Chapter 2: Biochemical and physiological effects of copper compounds/nanoparticles on sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*)<sup>1</sup>

### 1. Introduction

The unique properties of engineered nanomaterials has led to its widespread applications in sectors ranging from medicine and energy to cosmetics and textiles (Jeevanandam et al., 2018). Apart from their increased surface area, copper-based nanoparticles (NPs) have unique electrical, chemical and thermal properties that makes them attractive for commercial purposes (Dang et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2009; Magdassi et al., 2010). Keller et al. estimates the production of nano-copper and nano-copper oxides at 200 metric tons in 2010 (Keller et al., 2013). The fate of these manufactured materials was traced through the environment and was shown that approximately 25% of these materials were located in the soil, air, or bodies of water. The contamination of soil and water with nanoparticles leaves plants, wildlife, and humans at risk of exposure with potentially dangerous side effects (Baek and An, 2011; Buffet et al., 2013, 2011; Gomes et al., 2011; Manusadžianas et al., 2012; Saison et al., 2010; Shaw et al., 2012). In addition to contamination via waste disposal, there is also an increased demand for authorization to apply copper-based nanoparticles onto agricultural lands and crops (Naderi and Danesh-Shahraki, 2013). These demands highlight the urgency to study copper-based nanoparticles such as: Copper metal nanoparticles (Cu NPs), nano-sized CuO (nCuO), a bulk micron-sized CuO (bCuO), and commercially available Kocide 3000 (Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>).

Interactions between nanoparticles and plants have been well documented, but the research area has a sizeable knowledge gap when it comes to copper-based nanoparticles (Clément et al., 2013b; Hong et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2012; Schwabe et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). Studies have been published showing the effects of Cu NP and nCuO exposure on lettuce, cucumber, wheat, rice, alfalfa, cilantro, maize, and yellow squash (Dimkpa et al., 2013,

---

<sup>1</sup>Reprinted from Tamez, C., Morelius, E.W., Hernandez-Viezcas, J.A., Peralta-Videa, J.R., Gardea-Torresdey, J., 2019. Biochemical and physiological effects of copper compounds/nanoparticles on sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*). *Sci. Total Environ.* 649, 554–562. ©2019 Elsevier B.V.