

DIGITAL PROCESSES AND CHARACTERIZATION FOR FABRICATING 3D
RF DEVICES

HARVEY HING-CHEONG TSANG, M.S.E.E.

Doctoral Program in Electrical and Computer Engineering

APPROVED:

Raymond Rumpf, Ph.D., Chair

Kenneth Church, Ph.D.

Namsoo David Kim, Ph.D.

David Zubia, Ph.D.

Charles Ambler, Ph.D.
Dean of the Graduate School

Copyright ©

by

Harvey H. Tsang

2016

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this dissertation to my family. For they shaped me into the person I am today with their love and support. I would like to thank all my friends for the great memories we shared that keep me functioning during the lonely nights. And special thanks to Dr Cesar Garcia, David Hsu, and Lizzie Liu who made the extra effort to keep me on track. And finally to my late dear friend Neil Patel, whose early departure has taught me to value each day and to never give up and never surrender.

PREVIEW

PREVIEW

DIGITAL PROCESSES AND CHARACTERIZATION FOR FABRICATING 3D RF
DEVICES

by

HARVEY HING-CHEONG TSANG, M.S.E.E.

DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of
The University of Texas at El Paso
in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements
for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO
December 2016

ProQuest Number: 10252021

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10252021

Published by ProQuest LLC (2017). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

Acknowledgements

I am thankful for my advisor, Dr Church, who has continuously provided me with guidance and help needed to traverse through these past 7 years. He kept me focused and shielded me from the distractions and I am grateful for his hard-work, patience and faith in me. I am also thankful for my other advisor, Dr Rumpf, who has been encouraging and inspiring throughout these years with his intrepid support and help. His knowledge, experience and his selflessness has provided a nurturing environment to learn and continue pursuing my academics. I would like to thank my committee members for participating in my defenses and providing constructive criticism to my work.

I am also greatly thankful for my colleagues and mentors at the University of South Florida. I would like to personally thank Dr Tom Weller, Dr Nathan Crane, and Dr Craig Lusk for their help and leadership at my time in USF. I would like to acknowledge the work I did with Dr Ibrahim Nassar, Justin Nussbaum, Patrick Nesbitt, Thomas Ketterl and Eduardo Rojas. For without their help, this work would not exist.

Special thanks to all of my colleagues at nScrypt and Sciperio, your help, friendship and interactions has helped me grow into an engineer and a resilient person.

Abstract

In this work, co-planar waveguides (CPW) are fabricated and characterized with low temperature 3D printed materials for flexible electronic applications. Laser processing is introduced to reduce heating and cooling times for curing and subtractive manufacturing. CPWs are optimized by varying printing resolution, adjusting curing conditions and shaping conductor profile with a pico-laser. By using acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) as substrate material and Dupont CB028 as conductive material, a high S_{21} and low S_{11} CPW is fabricated. Optimized direct write methods are dispensed onto 3D complex structures to move away from 2.5D style printing and move into true 3D printed antenna devices.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	5
Abstract	6
Table of Contents	5
List of Tables	7
List of Figures	8
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Contributions	1
1.3 Dissertation Organization	3
Chapter 2: Background	4
2.1 3D Printing	4
2.2 Direct Print Additive Manufacturing of Conductors	10
2.3 Direct Digital Manufacturing of Electronics	14
Chapter 3: 3D Printed Dual-Channel Antennas	23
3.1 3D Printed Dual-Channel Circular Patch Antenna Array with Miniaturization	23
3.2 Quasi-Yagi Dipole Antenna (QYDA) Array	26
3.2.2 Conclusion	30
3.3 Log-Periodic Dipole Antenna (LPDA) Array	31
3.4 Conclusion	34
Chapter 4: Laser Ablation on Co-Planar Waveguide	36
4.1 Introduction	36
4.2 Laser settings	39
4.3 Fabrication Process	41
4.4 RF Conductivity Measurements	42
4.5 Conclusions	43
Chapter 5: 3D Printed Multi-Material Broadband Structural Current Sheet Antenna Array	45
5.1 Introduction	45
5.2 Initial Design	46

5.3 FFF Printability of the Unit Cell.....	48
5.5 Electrical Design and Fabrication.....	52
5.5 Conclusion	56
Chapter 6: Summary and Recommendations for Future Work	57
6.1 Summary	57
6.2 Recommendations for Future Work.....	57
References.....	59
Vita	62

PREVIEW

List of Tables

Table 1. Comparison of FR4, ABS and SLA electrical properties with glass transition temperature	6
Table 2. Comparison of direct write technology capabilities. From[18]–[20]	11

PREVIEW

List of Figures

Figure 1. Slicing software converting a solid object to layers of paths	4
Figure 2. Stereolithography process	6
Figure 3. FFF or micro-dispensing nozzle in a typical printing process	7
Figure 4. Extrusion DW system using the syringe system and controlled back pressure [21]	12
Figure 5. nScript, Inc. SmartPump valve assembly [23]	12
Figure 8. SEM of CB028 on ABS at x30 zoom conducted at USF	15
Figure 9. SEM of CB028 on ABS at x500 zoom conducted at USF	16
Figure 10. Model of the under extrusion (top) and over-extrusion (bottom) of ABS below the CB028 layer	17
Figure 12. Conductive traces printed on the bottom of the ABS sample (top), and printed on the top of the ABS sample with top lines running perpendicular (middle) and parallel (bottom)	19
Figure 13. Basic co-planar waveguide (CPW) fabricated with Direct Print Additive Manufacturing (DPAM).....	20
Figure 14. Simulated return loss (left) and insertion loss (right) of CPW with ideal materials and smooth surface modeled in AnsoftHFSS.....	20
Figure 15. Measured S-parameters of three CPW samples measured at the WAMI center at USF.....	21
Figure 16. Design (left) and fabricated (right) microstrip (MS) line.....	22
Figure 17. WAMI at USF Measured microstrip line design (left) and fabricated 3D microstrip line on ABS (right).....	22
Figure 18. Fabricated dual-channel circular patch antenna array	24
Figure 19. Side view of height varying profile*	25
Figure 20. Side View (Top) and Top view of the miniaturized (left) and planar CPA (right)	25
Figure 21. Fabricated Quasi-Yagi dipole antenna array (left) with top view of design (right)	27
Figure 22. Progression in PCAD of line fill order for even homogeneous fill factor.....	28
Figure 23. EFD tip options.....	29
Figure 24. 3D printed 45° attachment	29
Figure 25. Design of the quasi-Yagi antenna with dimensions	30
Figure 26. Trimetric view (left) and top view (right) of 3D printed LPDA array	33
Figure 27. Classic beam-matter interaction	37
Figure 28. Ultra-short pulse laser-matter interaction.....	37
Figure 29. Laser ablated profile with regions of temperatures exceeding sintering temperatures of 1234.15K (961°C).....	38
Figure 30. a) SEM performed at USF of edge of micro-dispensed paste without laser processing, b) SEM performed at USF of laser ablated slot with visible region of sintered silver particles, c) SEM performed at USF of laser machined slot, and d) 3D profile of a laser machined slot[43]	42
Figure 31. (a) Micrograph of CB028 showing the laser-treated area, (b) electrical conductivity of CB028 film using the DR-based NFMM and (c) measured surface topography[43].....	43
Figure 32. 2x4 element 2-18 GHz current sheet antenna with bottom view (left) and top view (right) of an individual unit cell	45
Figure 33. Comparison of fabrication tolerances of 4 GHz design (white) with 2 GHz (black).....	47
Figure 34. Balun-fed 4 GHz antenna designs a) conventional microstrip b) 3D printed without ground plane c) 3D printed with ground plane d) 3D printed with FSS ring and ground plane.....	48
Figure 35. 3D printed coax structures (left) with optical microscopic view of inner roughness (right)	49

Figure 36. 3D printed unit cell with sagging and curled edges on top substrate.	49
Figure 37. Final unit cells with FSS ring	50
Figure 38. 1x3 array of unit cells printed for mechanical testing	51
Figure 39. Reinforced 1x3 array for longitudinal testing	51
Figure 40. Unit cell prints of bowtie and coaxial transition (left) topside on the left with bottom side on the right with conductivity check performed with a multimeter (right)	52
Figure 41. Top view (left) and bottom view (right) of the coaxial transition test coupons with tuned printing parameters. SMA connectors were attached for RF measurements.	53
Figure 42. Unit cell separated for modular printing bottom with coaxes (left) and FSS with top substrate (right)	54
Figure 43. DW extrusion process of FSS layer on 2x4 array	54
Figure 44. 3D printed unit cell antenna test samples	55

PREVIEW

Chapter 1: Introduction

3D printing has multiple uses in variety of areas including tissue engineering, mechanical structures, textiles, food and even electronics. 3D printed electronic devices are currently challenging to fabricate due to material performance and the lack of process compatibility. Most of the desired materials for electronics are polymer based and have limited post process temperature capability. Additionally, the majorities of printable conductive materials requires higher temperature processing or have inferior performance. Printed electronic devices in the RF regime are especially challenging due to the need for good RF properties of both the dielectric and the conductors. Surface features in RF devices can also negatively affect the performance therefore smooth surfaces and straight conductor edges must be considered. These are issues for 3D printing given the fundamental process of layer by layer printing. The advantages of overcoming these barriers are several, including more functions per volume, reduced weight, reduced time from design to product and ultimately reduced cost.

1.1 Motivation

Additive manufacturing (AM) is a rapidly expanding component of the advanced manufacturing sector that is transforming the product development cycle across a wide spectrum of industries. AM continues to present strong patterns of expansion, with growth rates in the double digits, thus carrying substantial economic and job growth impact [1]. Among a variety of available AM processes, micro-dispensing and fused filament fabrication (FFF) have perhaps the widest application domains owing to their low process temperatures, dimensional versatility and wide availability of compatible materials. The combination of AM techniques together with other digitally-controlled processes constitutes the more general classification of Direct Digital Manufacturing (DDM).

1.2 Contributions

The main contributions from the work fall within the following categories:

- i) Development of harmonic transceivers with novel printing techniques with existing direct write (DW) technology.

To the best of the author's knowledge, the developed harmonic transceivers have the best overall performance among previously conventionally manufactured published designs, in terms of conversion gain, communication range, and occupied electrical volume. These are achieved through the utilization of novel printing techniques which enable the deposition of conductive material onto complex structures with obstructing features.

- ii) Adding value to the study of new DDM techniques for the purpose of 3D printed electronics.

The simulation and experimental results of 3D printed co-planar waveguide transmission lines have yielded an understanding of the limitations of 3D printed materials. A new approach to the fabrication of electrically improved CPW transmission lines which is compatible with the additive manufacturing process.

- iii) Addressing the environmental effects on the electrical performance of 3D printed materials

Tensile, compressive and temperature cycling tests of 3D printed materials are conducted onto electronic devices with inspection to the changes to their electrical characteristics.

- iv) Showing the advantages of the use of multi-material digital additive manufacturing for the fabrication of complex and efficient RF devices

The digital additive manufacturing technology has been used in the design of 3D antennas, transmission lines, and arrays antennas, while enabling the realization of low-cost, light-weight, robust, efficient, miniaturized RF devices with comparable or improved manufacturing reliability, repeatability and flexibility.