

INFORMATION TO USERS

The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

U·M·I

University Microfilms International
A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313.761-4700 800.521-0600

PREVIEW

Order Number 9022999

**Coarse spatial resolution satellite remote sensing of drought
conditions in Nebraska: 1987-1988**

Peters, Albert John, Ph.D.

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln, 1989

U·M·I

300 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

COARSE SPATIAL RESOLUTION SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING OF
DROUGHT CONDITIONS IN NEBRASKA: 1987 - 1988

by

Albert John Peters

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
Major: Geography

Under the Supervision of Professor Donald C. Rundquist
Lincoln, Nebraska
December, 1989

COARSE SPATIAL RESOLUTION SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING OF
DROUGHT CONDITIONS IN NEBRASKA: 1987 - 1988

Albert J. Peters, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1989

Advisor: Donald C. Rundquist

Neither the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) nor the Crop Moisture Index (CMI) allow for detection of the onset, spatial extent, severity, and termination of drought. The dearth of sampling stations, the generally irregular geographic pattern of these data-collection sites, and certain inherent time-lag factors all combine to reduce the practical utility of both the PDSI and CMI. Therefore, the purpose of the research was to evaluate satellite remote sensing with systems of coarse spatial resolution as a potential tool for detecting and monitoring drought conditions in Nebraska. Twenty-two datasets acquired by the NOAA Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) during 1987 and 1988 were transformed to Normalized Difference Vegetation Indices (NDVI). Analyses focused on individual crop-reporting districts in Nebraska. Digital processing was accomplished with hardware systems and software packages which are available commercially.

Results of the research included a better temporal correlation between average NDVI and CMI than between NDVI and PDSI, seasonal NDVI curves that were free of

radiometric errors, good correlations between seasonal NDVI and precipitation, and evidence that the NDVI transformation is less useful in semi-arid Western Nebraska than in more humid portions of the state. Analysis of seasonal NDVI measurements allowed correct identification of the geographic core of the 1988 drought in Nebraska. The necessity of real-time acquisition of AVHRR data for an operational drought-monitoring system is highlighted.

PREVIEW

TITLE

COARSE SPATIAL RESOLUTION SATELLITE REMOTE SENSING

OF DROUGHT CONDITIONS IN NEBRASKA: 1987-1988

BY

Albert John Peters

APPROVED

DATE

Donald C. Rundquist

December 6, 1989

Kenneth F. Dewey

December 12, 1989

Merlin P. Lawson

December 7, 1989

Blaine L. Blad

December 6, 1989

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

GRADUATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

There are a number of people without whose help, guidance, and encouragement, I would never have completed my graduate studies. First, I would like to thank my parents who never had an opportunity to go to college. Thanks also to Michael McIntyre who supported me through my graduate work at San Jose State University many years ago, and who encouraged me to continue on with graduate work at Nebraska. Don Rundquist, a friend and fellow student at the University of Nebraska and later my advisor, was most influential in my decision to return to school to finish this project. Don, thank you very much; this achievement would not have been possible without you. Merlin Lawson, also as friend and advisor, was of great assistance through his encouragement, and he cleared the way for my return to graduate school after a hiatus of many years; thank you Merlin. To the other members of my graduate committee, Kenneth Dewey, Blaine Blad and Jim Merchant, thank you for your help and support. I thank Don Wilhite for his kind support and technical assistance on my dissertation topic. I also acknowledge others at the Conservation and Survey Division who were most helpful to

me, in particular Bernice Goemann, Donn Rodekohr, and Dee Ebbeka. Finally, no one has sacrificed more than my wife Bjarnhild and son Erik, who had the love, patience and courage to put me through school twice. No words could adequately express my gratitude to you both.

PREVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
Drought Indices	3
Palmer Drought Severity Index	4
Crop Moisture Index	6
Shortcomings of Current Methods	7
Purpose	7
Objectives of This Research	8
II. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	9
The NOAA AVHRR System	9
Application of AVHRR Data to Vegetation Studies	14
Vegetation Chlorophyll Absorption	14
Vegetation Biomass	16
Vegetation Indices	20
Problems in Using AVHRR Data	26
III. LITERATURE REVIEW	29
IV. IMAGE ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	38
Remotely Sensed Data	38
Data Processing	41
NDVI Computation	45
Cloudmasking	46
Rectification	51
Interactive Registration	55
Image Subsetting	60
Image Differencing	69
V. ANALYSIS OF CLIMATIC CONDITIONS AND NDVI	72
Evaluation of Sensor Radiometric Response	72
Temporal Aspects of Data	82
Precipitation	83
Temperature	93
Summary of Temporal Aspects of Study Variables	95
VI. SPATIAL ANALYSIS	102
Southeast Climatic Division	103
Spatial Differences Between Years	105
Spatial Differences Within One Year	113
North Central Climatic Division	121

Chapter	Page
VII. SEASONAL NDVI CURVE ANALYSIS	134
VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	157
Principal Findings	158
Technical Contributions	161
Recommendations for Future Research	163
Recommended Technical Considerations	164
A Final Note	164
REFERENCES CITED	165
APPENDIX A: SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS	173
APPENDIX B: PRECIPITATION AND TEMPERATURE STATISTICS	182
APPENDIX C: PALMER DROUGHT SEVERITY INDEX AND CROP MOISTURE INDEX STATISTICS	191
APPENDIX D: MAPS OF VEGETATIVE CONDITIONS IN NEBRASKA 1987 AND 1988	196

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
1. Example of NOAA AVHRR Swath Width	12
2. Spectral Reflectance Characteristics of Healthy Green Vegetation for the Wavelength Interval .35-2.6 UM	15
3. Spectral Response Curve - NOAA-10 AVHRR Channel 1	17
4. Spectral Response Curve - NOAA-10 AVHRR Channel 2	18
5. Summary of Spectral Sensitivity of NOAA AVHRR, LANDSAT MSS, LANDSAT TM, and SPOT HRV	21
6. Spectral Response Curve - NOAA-10 AVHRR Channel 4	22
7. Eight Climatic Divisions of the State of Nebraska	39
8. Imagery Window Extracted and Location of Climatic Divisions	44
9. 8-Bit Binary Cloudmask	50
10. Original Image Area Rectified to Latitude/Longitude Coordinates	57
11. Area of Nebraska Cut from Original Image	58
12. Spectral Response Curves Based on Average NDVI Values for Each of the Eight Climatic Divisions in Nebraska	76
13. Departure from 1951-1980 Average Annual Precipitation in 1987 and 1988	85
14. Departure from Normal Growing Season (April to October) Precipitation in 1987	86
15. Departure from Normal Growing Season (April to October) Precipitation in 1988	88
16. Climatic Divisions Receiving Below Normal Growing Season Precipitation (April to October) During 1987 and 1988	89

Figure	Page
17. Climatic Divisions Receiving an Opposite Divergence from Normal Growing Season Precipitation (April to October) Between Study Years but not Experiencing Above Normal Annual Precipitation in Both 1987 and 1988	90
18. Climatic Divisions Experiencing an Opposite Divergence from Normal Growing Season Precipitation (April to October) Between Study Years, but Also Receiving Above Average Annual Precipitation During 1987 and 1988	92
19. Growing Season (April to October) Departures from Normal Temperatures (Fahrenheit) for the Nebraska Climatic Divisions	94
20. Average Change of CMI and PDSI, Growing Season (April to October) Annual Precipitation, and Average NDVI in the Nebraska Climatic Divisions, between 1987 and 1988	98
21. Average Percent NDVI Decline in 1988 Relative to 1987	100
22. NDVI, PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Southeast Climatic Division	104
23. Image Difference - JD 87197 Minus JD 88209	108
24. Image Difference - JD 88209 Minus JD 87197	109
25. Nebraska - County Boundaries	110
26. Registered Irrigation Wells in Nebraska	112
27. Image Difference - JD 88237 Minus JD 88229 - Southeast Climatic Division	114
28. Image Difference - JD 88229 Minus JD 88237 - Southeast Climatic Division	115
29. NDVI, PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - North Central Climatic Division	123
30. Dune Types and Dune Orientation in Sand Hills ..	125
31. Selected Topographical Features in Sand Hills ..	126
32. Image Difference - JD 88209 Minus JD 87207 - North Central Climatic Division	130

Figure	Page
33. Image Difference - JD 87207 Minus JD 88209 - North Central Climatic Division	131
34. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Panhandle Climatic Division	135
35. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Panhandle Climatic Division	136
36. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - North Central Climatic Division	137
37. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - North Central Climatic Division	138
38. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Northeast Climatic Division	139
39. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Northeast Climatic Division	140
40. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Southwest Climatic Division	141
41. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Southwest Climatic Division	142
42. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Central Climatic Division	143
43. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Central Climatic Division	144
44. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - East Central Climatic Division	145
45. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - East Central Climatic Division	146

Figure	Page
46. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - South Central Climatic Division	147
47. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - South Central Climatic Division	148
48. Between Years Comparison of PDSI, CMI, and NDVI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Southeast Climatic Division	149
49. Within Year Comparison of NDVI with PDSI, and CMI for the 1987 and 1988 Growing Seasons - Southeast Climatic Division	150

PREVIEW

TABLES

Table	Page
1. Characteristics of NOAA-6 Through NOAA-10 Missions	11
2. NOAA-10 AVHRR HRPT Imagery Utilized	40
3. Latitude and Longitude Coordinates of AVHRR Imagery	42
4. 8-bit Cloud Mask Histogram	47
5. Histogram Threshold for Cloud Mask Procedure ...	49
6. Ground Control Points and Resulting Coefficients Used to Rectify Equirectangular Image to Latitude/Longitude Coordinates	52
7. Corrected Upper Left AVHRR Imagery Coordinates .	61
8. Climatic Division Matrix Sizes	63
9. Number of Zero Value Pixels for Each Climatic Division by Julian Date - 1987	64
10. Number of Zero Value Pixels for Each Climatic Division by Julian Date - 1988	65
11. Cloud Pixels in Each Division by Date/Percent Cloud Pixels - 1987	67
12. Cloud Pixels in Each Division by Date/Percent Cloud Pixels - 1988	68
13. Climatic Division NDVI Statistical Means - 1987	74
14. Climatic Division NDVI Statistical Means - 1988	75
15. Nebraska, Total Acres Irrigated by Climatic Division	78
16. Relationship Between Average Seasonal NDVI Response and Precipitation/Irrigation for Nebraska Climatic Divisions	80
17. Summary Statistics for Drought Indices, Precipitation, NDVI, Temperature, Percent Irrigation, and Irrigated Acreage	84

Tables	Page
18. Relative Change Between 1987 and 1988 - Key Study Variables	97
19. Temperature and Precipitation - Southeast Climatic Division	117
20. Daily Precipitation, August 1988 - South Central and Southeast Climatic Divisions	118
21. August 13 - 23, 1988 - Precipitation	119
22. Temperature and Precipitation - North Central Climatic Division	122
23. Daily Average July Precipitation - North Central Climatic Division	128
24. Daily Precipitation, July 1989 - North Central Climatic Division	132
25. Chi-Square Values for NDVI with PDSI and CMI ...	155

PREVIEW

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Palmer (1965) defined drought as "an interval of time, generally on the order of months or years in duration, during which the actual moisture supply at a given place rather consistently falls short of the climatically appropriate moisture supply." But, droughts are more than just rain-free periods because the intensity and length of drought depends not only on meteorological parameters but also on the characteristics of a region's vegetation, soil water, and soil-fertility conditions. Therefore, drought can be defined more specifically as a dry period bringing about crop disaster through chemical and biochemical irregularities (Albrecht, 1956). In this manner, drought can also be defined in an economic sense, and the impact is often severe. In fact, drought is the largest single cause of all insured crop losses in the United States. Borchert (1971) reports that, during a drought in the Great Plains, wheat yields may be reduced 20-25 percent from those of normal years, while cattle production may decline by 6-25 percent.

Droughts can be associated with certain geographic factors. They seem to be prevalent within large land areas where the effects of "continentality" are important: i.e., where the weather is highly variable. Therefore, in the

United States, droughts may be expected to occur in mid-continent (Albrecht 1956). In fact, Kendrew (1927) went so far as to state:

In the United States the strip of country between the foot of the Rockies and 100 degrees west longitude has a mean rainfall between 12 and 20 inches. It is liable to vary very much from year to year, and is so low in bad years that agriculture cannot be safely carried on.

Of course, this statement was made prior to irrigation development in certain parts of the Plains, but, nonetheless, the statement serves to point up the hazards of dry farming in that region.

In addition, droughts seem to vary spatially with regard to duration and intensity. That is, even in a widespread drought, some localized areas seem to suffer greater damage than others.

Drought has long been recognized as a serious environmental problem in Nebraska. The "Dust Bowl" era of the 1930s serves as the epitome of climatic extremes in precipitation, temperature, and evaporation, but other time periods have been difficult, while perhaps not as devastating as the 1930s. Various parts of Nebraska experienced drought conditions in the 1950s, 1970s and 1980s (personal communication with Donald Wilhite, Associate Professor of Agricultural Meteorology and Climatology, University of Nebraska, Lincoln).

Because drought is not solely a meteorological phenomenon and is manifested geographically, agricultural-resources managers are faced with the problem of monitoring the landscape to detect not only the presence of drought but also its severity and spatial extent. Such information is important for a number of reasons including verification of insurance claims, federal-disaster assistance, and government crop-production/yield estimates.

Drought Indices

Drought is a characteristic feature of the climate of the Great Plains, and attempts have been made to define its intensity, duration, and spatial coverage in the form of an index. Most traditional assessments of drought have been based on either the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) or the Crop Moisture Index (CMI). These measures include the following common features: 1) estimates of potential evapotranspiration; and 2) estimates of soil-moisture depletion. While widely used in the United States, PDSI and CMI are not without shortcomings.

Palmer Drought Severity Index

The PDSI is standardized to allow direct comparisons of values on both a spatial and temporal basis. Index values are published jointly by the U.S. Departments of Commerce and Agriculture in the Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin for each division in the U.S. to help in monitoring growing conditions.

Background information and the equations used to derive the Palmer Drought Severity Index can be found in his original research report (Palmer, 1965). Following is a brief and general outline of the components and assumptions making up the PDSI. A set of initial conditions, a set of appropriate climatic coefficients, and monthly observations of precipitation and temperature are required to begin the calculation of PDSI values for each climatic division. The initial conditions provided by the user include: station latitude, annual mean temperature, and the available water capacity of the soil. The four ratio-based climatic coefficients used to calculate PDSI are the mean evapotranspiration (ET) to mean potential evapotranspiration (ET_p), mean soil moisture recharge to mean potential soil moisture recharge, mean runoff to mean potential runoff, and mean soil moisture loss to mean potential soil moisture loss (which would be the loss due to evaporation if

precipitation was zero for the period). The four coefficients are clearly dependent on the climate of an area, and a separate set of coefficients are calculated for each month of the year. Thus, the index is based on the principles of moisture supply and demand. Palmer began with a water balance, designing his drought computations around average monthly values of precipitation and temperature. However, man-made changes, such as irrigation and water storage reservoirs, in local supply and demand are not taken into consideration (Karl 1986).

The PDSI, as it is currently calculated by the National Weather Service, relies on Thornthwaite's method for calculating potential evapotranspiration (PET). This method uses only day length and temperature to derive PET estimates, ignoring solar energy and sensible-heat advection, both major sources of energy that drive the evapotranspiration process in the Great Plains region. Thus, there is concern that the Thornthwaite method underestimates PET in subhumid and semiarid regions (Rosenberg et al. 1983) and, consequently, that the PDSI tends to underestimate drought severity. The reliability of this index when applied to specific agricultural situations is questionable since plant response was not taken into account in the derivation of the index. Yet, for lack of more crop -specific indices, the PDSI has been

used by federal officials as the principal criterion for the declaration of drought disaster areas (Wilhite, 1983).

Crop Moisture Index

The Crop Moisture Index (Palmer, 1968: National Weather Service 1977), an outgrowth of the PDSI, was developed to provide information on moisture conditions over large agricultural regions. The CMI depicts changes in the soil-moisture situation more rapidly than does the PDSI. Issued weekly, maps of the CMI show areas of favorable or unfavorable crop moisture conditions. However, like PDSI, CMI estimates of PET also use the Thornthwaite method, and the CMI, too, is not crop specific.

Though closely related, values of the CMI and the PDSI may vary considerably. The primary reason for this variance is that the CMI only includes the moisture anomaly of the ongoing month, whereas the PDSI more heavily weights the moisture anomaly of previous months. CMI values will therefore, indicate more favorable moisture conditions over a particularly wet or dry month even in the middle of a serious long-term drought or wet period.