

INFORMATION TO USERS

This reproduction was made from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this document, the quality of the reproduction is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help clarify markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark, it is an indication of either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, duplicate copy, or copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed. For blurred pages, a good image of the page can be found in the adjacent frame. If copyrighted materials were deleted, a target note will appear listing the pages in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed, a definite method of "sectioning" the material has been followed. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For illustrations that cannot be satisfactorily reproduced by xerographic means, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and inserted into your xerographic copy. These prints are available upon request from the Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases the best available copy has been filmed.

**University
Microfilms
International**

300 N. Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

8516879

Paige, Stephen R.

SLOW BRAIN POTENTIAL MANIFESTATIONS OF THE ORIENTING REFLEX:
EFFECTS OF INTENSITY, PROBABILITY, DEGREE AND DIRECTION OF
CHANGE

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Ph.D. 1985

University
Microfilms
International 300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

PREVIEW

SLOW BRAIN POTENTIAL MANIFESTATIONS
OF THE ORIENTING REFLEX:
EFFECTS OF INTENSITY, PROBABILITY,
DEGREE AND DIRECTION OF CHANGE

by

Stephen R. Paige

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Psychology

Under the Supervision of John W. Rohrbaugh

Omaha, Nebraska

May, 1985

TITLE

Slow brain potential manifestations of the orienting reflex:

Effects of intensity, probability, degree and direction of change

BY

Stephen R. Paige

APPROVED

DATE

John W. Rohrbaugh, Ph.D.

Shelton E. Hendricks, Ph.D.

Evan L. Brown, Ph.D.

Donald Jensen, Ph.D.

Jorge Rodriguez-Sierra, Ph.D.

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

GRADUATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

SLOW BRAIN POTENTIAL MANIFESTATIONS
OF THE ORIENTING REFLEX:

EFFECTS OF INTENSITY, PROBABILITY,
DEGREE AND DIRECTION OF CHANGE

Stephen R. Paige, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1985

Adviser: John W. Rohrbaugh, Ph.D.

Slow wave components of the event-related brain potential (ERP) were investigated as possible indicators of the brief, transient period of involuntary attention known as the orienting reflex (OR). Convergent information was also derived from concomitant heart rate (HR) changes. Subjects were asked to count 10 series of 200 ms, 1000 Hz tone pips at 20, 40, or 60 dB SL. In some series the intensity was held constant and in other series the intensity varied unpredictably. Responses were studied as functions of stimulus intensity, probability, degree and direction of intensity change between different stimuli within a series.

Since a within-subjects design was used and responses were averaged over trials, it was not possible to assess for habituation effects. However, the averaged HR responses consistently showed a brief initial deceleration that has traditionally been interpreted as an indicator of the OR. HR deceleration was greater for an intensity increase than a decrease, but was not significantly related to the degree

of change.

The ERP responses over the various conditions showed a consistent structure, including P300 and early and late O wave components. P300 amplitude was significantly responsive to the effects of stimulus intensity. P300 amplitude was also greater for an intensity increase than a decrease, but was not reliably related to the degree of intensity change. However, P300 did reflect stimulus processing, in that significantly larger P300 amplitudes were elicited by rare than by frequent stimuli.

O wave amplitudes were consistent with Sokolov's (1963) stimulus comparator theory of OR elicitation. The O waves were elicited by decreases as well as increases in stimulus intensity in proportion to the degree of intensity change. These results are discussed in terms of their implications for the existence of a neuronal model consisting of expected stimulations. An interpretation of the O waves as reflecting the detection of stimulus change is proposed.

Table of Contents

	Page
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
List of Appendixes	ix
List of Acronyms	x
Introduction and literature review	1
Novelty	5
Intensity	29
Significance	45
Event-related potentials	51
Method	72
Subjects	72
Apparatus and recording methods	72
Design	73
Procedure	74
Quantification and analysis	75
Results	81
Event-related potentials	81
Constant intensity	83
Random intensity	83
Intensity x probability	85
Degree and direction of change	86
Electrooculogram	88
Heart rate	89
Constant intensity	89

Table of Contents (continued)

	Page
Random intensity	89
Intensity x probability	90
Degree and direction of change	90
Correlational analysis	91
Discussion	181
References	192
Appendix A	213
Appendix B	215
Appendix C	217
Acknowledgments	268

PREVIEW

List of Tables

Table	Page
1 Experimental conditions	79
2 Degree and direction of change of stimulus intensity in six experimental conditions	80
3 Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients between peak HR changes and ERP component amplitudes	180

PREVIEW

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1 Mean amplitudes of the midline frontal, vertex, and parietal electrodes for the four measured ERP components.	92
2 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB constant intensity condition.	94
3 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB constant intensity condition.	96
4 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 60 dB constant intensity condition.	98
5 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB random intensity condition.	100
6 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB random intensity condition.	102
7 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 60 dB random intensity condition.	104
8 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB rare stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	106
9 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB rare stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	108
10 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 60 dB rare stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	110

List of Figures (continued)

Figure	Page
11 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB frequent stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	112
12 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB frequent stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	114
13 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 60 dB frequent stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	116
14 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB intensity x probability conditions.	118
15 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB intensity x probability conditions.	120
16 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 60 dB intensity x probability conditions.	122
17 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the rare stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	124
18 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the frequent stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	126
19 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB decrease in stimulus intensity.	128

List of Figures (continued)

Figure	Page
20 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB decrease in stimulus intensity.	130
21 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB increase in stimulus intensity.	132
22 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB increase in stimulus intensity.	134
23 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the decrease in stimulus intensity.	136
24 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the increase in stimulus intensity.	138
25 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 20 dB change in stimulus intensity.	140
26 Averaged midline ERP waveforms for the 40 dB change in stimulus intensity.	142
27 Mean amplitudes of the midline frontal, vertex, and parietal electrodes for the early O wave.	144
28 Mean amplitudes of the midline frontal, vertex, and parietal electrodes for the late O wave.	146
29 Mean amplitudes of the midline frontal, vertex, and parietal electrodes for the P300 component.	148
30 Averaged EOG waveforms for the constant intensity conditions.	150
31 Averaged EOG waveforms for the random intensity condition.	152

List of Figures (continued)

Figure		Page
32	Averaged EOG waveforms for the rare stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	154
33	Averaged EOG waveforms for the frequent stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	156
34	Averaged EOG waveforms for stimulus intensity in the intensity x probability conditions.	158
35	Averaged EOG waveforms for stimulus probability in the intensity x probability conditions.	160
36	Averaged EOG waveforms for the decrease in stimulus intensity.	162
37	Averaged EOG waveforms for the increase in stimulus intensity.	164
38	Averaged EOG waveforms for the degree of stimulus change.	166
39	Average changes in HR in the constant intensity conditions.	168
40	Average changes in HR in the random intensity condition.	170
41	Average changes in HR for the frequent stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	172
42	Average changes in HR for the rare stimuli in the intensity x probability conditions.	174
43	Average changes in HR for an increase and a decrease in stimulus intensity.	176

List of Figures (continued)

Figure	Page
44 Average changes in HR for an increase and a decrease in stimulus intensity change, regardless of degree of change.	178

PREVIEW

List of Appendixes

Appendix	Page
A Specific instructions to subjects for the experimental task	213
B Subject consent form	215
C Figures of bilateral frontal ERP waveforms	217

PREVIEW

List of Acronyms

BPM	beats per minute
CNS	central nervous system
CNV	contingent negative variation
CS	conditional stimulus
DR	defensive reflex
EEG	electroencephalogram
EKG	electrocardiogram
EOG	electrooculogram
EP	evoked potential
ERP	event-related potential
GSR	galvanic skin response
HR	heart rate
ISI	interstimulus interval
LNC	late negative component
LPC	late positive component
MRF	mesencephalic reticular formation
MTFCS	mediodorsal frontocortical system
OR	orienting reflex
SCR	skin conductance response
SNW	slow negative wave
SP	slow potential
SPL	sound pressure level
SPW	slow positive wave
UCS	unconditional stimulus

Introduction and Literature Review

The orienting reflex (OR) as described by Pavlov (1927) has maintained an honored place in the study of learning and cognitive functioning. Pavlov discovered the OR within the context of his classical conditioning experiments with dogs, where he found the stimuli he chose:

The bells, lights, metronomes, rotating discs, rattles, whirligigs, vibrators, and so forth--all tended to elicit an observable attentional reaction in his animals even before the conditioning procedure began; indeed, Pavlov found quite early in his investigations that only stimuli that evoked these initial reactions would serve effectively as conditional stimuli (although the reactions had to cease--that is, habituate--before a conditional reflex could be expected). (Kimmel, 1979, pp. xi-xii)

Pavlov described the OR as the "what is it?" reflex. In so doing, he stressed the importance of the OR as an innate response of the organism to some common feature of diverse stimuli.

The influence of Russian investigators has largely dominated conceptualizations of the OR. Sokolov (1955, 1960, 1963a, 1963b, 1965, 1969, 1975) has provided the most comprehensive characterization of the OR. He describes the OR as "an unspecific reflex . . . independent of the

modality of the stimulating agent" (1960, p. 189). The OR is manifested in terms of specific changes in a variety of physiological systems including changes in heart rate (HR), respiration, skin potential, skin conductance responses (SCRs), vascular responses, muscle activity, desynchronization of brain rhythms, and changes in spinal and eye blink reflexes. The functional significance of these responses is emphasized by Sokolov (1963b), all "aiming at an increased state of preparedness of the whole body, and at better perception of the stimulus" (p. 118). Thus, Sokolov (1955) considers the OR as "a complex reaction of the whole organism" (p. 134). The unity of the OR is stressed by Sokolov as "an integrated reaction" (1963a, p. 547) of the visceral, somatic, and central nervous system (CNS) in order to bring about "the enhancement of analyser sensitivity" (1963b, p. 13).

Sokolov also specifies the conditions responsible for the elicitation of the OR. This aspect of his theory has been variously characterized as a "stimulus comparator theory" (Siddle, Kuiack, & Stenfert Kroese, 1983, p. 153), "two-stage model of habituation" (Siddle & Spinks, 1979, p. 474), and "model-comparator theory" (Thompson, Berry, Rinaldi, & Berger, 1979, p. 35). A critical element is the concept of a neuronal model which consists of stored characteristics of previous stimulations in the form of cortical representations of these stimuli. Afferent input

is compared in the first stage with the neuronal model. An OR is generated to a mismatch between a current stimulus and available models. More recent formulations (Sokolov, 1975) have implicated the hippocampus in the model-comparison process. The second stage is designated as an amplifying system thought to involve nonspecific reticular arousal elicited by the stimulus and by signals of discrepancy from the comparator. If a match occurs between current input and the neuronal model, the nonspecific input to the amplifying system is blocked by inhibitory impulses from the comparator system. If the amplifying system is stimulated by signals of discrepancy, nonspecific reticular arousal is not blocked, and an OR occurs.

Habituation of the OR takes place as stimulus properties are incorporated into the neuronal model through repeated presentation of the stimulus. According to Sokolov (1963b), the degree of change between a new stimulus and previously presented stimuli is reflected in the strength and rate of habituation of the OR. A more intense and slowly habituating OR will be elicited by a greater degree of mismatch between a stimulus and previously established internal representations of the stimulus.

The theoretical underpinnings of the present work derive from stimulus-model comparator theory, although broadly construed so as to minimize the traditional

emphasis on habituation. This is in keeping with suggestions that the OR may be viewed as "a persistent response when elicited by salient stimuli, and [one] thus likely to accompany a great variety of experimental situations" (Rohrbaugh, 1984, p. 324). In the context of the present experiment, the OR is viewed as a mechanism controlling involuntary alerting or arousal to bring about better processing of the eliciting stimuli or future stimuli. The eliciting stimuli are auditory tones that are relevant to a judgment task assigned to the subjects. The magnitude of the psychophysiological responses are studied as functions of the experimental variables of intensity, probability, degree, and direction of change.

Although a number of excellent and comprehensive reviews of the extensive OR literature are available (e.g., Siddle, Stephenson, & Spinks, 1983), in the following selective review particular emphasis is placed on the stimulus factors under investigation here as well as on the use of event-related cortical potentials (ERPs) as an indicator of the OR. A convergent measure of orienting is provided by analyses of cardiac responses as an index of stimulus registration and evaluation (e.g., Graham, 1973, 1979; Lacey & Lacey, 1980, 1970). Although responses are measured in two psychophysiological systems, the major emphasis here is on the measurement of endogenous cortical ERPs. The promise offered by ERPs as a CNS correlate of

the OR has been emphasized by a number of authors (e.g., Loveless, 1983; Roth, 1983). The link between some of these ERP waveforms and the OR derives primarily from observations that they habituate over repeated stimulus presentations with a time course comparable to the OR (Lutzenberger, Schandry, & Birbaumer, 1979; Megela & Teyler, 1979; Rust, 1977). Other components, however, may be linked with the OR by the additional virtues of showing relationships with some of the autonomic expressions of the OR and by their relationships to the cognitive and behavioral processes involved in OR generation and manifestation.

Novelty

A major concern of the OR literature has been the investigation of the effects of stimulus novelty, considered in terms of recovery of one or more signs of the OR to change in repetitive stimulation. The relevant literature has been extensively reviewed in terms of "the repetition-change paradigm" (Siddle, Stephenson, & Spinks, 1983, p. 63) where a stimulus or set of stimulus conditions is presented for a number of (training) trials while recording one or more surface physiological components of the OR. On a subsequent (test) trial, the variations in stimulus condition are introduced, and the magnitude of the physiological responses to the test stimuli are then compared with each other and to the response level on the

last training trial. Some studies have included comparisons with no-change control conditions.

There seems to be clear evidence that changes in the modality of stimulus presentation produce OR recovery in terms of electrodermal measures (O'Gorman, 1973). For example, Houck and Mefford (1969) reported that subjects who habituated to a mild light or tone stimulus exhibited large galvanic skin responses (GSRs) to an intruding intermodal stimulus as compared with no-change controls.

However, as noted by O'Gorman (1973), increased responsiveness to change in stimulus modality does not necessarily require a comparator model. Differential output from a single-stage amplifying (reticular) system could reflect the "fact that the analysers are connected with the reticular system in different degrees" (Sokolov, 1965, p. 142). Response to a change in modality would therefore be expected "without recourse to a hypothetical matching process" (O'Gorman, 1973, p. 468).

Sokolov and Paramonova (1961) presented data on the extinction (habituation) and re-elicitation of various OR components to frequency changes in an acoustic tone independent of manipulations in the intensity and duration. Their results, reported as a "reactivity curve of the skin-galvanic component" (p. 3), showed a generalization gradient of decreased responsiveness as frequency approached the habituated tone (training frequency) of