

INFORMATION TO USERS

This was produced from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure you of complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark it is an indication that the film inspector noticed either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, or duplicate copy. Unless we meant to delete copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed, you will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed the photographer has followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For any illustrations that cannot be reproduced satisfactorily by xerography, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and tipped into your xerographic copy. Requests can be made to our Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases we have filmed the best available copy.

**University
Microfilms
International**

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106
18 BEDFORD ROW, LONDON WC1R 4EJ, ENGLAND

7910498

DUGAN, JACK TIMOTHY

THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE THERMAL REGIME OF THE
SOIL TO THE EXTRINSIC ENVIRONMENT; WITH
SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE NORTH CENTRAL UNITED
STATES.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA - LINCOLN, PH.D.,
1978

University
Microfilms
International

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106

© 1979

JACK TIMOTHY DUGAN

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark ☒.

1. Glossy photographs _____
2. Colored illustrations _____
3. Photographs with dark background _____
4. Illustrations are poor copy _____
5. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page _____
6. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages ☒ throughout

7. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine _____
8. Computer printout pages with indistinct print _____
9. Page(s) _____ lacking when material received, and not available
from school or author _____
10. Page(s) _____ seem to be missing in numbering only as text
follows _____
11. Poor carbon copy _____
12. Not original copy, several pages with blurred type _____
13. Appendix pages are poor copy _____
14. Original copy with light type _____
15. Curling and wrinkled pages _____
16. Other _____

University
Microfilms
International

300 N. ZEEB RD., ANN ARBOR, MI 48106 (313) 761-4700

THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE THERMAL REGIME OF THE SOIL TO
THE EXTRINSIC ENVIRONMENT; WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE
TO THE NORTH CENTRAL UNITED STATES

by

Jack T. Dugan

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Geography

Under the Supervision of Professor Merlin P. Lawson

Lincoln, Nebraska

December, 1978

TITLE

THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE THERMAL REGIME OF THE SOIL TO
THE EXTRINSIC ENVIRONMENT; WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE
TO THE NORTH CENTRAL UNITED STATES

BY

JACK T. DUGAN

APPROVED

DATE

<u>David T. Lewis</u>	<u>November 8, 1978</u>
<u>Kenneth F. Dewey</u>	<u>November 8, 1978</u>
<u>C. Barron McIntosh</u>	<u>November 8, 1978</u>
<u>Merlin P. Lawson</u>	<u>November 8, 1978</u>
<u>B. W. Blouet</u>	<u>November 8, 1978</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

GRADUATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank the following: 1) the members of my supervisory committee, especially Merlin Lawson, for their assistance and cooperation, 2) Ralph Neild and his colleagues who provided some unpublished data and the initial suggestion for this study, 3) Eric Lappala and Raymond Hubbard for their constructive criticisms and help in the research design and analysis, 4) my family for their patience, and 5) above all my mother for her faith in me.

PREVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	The Problem	1
	Objectives of the Study	5
	Research in Soil Temperature Estimation	6
	Studies in Soil Heat Transference	6
	Research in Climatological Relationships with Soil Temperature	11
	Solar Radiation and Cloud Effects on Soil Temperature	12
	Solar Radiation	12
	Cloud Cover	12
	Precipitation Effects on Soil Temperature	13
	Rainfall	13
	Snow	13
	Air-Soil Temperature Relationships	14
	Air Masses	15
	Frost	16
	Altitudinal Effects on Soil Temperature	17
	Climatological-Soil Temperature Models	17
	Justification and Purpose of the Study	20
	Summary	23
II.	RESEARCH DESIGN	25
	Introduction	25
	Research Hypotheses	25
	The Study Area and Site Selection	28
	Selection and Standardization of the Dependent Variables	37
	Selection and Derivation of the Predictive Variables	41
	Air Temperature	43
	Solar Radiation	48
	Soil Moisture and Precipitation	57
	Altitude	61
	Generation of the Data	63
	Methods of Analysis	69
	The Basic Assumptions of the Regression Model	73
	Specifications of the Regression Model.	82
	Development of the Soil Temperature Model	84
	Simple Regression Procedures	84
	Partial Correlation	85

Chapter	Page
Path Analysis	86
The Multiple Regression Models . . .	87
Model Optimization	91
Soil Temperature Model	
Configurations	92
Universal or General Models . .	93
Seasonal Models	93
Lagged Models	94
Spatially Differentiated Models	95
Empirical Testing of the Models .	95
Summary and Conclusions	97
III. ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION	99
Introduction	99
Characteristics of Soil Temperature in in the Study Area	101
The Relationship of Soil Temperature to the External Environment	114
Simple Correlation Analysis of the Relationship	116
Partial Correlation Analysis of the Variables	125
Path Analysis of the Soil Temperature Model	128
Multiple Regression Analysis	138
The Soil Temperature Model	150
The General Models	150
The Modified Four Variable Model .	161
Seasonal Models	163
Lagged Soil Temperature Models . .	178
Spatially Determined Models . . .	182
Evaluation and Summarization of the Models	189
Empirical Testing of Selected Models.	193
Conclusion	207
IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	208
Appendices	
I. SOIL TEMPERATURE TRANSFORMATIONS	219
Soil Cover Transformations	219
Estimation of Soil Temperatures at Missing Depths	223
Estimation of Mean Soil Temperatures from Discrete Observations	226
II. SELECTED SOIL TEMPERATURE PREDICTIVE EQUATIONS	237
A Selected Bibliography	240

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Soil Temperature Sites and Their Characteristics .	35
2. Mean Annual Soil-Air Temperature Differences at Selected Locations	44
3. Simple Correlation Coefficients of Soil Temperature and All Variables	116
4. Simple Correlations Between the Predictive Variables	122
5. Partial Correlations Between the Predictive Variables	126
6. Summary of Relationships From Path Analysis	134
7. Summary of Path Analysis Relationships Using Partial Correlation	136
8. Statistical Comparison of the First Differences Model and the Untransformed Air Temperature Model	144
9. Comparison of Correlation Coefficients of Untransformed and Logarithmic Transformed Data (4 Inch Soil Temperature)	146
10. Zero Order Coefficients of Determination (R^2) Among the Predictive Variables	148
11. Summary Statistics of the Five Variable Model . . .	151
12. Statistical Significance of the Five Variables in the General Model	154
13. Average Week of Peak Occurrence of Solar Radiation, Air, and Soil Temperatures in the Study Area	158
14. Summary Statistics of the General Four Variable Model	162
15. Statistical Significance of Precipitation in the Four Variable Model	163
16. Comparative Statistics of the Seasonal Models and the General Models (Five Variables)	165

Table	Page
17. Chow Test Comparison of the Seasonal Five Variable Models	167
18. Chow Test Comparison of the Seasonal Models and the General Five Variable Models	169
19. Chow Test Comparison of the Single Variable Models (Air Temperature)	170
20. Ratio of Soil Temperature to Air Temperature by Season and the 40 Week Period	170
21. Comparison of the Seasonal Regression Models with the Gujarati Test (Air Temperature Only) .	173
22. Standardized Beta Values for the Seasonal and General Models	175
23. Statistical Results of the Lagged Air Temperature Models	179
24. Statistical Results of Lagged Solar Radiation Models (Air Temperature Excluded)	182
25. Summary Statistics of the Temperature-determined Models	185
26. Chow Test Comparison of the Warm and Cool Site Regression Equations	185
27. Summary Statistics of the Precipitation-determined Models	187
28. Chow Test Comparison of the Dry and Moist Site Regression Equations	188
29. Comparison of Observed Soil Temperatures with Selected Model Predictions at the Test Sites .	196
30. T-tests of Modeled Predictions Versus Observed for the Combined Test Data	199
31. Paired T-tests of Modeled Predictions Versus Observed at Oolitic, Indiana	199
32. Statistics of Regression Analysis of Modeled Predictions Versus Observed at the Test Sites .	200

Table	Page
33. Comparison of Mean Monthly Soil Temperatures at Sites With Both Sod and Bare Observations (in °F.)	220
34. Transformation Equations for Sod (X) Versus Bare Soil Surfaces (Y)	222
35. Composite Mean Monthly Soil Temperatures at Depth from Selected Stations in the Study Area (in °F.)	224
36. Soil Temperature With Depth Transformation Equations	227
37. Seasonal Transformation Equations for Conversion of Hourly Thermograph Values to Daily Means . .	232

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. The Study Area and Location of the Soil Temperature Sites	29
2. Mean January and Daily Air Temperatures	30
3. Mean Annual Precipitation	32
4. Solar Radiation Recording Stations	51
5. Percent Possible Sunshine Recording Stations	53
6. Actual Mean Weekly 4 Inch Soil Temperatures Versus Values Derived From Interpolation of Monthly Means at Mead, Nebraska	68
7. Selected Mean Monthly Air Temperatures	102
8. Selected Mean Monthly 4 Inch Soil Temperatures.	103
9. Selected Mean Monthly 20 Inch Soil Temperatures	104
10. Mean Initial Occurrence of Selected 4 Inch Soil Temperatures	106
11. Comparative Weekly Soil and Air Temperature Patterns at Selected Sites	107
12. Sites with Long-term 2, 4, 8, 20 Inch Soil Temperature Data	108
13. Comparative Mean Monthly Soil Temperature Profiles at the Cool and Warm Stations	110
14. Twelve Station Mean Monthly Soil Temperature Profiles	112
15. Scattergrams of the 4 Inch Soil Temperature Versus the Predictive Variables; (a) Air Temperature, (b) Solar Radiation, (c) Week's Precipitation, (d) Previous Month's Precipitation, and (e) Altitude	117-121
16. Path Analysis of Soil Temperature	131
17. Path Analysis of Soil Temperature Using Partial Correlation	137

Figure	Page
18. Distribution of the Residuals from Regression-Distribution of the 4 Inch Soil Temperatures	141
19. Scattergrams of Observed Versus Predicted 2, 4, 8, 20 Inch Soil Temperatures	152
20. 50°F. Mean Annual Isotherm and 30 Inch Mean Annual Isohyet Division of the Soil Temperature Sites	184
21. Scattergrams of Selected Model Prediction Versus Observed 4 Inch Soil Temperatures at Oolitic, Indiana	203
22. Scattergrams of Selected Model Predictions Versus Observed 2 Inch Soil Temperatures at Sidney, Nebraska	204
23. Scattergrams of Selected Model Predictions Versus Observed 8 Inch Soil Temperatures at Sidney, Nebraska	205
24. Observed Versus Seasonal Model Predicted Soil Temperatures at the Test Sites	206
25. Seasonal Diurnal Temperature Patterns for 2 and 4 Inch Depths at Ames, Iowa, and Manhattan, Kansas	230

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The Problem

It has long been evident that a strong relationship exists between the thermal regime of the earth's surface and other climatological and meteorological events. Micro as well as macro aspects of the atmosphere are highly dependent upon thermal conditions of the soil. The mechanism by which the atmosphere is indirectly heated by shortwave solar radiation as it is absorbed by the earth, converted to sensible heat (longwave infrared), and transmitted back to the atmosphere and space is conceptually well-understood. The diurnal and seasonal heating and cooling of the atmosphere, which is intensively monitored and documented, is parallel to thermal processes occurring in the soil. There are apparent relationships between soil temperature and such events as: tornado genesis ('hot spot' concept), drought intensification, fog, and frost occurrence (Blanc, 1958; Landsberg and Blanc, 1958; Chang, 1958; Carson, 1961, Namais, 1960). Furthermore, temperature plays a key role in soil formation, soil moisture movement, evapotranspiration demands, and biological activities in the soil (Shaw, 1952, Sutton, 1953; Lettau, 1957, Geiger, 1965).

The temperature of a soil is one of its important properties. Within limits, it controls the

possibilities of plant growth and soil formation. Below freezing there is no biotic activity, water no longer moves as a liquid, and unless there is frost heaving, time stands still for the soil. Between temperatures of 32° and 42°F root growth of most plants and germination of most seeds is impossible. A horizon as cold as 40° is a thermal pan to roots of most plants. The soil does not really come to life until its temperature exceeds 42°F... (G. D. Smith and others, 1964: 1)

It is, therefore, apparent that soil temperature is important to meteorological processes and biological activities. The earth is analogous to a heat reservoir for the atmosphere; however, a feedback mechanism exists in the relationship that allows the atmosphere to imprint its attributes back upon the earth's surface. This latter aspect has received only cursory treatment because of the difficulty in measuring the direction and magnitude of the interrelationship. Landsberg and Blanc (1958) point out the existence of this mutual relationship; but also emphasize that the two phenomena, soil and atmosphere, have rarely been analyzed simultaneously, but as independent entities. Many studies have provided crude comparisons between the temperature of the soil and various atmospheric conditions. A few have examined this relationship in detail on a microscale, but under very controlled experimental conditions. These studies have usually been limited to single sites for short periods of time. The applicability of their results to other sites and conditions is debatable.

The key problem to be addressed in this study is the strength of the relationship between soil and atmospheric

temperature. Intuitively, a strong positive relationship should exist between their thermal responses. The consistency of this relationship, both temporally and spatially; however, is a significant aspect to be examined. Is the temperature relationship constant over time or do seasonal changes occur? Do relationships change from place to place? Do other factors, both intrinsic and extrinsic to the soil, alter this relationship appreciably? It appears that an examination of the soil-atmospheric relationship over a large number of sites with long-term data can produce a better understanding of this interaction. Anomalies that occasionally occur can be minimized and more stable relationships established.

A second problem is the relative influence other factors, both intrinsic and extrinsic to the soil, have in the thermal relationships between the soil and atmosphere. Soil is a very complex medium, which can vary over a wide continuum. The intrinsic characteristics of the soil (texture, structure, chemical composition, compaction, topographic position, color, organic content, moisture content, cover, etc.) must have an appreciable effect on its thermal properties. Furthermore, other factors external to soil, such as solar radiation and precipitation, must be considered in the heating and cooling of the soil, in addition to air temperature.

The preceding problem creates the question of which variables related to soil temperature are to be initially

considered. Obviously, numerous factors can be considered for inclusion, but many are essentially impossible to quantitatively evaluate. Others are so subject to change over time and space that their measurement premised on uniform conditions is tenuous at best. Therefore, only those conceptually significant factors that are suited to a reliable system of quantitative measurement can be included. The literature should provide some guidelines to those factors influencing soil temperature. Most intensive research on the subject has been laboratory-type experiments for which detailed information on the physical characteristics of the soil was obtained, data that are not commonly available. Certain variables must, therefore, be excluded for lack of data and surrogate measurements developed for others.

A secondary problem is what time scale is most optimal in an analysis of soil-atmosphere relationships. Published data usually consists of multiple daily observations or monthly summations. The former would involve an unwieldy number of observations. The latter would be too gross to reveal the sensitivity among the variables. Furthermore, an analysis on a daily basis would probably result in highly unstable relationships, while on a monthly basis seasonal trends would be somewhat obscured. An intermediate time frame that retains some of the sensitivity of daily data and the convenience of monthly data appears to be optimal.

To summarize the three perceived problems in this study,

the first two are substantive and the third technical:

1. How strong and consistent is the relationship between soil and atmospheric temperature?
2. What other factors, both internal and external to the soil, have an effect on soil temperature and how significant are they to the thermal response of the soil?
3. What time scale provides the most sensitive, yet stable relationship between soil temperature and those factors influencing it?

Objectives of the Study

Within the framework of the problems set forth above, the following are the objectives of this study:

1. Determine those variables that influence soil temperature and to gain a perspective on the thermal processes occurring within the soil.
2. Provide a refined and/or more simplified method of predicting soil temperatures from other climatic parameters.
3. To aide materially in the understanding of the mechanism operating with respect to the thermal response of the soil.
4. Establish more firmly the relationship between atmospheric and soil temperatures.
5. Account for deviations in predicted soil temperatures from actual observations.
6. Provide a detailed analysis of temporal patterns of soil temperatures on a spatial basis in a significant agricultural region.

7. Analyze the spatial patterns of soil temperature in the study area.

The above objectives are not all inclusive, but provide a guide to the major emphasis of this study. Secondary objectives are inherent in the achievement of the major goals of this study.

Research in Soil Temperature Estimation

With the relative paucity of direct soil temperature observations, considerable research has been devoted to estimating the temperature of the soil. Two principal approaches have been followed in this prediction process. One technique, the heat transference approach, attempts to project temperatures at various depths and time from a limited number of soil temperature observations. The other method, the extrinsic approach attempts to estimate soil temperatures from meteorological or climatological conditions. The two are fundamentally different in that the former is only concerned with temperature changes in the soil profile, while the latter relates to the interrelationships between the soil and the external environment.

Studies in Soil Heat Transference

Soil temperature estimation for given depths and times from other soil temperature observations are generally based on heat flow equations for homogeneous, isotropic, semi-infinite media, known as the Fourier series (Shaw, 1952: 326; Fluker, 1958: 46). Expressed as:

$$\left(\frac{dt}{dr}\right) = \left(\frac{dt^2}{dx^2}\right) \quad (1)$$

Where: t = temperature
 r = time
 x = distance

(Penrod and others, 1960: 275).

The solution to the equation requires several empirically derived parameters, such as thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, and specific and volumetric heat capacities.¹ Thus, to estimate soil temperature change through time and with depth, extensive information about a particular soil's thermal properties is required. Studies utilizing Fourier analysis have been generally confined to single sites for a limited time period where the physical properties of the soil can be

¹Thermal conductivity is the quantity of heat flowing per unit of time through a unit area of material of a given thickness, usually expressed in cal./cm./sec./C° (Chang, 1958: 28). Generally, the greater the conductivity of the soil, the smaller the temperature gradient. Soil moisture is the most significant parameter affecting conductivity.

Thermal diffusivity is the change in temperature per unit area per unit of time, expressed as: C°/cm.²/sec.

Specific heat capacity of the soil is the heat required to produce a given change in the temperature of a given mass, expressed as cal./gm./C°. For most soils it ranges from .17 to .26 cal./gm./C° (Bouyoucos, 1913; Kersten, 1949).

Volumetric heat capacity is the heat required to produce a given temperature change per given volume expressed as cal./cm.³/C°. For most soils values usually range from .29 to .70 (W. O. Smith, 1939; Kersten, 1949).

measured and the soil moisture conditions continuously monitored. This research belongs in the realm of laboratory analysis, but are of great value in understanding soil temperature phase-lag and amplitude, moisture movement, and other processes occurring in the soil.

The early work done with heat transference in the soil was by Patten (1909). His methodology, while simplistic, emphasized the importance of thermal diffusivity in temperature changes of the soil. Langbein (1949) attempted to compute soil temperatures at depths in soil from surface temperatures by expressing the variations in soil temperature as a weighted mean of the antecedent surface temperature. Fourier analysis was used to compute the amplitudes of simple periodic curves (sine curves) for changing depths. Fluker (1958) compared actual soil temperature observations with sinusoidal curves for a series of depths and derived a general equation to describe the annual temperature variations observed at various depths at College Station, Texas. Penrod and others (1960), using techniques similar to Fluker, compared solar radiation observations to temperature gradients of the soil in Kentucky. It was concluded that the soil absorbs more energy than it transmits to the atmosphere and space from March to September when temperature gradients are negative (temperatures decrease with depth). During the remainder of the year when the gradients are positive (temperatures increase with depth), more heat is transmitted than absorbed.

Carson (1961) applied harmonic Fourier analysis to the ten day mean of temperature to determine average relationships at Argonne, Illinois. His analysis found that the logarithmic values of temperature amplitudes decrease linearly with depth; and the time lag of extreme temperatures increased linearly with depths below 10 cm. (4 inches) (Carson, 1961: 91). Analyzing the heat exchange process in the soil and changes in monthly soil temperature, Carson and Moses (1963) derived monthly values for the gain or loss of heat energy from the soil. They confirmed the findings by Penrod and others (1960) that energy was gained by the soil from March through September and lost from October through February. Values for this monthly gain or loss of heat in calories per square centimeter per day were provided. The greatest heat exchanges occurred in June (positive) and November (negative). These findings of daily heat exchange between the earth and atmosphere compare favorably with those reported by Lettau (1957) in experiments conducted near O'Neill, Nebraska. On a diurnal basis, the heat flux patterns between the soil and atmosphere are quite similar to those for net radiation (Carson and Moses, 1963: 404)

Wierenga and Wit (1970) and Hanks and others (1971) applied numerical methods to estimate subsoil temperatures from surface temperatures. Again, information on the physical and thermal properties of the particular soils were necessary to the studies. Wierenga and Wit (1970) found that soil

temperature gradients could be closely simulated in wet soils, but poorly represented in soils that were drying out. They concluded that the difference between computed and measured values were greater in dry soils because of more variable temperatures at the surface, resulting from lower specific and volumetric heat capacities. Hanks and others (1971) recommend that soil temperature observations be made at depths where evaporation is essentially zero and heat capacities rather constant.

Van Wijk and de Vries (Van Wijk, 1963) provide a very comprehensive review of the techniques utilized in several of the above reported studies. The principles and factors in the application of the theories of heat flow to soil temperatures are given good treatment. Richards and others (Shaw, 1952) and Chang (1958) provide more concise reviews of earlier studies on thermal processes in soil.

Several studies question the applicability of these heat flow theories to soil. Alfred Smith (1939) concludes that soil is not a homogeneous medium and that the fundamental differential equation for heat flow does not hold for soils under actual field conditions. Landsberg and Blanc (1958) maintain that the thermal properties of soil constantly change through time and with depth as moisture conditions and other meteorological elements vary.

Research in Climatological Relationships with Soil Temperature

Substantial literature exists concerning the relationship between climatological or meteorological conditions and soil temperatures. Only some of the more significant research will be related at this point. Many of these studies are basically descriptive and provide few analyses of these interrelationships. A few attempt some type of quantitative analysis to measure the direction and strength of these relationships.

Bouyoucos (1913, 1916) performed some of the first extensive research into the factors influencing soil temperature. He concluded that the external factors or meteorological elements are the most significant factors to long term soil temperature regimes.

"...the degree of soil temperature is almost wholly controlled by the external factors and especially the air temperature, but modified by the intrinsic factors. Inequality in temperature, therefore, in different kinds of soil during the same day will depend upon the intrinsic factors, but variations in temperature in any soil in succeeding days or seasons will depend upon the external factors.... In other words each type of soil possesses the various intrinsic factors in a compensating or neutralizing degree and consequently they all tend to have the same average temperature under the same meteorological elements." (Bouyoucos, 1916: 127-28).

The temperature of the soil is interrelated with many climatological or meteorological variables. Solar radiation, clarity of the atmosphere, precipitation, wind humidity, and air mass conditions all play significant roles in the thermal regime of the soil. Blanc (1958) and Landsberg and Blanc