

INFORMATION TO USERS

The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the original text directly from the copy submitted. Thus, some dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from a computer printer.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyrighted material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each oversize page is available as one exposure on a standard 35 mm slide or as a 17" × 23" black and white photographic print for an additional charge.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. 35 mm slides or 6" × 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.



300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA

PREVIEW

Order Number 8806155

**Efficacy, soil behavior, and persistence of imazaquin,
imazethapyr, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020**

Stougaard, Robert Norman, Ph.D.
The University of Nebraska - Lincoln, 1987

Copyright ©1988 by Stougaard, Robert Norman. All rights reserved.

U·M·I
300 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy.
Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark ✓.

1. Glossy photographs or pages _____
2. Colored illustrations, paper or print _____
3. Photographs with dark background _____
4. Illustrations are poor copy _____
5. Pages with black marks, not original copy _____
6. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page _____
7. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages ✓ _____
8. Print exceeds margin requirements _____
9. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine _____
10. Computer printout pages with indistinct print _____
11. Page(s) _____ lacking when material received, and not available from school or author.
12. Page(s) _____ seem to be missing in numbering only as text follows.
13. Two pages numbered _____. Text follows.
14. Curling and wrinkled pages _____
15. Dissertation contains pages with print at a slant, filmed as received _____
16. Other _____

U·M·I

PREVIEW

EFFICACY, SOIL BEHAVIOR, AND PERSISTENCE OF IMAZAQUIN,
IMAZETHAPYR, CHLORIMURON, AND FMC-57020

by

ROBERT N. STOUGAARD

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Agronomy

Under the Supervision of Professor Alex R. Martin

Lincoln, Nebraska

September, 1987

TITLE

Efficacy, soil behavior, and persistence of imazaquin,

imazethapyr, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020

BY

Robert N. Stougaard

APPROVED

DATE

<u>Dr. Alex R. Martin</u>	<u>12/11/87</u>
<u>Dr. Patrick J. Shea</u>	<u>12/11/87</u>
<u>Dr. Robert Mumm</u>	<u>12/11/87</u>
<u>Dr. Gail A. Wicks</u>	<u>12/11/87</u>
<u>Dr. Richard Dam</u>	<u>12/11/87</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

GRADUATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

PREVIEW

©1988

ROBERT NORMAN STOUGAARD

All Rights Reserved

EFFICACY, SOIL BEHAVIOR, AND PERSISTENCE OF IMAZAQUIN,
IMAZETHAPYR, CHLORIMURON, AND FMC-57020

Robert N. Stougaard, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1987

Advisor: Alex R. Martin

Adsorption, mobility, and efficacy of imazaquin and imazethapyr were compared as a function of soil type and pH. Adsorption increased for both compounds as soil pH decreased, resulting in decreased mobility and efficacy. Adsorption was greatest in soils with the highest clay and organic matter content. Imazethapyr was the least mobile, most highly adsorbed, and efficacious of the two herbicides, although it has the highest water solubility.

Soil persistence was also compared as a function of use rate and application timing in a no-till sorghum-soybean - winter wheat rotation utilizing greenhouse and field bioassays with winter wheat. The greenhouse bioassay demonstrated that residual concentrations increased with application date and use rate. Early preplant applications had the lowest detectable concentrations remaining, followed by the preemergence and postemergence applications, respectively. Although injury was apparent with the greenhouse bioassay, the effect was minimal in the field bioassay. This variation in response is largely due to differences in soil moisture conditions which affects availability of these herbicides. There appears to be no difference in the selectivity of winter wheat to the

two herbicides. Results also indicate that there may be an interaction between the imidazolinones and leaf rust organisms, with wheat injury increasing in the presence of the disease.

The final objective of this research was to compare the efficacy and soil persistence of early preplant applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 in a no-till soybean - winter wheat rotation. The most effective weed control was obtained when early preplant applications were followed by sequential preemergence applications of metolachlor or postemergence applications of bentazon. Imazaquin failed to provide adequate control of horseweed and large crabgrass. FMC-57020 was weak on pigweed species, and horseweed. Chlorimuron failed to control large crabgrass and was somewhat weak on velvetleaf. All three herbicides provided excellent control of triazine-resistant kochia. FMC-57020 caused substantial injury to winter wheat. Winter wheat injury appeared to be the least in treatments which had the poorest soybean weed control, suggesting that plant density may have an effect on the carryover potential of FMC-57020.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
A. EFFECT OF SOIL TYPE AND PH ON THE ADSORPTION,	
MOBILITY, AND EFFICACY OF IMAZAQUIN AND IMAZETHAPYR	1
Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Materials and Methods.	5
Results and Discussion	8
Adsorption	8
Mobility	10
Efficacy	11
Literature Cited	13
B. PERSISTENCE OF IMAZAQUIN AND IMAZETHAPYR IN A NO-TILL	
SORGHUM [<u>Sorghum bicolor</u> (L.) Moench] - SOYBEAN	
[<u>Glycine max</u> (L.) Merr.] - WINTER WHEAT [<u>Triticum</u>	
<u>aestivum</u> L.] ROTATION.	39
Abstract	39
Introduction	40
Materials and Methods.	42
Results and Discussion	44
Bioassay	44
Winter wheat injury.	48
Winter wheat yield	52
Literature Cited	54

C. EFFECT OF EARLY PREPLANT APPLICATIONS OF IMAZAQUIN, CHLORIMURON, AND FMC-57020 ON EFFICACY AND PERSISTENCE IN A NO-TILL SORGHUM [<u>Sorghum bicolor</u> (L.) Moench] - SOYBEAN [<u>Glycine max</u> (L.) Merr.] - WINTER WHEAT [<u>Triticum aestivum</u> L.] ROTATION.		69
Abstract		69
Introduction		70
Materials and Methods.		72
Results and Discussion		75
Weed control and yield		75
Soybean injury and yield		81
Winter wheat yield		84
Literature Cited		88
D. Summary.131
APPENDICES.133

PREVIEW

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table A1. Soil properties.	17
Table A2. The effect of soil type and pH on the adsorption of imazaquin and imazethapyr. . .	18
Table A3. Relationship between the predicted amount of imazethapyr adsorbed and equilibrium concentration at three pH levels in three soil types.	19
Table A4. Relationship between the predicted amount of imazaquin adsorbed and equilibrium concentration at three pH levels in three soil types.	20
Table A5. Analysis of variance for the effect of soil type and pH on the adsorption of imazaquin and imazethapyr.	21
Table A6. Relationship between the predicted amount of imazaquin adsorbed and soil pH at four concentrations in three soil types.	25
Table A7. Relationship between the predicted amount of imazethapyr adsorbed and soil pH at four concentrations in three soil types.	26
Table A8. The effect of soil type and pH on the adsorption, mobility, and efficacy of imazaquin and imazethapyr.	27

Table A9.	Analysis of variance for the effect of soil type and pH on the mobility and efficacy of imazaquin and imazethapyr. . . .	28
Table A10.	Relationship between predicted herbicide mobility, as measured by Rf values, and soil pH in 3 soil types.	30
Table A11.	Relationship between predicted percent height reduction, of winter wheat as compared to controls, and soil pH in 3 soils.	31
Table B1.	Experimental site and treatment variables for the persistence of imazaquin and imazethapyr in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation, 1984 to 1987.	56
Table B2.	Relationship between application date and use rate on the predicted persistence of imazaquin in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation.	57
Table B3.	Relationship between application date and use rate on the predicted persistence of imazaquin and imazethapyr in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation	58
Table B4.	Analysis of variance for the soil persistence of imazaquin as a function of use rate and application date in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation. .	59

Table B5.	Analysis of variance for the effect of imazaquin and imazethapyr use rate and application date on persistence in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation. .	60
Table B6.	Effect of imazaquin rate and application date on soil persistence in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation. .	62
Table B7.	Effect of use rate and application timing on the persistence of imazaquin and imazethapyr in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation.	63
Table B8.	Spearman correlation coefficients and probability levels for herbicide concentration, winter wheat injury and yield, 1985.	64
Table B9.	Spearman correlation coefficients and probability levels for herbicide concentrations, winter wheat injury and yield, 1985.	65
Table C1.	Experimental site and treatment variables for the effect of early preplant (EPP) applications of chlorimuron, imazaquin, and FMC-57020 on efficacy and persistence in a no-till sorghum - soybean - winter wheat rotation, 1984 to 1986.	91

Table C2.	Spearman correlation coefficients and probability levels for weed control and crop injury, 1984.	92
Table C3.	Spearman correlation coefficients and probability levels for weed control and crop injury, 1985.	93
Table C4	Effect of early preplant (EPP) applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on weed control, July 14, 1984.	94
Table C5.	Analysis of variance for the effect of early preplant applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on weed control, 1984.	95
Table C6.	Relationship between predicted percent horseweed control and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984.	98
Table C7.	Effect of early preplant (EPP) applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on soybean injury, weed and soybean yield, 1984.	99
Table C8.	Relationship between predicted percent redroot pigweed control and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984.	100

Table C9.	Analysis of variance for the effect of early preplant applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on soybean injury, weed and soybean yield, 1984. . .	.101
Table C10.	Relationship between predicted weed yield and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984.104
Table C11.	Effect of early preplant (EPP) applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on weed control, July 14, 1985.105
Table C12.	Effect of early preplant (EPP) applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on soybean injury, weed and soybean yield, 1985.106
Table C13.	Relationship between predicted percent tall waterhemp control and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985.107
Table C14.	Relationship between predicted weed yield and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985.108
Table C15.	Analysis of variance for the effect of early preplant applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on soybean injury, weed and soybean yield, 1985. . .	.109

Table C16. Analysis of variance for the effect of early preplant applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on weed control, 1985.112
Table C17. Relationship between predicted percent velvetleaf control and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984.115
Table C18. Relationship between predicted percent velvetleaf control and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985.116
Table C19. Relationship between predicted percent large crabgrass control and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985.117
Table C20. Relationship between predicted soybean injury and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984.118
Table C21. Relationship between predicted soybean yield and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984.119
Table C22. Relationship between predicted soybean injury and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985.120

Table C23. Relationship between predicted soybean yield and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985.121
Table C24. Effect of early preplant (EPP) applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on wheat yield, 1985 and 1986.122
Table C25. Analysis of variance for the effect of early preplant applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020 on winter wheat yield.123
Table C26. Relationship between predicted winter wheat yield and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985.126
Table C27. Relationship between predicted winter wheat yield and herbicide rate for imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1986.127

LIST of FIGURES

	Page
Figure A1. Physical and chemical properties of imazaquin and imazethapyr.	32
Figure A2. Adsorption isotherms for imazaquin (square) and imazethapyr (triangle) in a Sharpsburg silty clay loam soil as a function of pH.	33
Figure A3. Adsorption isotherms for imazaquin (square) and imazethapyr (triangle) in a Holdrege silt loam soil as a function of pH.	34
Figure A4. Adsorption isotherms for imazaquin (square) and imazethapyr (triangle) in a Tripp very fine sandy loam soil as a function of pH.	35
Figure A5. Chromatographs for the mobility of imazaquin (solid) and imazethapyr (dashed) as a function of soil type and pH.	36
Figure A6. Mobility as determined by R _f values for imazaquin and imazethapyr as a function of pH in sharpsburg silty clay loam (square), Holdrege silt loam (triangle), and Tripp very fine sandy loam (diamond) soils.	37

Figure A7. Efficacy as determined by wheat injury for imazaquin and imazethapyr as a function of pH in sharpsburg silty clay loam (square), Holdrege silt loam (triangle), and Tripp very fine sandy loam (diamond) soils.	38
Figure B1. Effect of imazaquin application rate and date on fall residual soil concentrations, winter wheat injury and yield, study I, 1984 to 1986.	66
Figure B2. Effect of imazaquin application rate and date on fall residual soil cocentrations, winter wheat injury and yield, study II, 1985 to 1987.	67
Figure B3. Effect of imazethapyr application rate and date on fall residual soil concentrations, winter wheat injury and yield, study II, 1985 to 1987.	68
Figure C1. Effect of use rate and sequential treatments of metolachlor (PRE) and acifluorfen plus bentazon (POST) on weed yields for EPP applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984 to 1985.	128

Figure C2. Effect of use rate and sequential treatments of metolachlor (PRE) and acifluorfen plus bentazon (POST) on soybean yields for EPP applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1984 to 1985.129

Figure C3. Effect of use rate and sequential treatments of metolachlor (PRE) and acifluorfen plus bentazon (POST) on soil persistence as determined by winter wheat yields for EPP applications of imazaquin, chlorimuron, and FMC-57020, 1985 to 1986. .130

PREVIEW

Effect of Soil Type and pH on the Adsorption, Mobility,
and Efficacy of Imazaquin and Imazethapyr

Abstract. Laboratory and greenhouse studies were conducted to determine the effect of soil type and pH on the adsorption, mobility, and efficacy of imazethapyr (2-[4,5-dihydro-4-methyl-4-(1-methyl)-5-oxo-1H-imidazol-2-yl]-5-ethyl-3-pyridinecarboxylic acid) and imazaquin (2-[4,5-dihydro-4-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)-5-oxo-1H-imidazol-2-yl]-3-quinolinecarboxylic acid). Adsorption of both herbicides increased, and mobility and efficacy decreased as pH decreased. Adsorption was greatest in soils with the highest organic matter and clay content. Conversely, efficacy and mobility decreased as organic matter and clay content increased. Imazethapyr was the least mobile, most highly adsorbed, and efficacious of the two herbicides.