

## INFORMATION TO USERS

This material was produced from a microfilm copy of the original document. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or patterns which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting thru an image and duplicating adjacent pages to insure you complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a large round black mark, it is an indication that the photographer suspected that the copy may have moved during exposure and thus cause a blurred image. You will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., was part of the material being photographed the photographer followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin photoing at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue photoing from left to right in equal sections with a small overlap. If necessary, sectioning is continued again -- beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. The majority of users indicate that the textual content is of greatest value, however, a somewhat higher quality reproduction could be made from "photographs" if essential to the understanding of the dissertation. Silver prints of "photographs" may be ordered at additional charge by writing the Order Department, giving the catalog number, title, author and specific pages you wish reproduced.
5. PLEASE NOTE: Some pages may have indistinct print. Filmed as received.

### University Microfilms International

300 North Zeeb Road  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 USA  
St. John's Road, Tyler's Green  
High Wycombe, Bucks, England HP10 8HR

77-32,137

SMITH, Danny Harold, 1946-  
EFFECT OF PHYSIOLOGIC AND MANAGEMENT FACTORS  
ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF GRAIN SORGHUM  
(SORGHUM BICOLOR (L.) MOENCH) RESIDUES.

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln, Ph.D.,  
1977  
Agronomy

University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

PREVIEW

EFFECT OF PHYSIOLOGIC AND MANAGEMENT FACTORS  
ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF GRAIN SORGHUM  
(SORGHUM BICOLOR (L.) MOENCH) RESIDUES

by

Danny H. Smith

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of  
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska  
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements  
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Agronomy

Under the Supervision of Professors  
L. J. Perry, Jr. and Jerry D. Eastin

Lincoln, Nebraska

August, 1977

**TITLE**

**EFFECT OF PHYSIOLOGIC AND MANAGEMENT FACTORS ON YIELD**  
**AND QUALITY OF GRAIN SORGHUM (SORGHUM BICOLOR (L.) MOENCH) RESIDUES**

**BY**

**DANNY HAROLD SMITH**

**APPROVED**

**DATE**

<u>L. J. Perry, Jr.</u>	<u>August 12, 1977</u>
<u>Jerry Eastin</u>	<u>August 12, 1977</u>
<u>Terry Klopfenstein</u>	<u>August 12, 1977</u>
<u>John K. Ward</u>	<u>August 12, 1977</u>
<u>Robert Britton</u>	<u>August 12, 1977</u>
<u>E. C. Conard</u>	<u>August 12, 1977</u>
<u>F. A. Haskins</u>	<u>August 12, 1977</u>

**SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE**

**GRADUATE COLLEGE**

**UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special appreciation is expressed to Dr. Bruce Maunder of DEKALB AgResearch, Inc. Without his continued support, encouragement, and sincere personal interest throughout my collegiate experience, completion of this course of study would not have been realized.

Gratitude is also expressed to Drs. L. J. Perry and J. D. Eastin for serving as co-advisors and providing valuable assistance during my Ph. D. studies. Thanks are also extended to Drs. R. A. Britton and J. K. Ward for their assistance as members of the reading committee. Dr. F. A. Haskins' kind assistance throughout the course of these studies and critical review of the manuscript is also appreciated. The assistance of the other members of my supervisory committee, Drs. T. J. Klopfenstein and E. C. Conard, is also gratefully acknowledged.

Special recognition is also expressed to my wife, Cathy, for her financial and moral support during this program of study.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	iv
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES . . . . .	vi
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	vii
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
LITERATURE REVIEW . . . . .	3
Yield and Composition . . . . .	4
Stover Yield . . . . .	4
Dry Matter Content . . . . .	8
Crude Protein . . . . .	10
Nonstructural Carbohydrates . . . . .	12
Structural Organic Constituents . . . . .	13
Silica . . . . .	15
Cyanogenic Glycosides . . . . .	17
Tannins . . . . .	18
Nitrates . . . . .	18
Utilization of Grain Sorghum Residues . . . . .	19
Weathering Losses in Sorghum Residues . . . . .	22
Weathering . . . . .	22
Leaching . . . . .	24
Freezing . . . . .	25
Microbial Effects . . . . .	25
MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . .	27
Experiment Series I . . . . .	29
1974 . . . . .	29
1975-76 . . . . .	32
Lab Analysis . . . . .	33
Experiment Series II . . . . .	34
Experiment Series III . . . . .	36
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . . . . .	38
Experiment Series I . . . . .	38
Pre-frost Period . . . . .	38
Pre-frost Discussion . . . . .	48
Post-frost Period . . . . .	85
Experiment Series II . . . . .	108
Experiment Series III . . . . .	122
CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	134
REFERENCES . . . . .	137
APPENDIX . . . . .	145

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1. Literature estimates of stover yield in dryland and irrigated grain sorghum harvested at maturity over several locations . .	5
1.2. Literature estimates of percent dry matter in sorghum stover and stover yield components at various harvests. . . . .	9
1.3. Literature estimates of percent crude protein in sorghum stover and stover yield components at various harvests. . . . .	11
1.4. Literature estimates of fiber composition in sorghum stover and stover yield components at various harvests. . . . .	16
1.5. Literature estimates of <u>in vitro</u> dry matter disappearance (IVDMD) for forage and grain sorghum stover at various harvests. . . . .	20
1.6. Literature estimates of relative and absolute dry matter disappearance rates in various types of vegetation. . . . .	23
2.1. Monthly summaries of average maximum daily temperature, precipitation, and snow cover for Mead, Nebraska from January 1974 to January 1976. . . . .	28
2.2. Residue harvest dates for 3 hybrids used in Experiment Series I . . . . .	31
3.1. Duration of and total plant production rates during the pre-frost periods of 1974 and 1975 in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	39
3.2. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1974 pre-frost total plant data . . . . .	77
3.3. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1975 pre-frost total plant data. . . . .	79

Table	Page
3.4. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1974 pre-frost stover component data. . . . .	81
3.5. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1975 pre-frost stover component data. . . . .	83
3.6. Duration of harvest intervals during the post-frost periods of 1974 and 1975-76 . . . . .	86
3.7a. Analysis of OM yield losses in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids during the post-frost period of 1974. . . . .	88
3.7b. Analysis of OM yield losses in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids during the post-frost period of 1976-76. . . . .	89
3.8. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1974 post-frost total plant data . . . . .	100
3.9. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1975-76 post-frost total plant data . . . . .	102
3.10. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1974 post-frost stover component data . . . . .	104
3.11. Mean squares and overall LSD's for 1975-76 post-frost stover component data. . . . .	106
3.12. Mean squares for residue component data from the first residue harvest in 1974 and 1975 . . . . .	120
3.13. Mean squares for total residue data from both residue harvests in 1974-75 and 1975-76 . . . . .	121
3.14. Mean squares for OM yield in 1974-75 and 1975-76 grazing studies . . . . .	132
3.15. Mean squares for composition and IVOMD in 1974-75 and 1975-76 grazing studies. . . . .	133



# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table	Page
A.1. NB 505 treatment means in 1974 (Experiment Series I) . . . . .	146
A.2. C-42c treatment means in 1974 (Experiment Series I) . . . . .	148
A.3. RS 671 treatment means in 1974 (Experiment Series I) . . . . .	150
A.4. NB 505 treatment means in 1975-76 (Experiment Series I) . . . . .	152
A.5. C-42c treatment means in 1975-76 (Experiment Series I) . . . . .	154
A.6. RS 671 treatment means in 1975-76 (Experiment Series I) . . . . .	156
A.7. Treatment means from Experiment Series II .	158
A.8. Treatment means from Experiment Series III .	160
A.9. Regression of various dependent variables on days after frost and coefficients of determination in HP and HR treatments of NB 505 in 1974 and 1975-76 (data from Experiment Series I) . . . . .	161
A.10. Regression of various dependent variables on days after frost and coefficients of determination in HP and HR treatments of C-42c in 1974 and 1975-76 (data from Experiment Series I) . . . . .	162
A.11. Regression of various dependent variables on days after frost and coefficients of determination in HP and HR treatments of RS 671 in 1974 and 1975-76 (data from Experiment Series I) . . . . .	163
A.12. List of abbreviations used in text. . . . .	164

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1a. Stover organic matter yields of early, medium and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	51
3.1b. Organic matter yields of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	52
3.2a. Percent cell wall constituents in stover of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	53
3.2b. Percent cell wall constituents of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	54
3.3a. Stover cell wall constituent yields of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	55
3.3b. Cell wall constituent yields of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost, as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	56

Figure	Page
3.4a. Percent apparent hemicellulose in stover of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	57
3.4b. Percent apparent hemicellulose of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	58
3.5a. Stover apparent hemicellulose yields of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	59
3.5b. Apparent hemicellulose yields of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	60
3.6a. Percent apparent cellulose in stover of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	61
3.6b. Percent apparent cellulose of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	62
3.7a. Stover apparent cellulose yields of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	63

Figure	Page
3.7b. Apparent cellulose yields of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	64
3.8a. Percent acid detergent lignin in stover of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	65
3.8b. Percent acid detergent lignin of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	66
3.9a. Stover acid detergent lignin yields of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . .	67
3.9b. Acid detergent lignin yields of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . .	68
3.10a. Stover cell content yields of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	69
3.10b. Cell content yields of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	70

Figure	Page
3.11a. Percent crude protein in stover of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	71
3.11b. Percent crude protein of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	72
3.12a. Stover crude protein yields of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	73
3.12b. Crude protein yields of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	74
3.13a. Percent acid detergent fiber in stover of early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments. . . . .	75
3.13b. Percent acid detergent fiber of leaves, upper stalks, and lower stalks in early, medium, and late maturing grain sorghum hybrids harvested at physiologic maturity and three successive dates after frost as affected by heading treatments . . . . .	76
3.14a. Effect of three planting dates on organic matter yields of stalks and leaves and combine tailings harvested on November 22 and November 14 in 1974 and 1975, respectively . . . . .	114
3.14b. Effect of three planting dates and two residue harvest dates on organic matter yields of total grain sorghum residues in 1974-75 and 1975-76 . . . . .	114

Figure	Page
3.15a. Effect of three planting dates on percent cell wall constituents of stalks and leaves and combine tailings harvested on November 22 and November 14 in 1974 and 1975, respectively . . . . .	115
3.15b. Effect of three planting dates and two residue harvest dates on percent cell wall constituents of total grain sorghum residues in 1974-75 and 1975-76. . . . .	115
3.16a. Effect of three planting dates on percent acid detergent fiber of stalks and leaves and combine tailings harvested on November 22 and November 14 in 1974 and 1975, respectively . . . . .	116
3.16b. Effect of three planting dates and two residue harvest dates on percent acid detergent fiber of total grain sorghum residues in 1974-75 and 1975-76. . . . .	116
3.17a. Effect of three planting dates on percent acid detergent lignin of stalks and leaves and combine tailings harvested on November 22 and November 14 in 1974 and 1975, respectively . . . . .	117
3.17b. Effect of three planting dates and two residue harvest dates on percent acid detergent lignin of total grain sorghum residues in 1974-75 and 1975-76. . . . .	117
3.18a. Effect of three planting dates on percent crude protein of stalks and leaves and combine tailings harvested on November 22 and November 14 in 1974 and 1975, respectively . . . . .	118
3.18b. Effect of three planting dates and two residue harvest dates on percent crude protein of total grain sorghum residues in 1974-75 and 1975-76 . . . . .	118
3.19a. Effect of three planting dates on IVOMD of stalks and leaves and combine tailings harvested on November 22 and November 14 in 1974 and 1975, respectively . . . . .	119

Figure	Page
3.19b. Effect of three planting dates and two residue harvest dates on IVOMD of total grain sorghum residues in 1974-75 and 1975-76. . . . .	119
3.20a. Organic matter yields of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1974-75 . . . .	126
3.20b. Organic matter yields of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1975-76 . . . .	126
3.21a. Percent cell wall constituents of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1974-75. . . . .	127
3.21b. Percent cell wall constituents of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1975-76. . . . .	127
3.22a. Percent acid detergent fiber of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1974-75. . . . .	128
3.22b. Percent acid detergent fiber of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1975-76. . . . .	128
3.23a. Percent acid detergent lignin of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1974-75. . . . .	129
3.23b. Percent acid detergent lignin of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1975-76. . . . .	129

Figure	Page
3.24a. Percent crude protein of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1974-75 . . . .	130
3.24b. Percent crude protein of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1975-76 . . . .	130
3.25a. Percent IVOMD of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1974-75. . . . .	131
3.25b. Percent IVOMD of grain sorghum stalks, leaves, and combine tailings prior to and after grazing in grazed and ungrazed treatments during 1975-76. . . . .	131



## INTRODUCTION

Crop residue is a term used to describe above ground plant material left in the aftermath of a primary grain harvesting operation. Residue is used as a livestock feed source in many areas of the U.S. Methods of utilization vary depending on the livestock production system and may include grazing, baling, stacking, and preservation as silage. Despite widespread use, research effort to determine optimum systems of residue utilization has only recently been pursued.

Crop residue from grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) represents a valuable resource for livestock production. Between 1973 and 1975 grain sorghum was second to corn among feed grains in total harvested hectares in the U.S., averaging 7.5 million hectares annually.<sup>1/</sup> The bulk of grain sorghum production in the U.S. occurs in the Great Plains. Texas, Kansas, Nebraska, and Oklahoma have traditionally been the leading states in both harvested hectares and production (Kramer and Ross, 1970). Nebraska grain sorghum production averaged 3261 kg/ha from 0.78 million hectares during 1973 to 1975.<sup>1/</sup>

Yield and composition of grain sorghum stover during the growing season and immediately after grain harvest

---

<sup>1/</sup>USDA. 1976. Agricultural Statistics.

have been fairly well characterized. However, only Martin and Wedin (1974) and Martin (1974) have described the effects of weathering processes occurring after frost on yield and composition. In addition, Martin (1974) has assessed the importance of grain harvest date and the time period between physiologic maturity and frost on composition using a single genotype. The importance of the duration of this interval, however, was not determined. Therefore, research was conducted during the growing seasons and subsequent fall and winter periods of 1974 and 1975 to determine the effect of planting date, maturity, the duration of the period between physiologic maturity and frost, grazing by beef cows, grain harvest date, and residue harvest date on yield, composition, and quality of grain sorghum residues.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) is a Gramineae member of the Andropogoneae tribe of the subfamily, Panicoideae (Clayton, 1961). The Sorghum genus includes several grass species such as johnsongrass (halapense) and sudangrass (sudanense), grain sorghums, sorgos, and broomcorns (Martin, 1970). Forage sorghums are commonly differentiated from grain sorghums only by virtue of having fewer dominant dwarfing genes.

Cultivated sorghums probably originated in or near east central Africa and were first brought to the Western Hemisphere during the course of slave trade in the 17th and 18th centuries. Appreciable production in the U.S. did not begin until the late 19th century with the introduction of several sorghum types from Africa, India, and South America (Martin, 1970).

The morphology and plant development of grain sorghum have been described by Freeman (1970). Vanderlip and Reeves (1972) detailed nine developmental stages in photoperiod insensitive sorghums; however, the discussion provided here will follow the three functional stages of development as described by Eastin (1972). Early growth after emergence is characterized by leaf production by the

vegetative apex. This first phase ends with the transition of the shoot apex from the vegetative to the floral phase. Upper leaf expansion, stem elongation, and panicle initiation and differentiation occur during the second developmental stage which terminates with the onset of flowering. Grain filling occurs during the final developmental phase. Physiologic maturity marks the end of this phase. The entire life cycle (emergence to physiologic maturity) is approximately equally divided among these three developmental phases in photoperiod insensitive genotypes adapted to temperate regions (Pauli et al., 1964; Eastin, 1972).

Although sorghum behaves as an annual in temperate climates, the species exhibits perennial tendencies due to the initiation and occasional development of axillary buds along the main axis (Freeman, 1970). Grain sorghums generally show lower tillering tendencies than most grass types.

## Yield and Composition

### Stover Yield

A partial summary of available grain sorghum stover yield data is presented in Table 1.1. Potential residue yields vary with geographic location, available soil moisture, stage of harvest, genotype, and system of crop production practices utilized.

Table 1.1. Literature estimates of stover yield in dryland and irrigated grain sorghum harvested at maturity over several locations.

Source	Location	Description	Dry Matter Yield (kg/ha)
Bygott, 1956	Australia	Dryland	1612-3158
Burleson et al., 1956	Texas	Irrigated	3786-7840
Brown and Shrader, 1959	Kansas	Dryland	1120-5376
Porter et al., 1960	Texas	Irrigated	7963-10498
Bond et al., 1964	Texas	Dryland	2556-4498
Martin and Wedin, 1974	Iowa	Dryland	3780-4900
Perry and Olson, 1975	Nebraska	Irrigated	3434-5660
Jacques et al., 1975	Kansas	Dryland	4065-7370
Vetter and Ayres, 1973	Iowa	Machine Harvested	4293

Cultural practices have a substantial influence on dry matter (DM) yields of grain sorghum stover. Yield increases have been obtained by row spacing reductions to less than 102 cm under both dryland (Bygott, 1956; Brown and Shrader, 1959; Bond et al., 1964) and irrigated (Porter et al., 1960) conditions. Higher seeding rates can also increase yields in dryland (Brown and Shrader, 1959; Bond et al., 1964; Martin and Wedin, 1974) and irrigated (Porter et al., 1964) production situations.

Nitrogen fertilization will enhance stover yields when applied at or near planting (Burleson et al., 1956; Porter et al., 1960) or as a sidedress (Perry and Olson, 1975). However, the degree of yield response to nitrogen was variable in these studies. Burleson et al. (1956) found that stover yields increased with increasing nitrogen levels up to 134 kg/ha while Perry and Olson (1975) obtained maximum yields at 90 kg/ha. These nitrogen response differences were probably influenced by variation in other factors such as genotype, soil conditions, and climatic factors. Added nitrogen can also affect stover yields when applied late in the growing season. Martin (1974) induced relatively small increases in DM production by applying nitrogen fertilizer either at physiologic maturity or when grain moisture reached 25%.

Significant differences in stover yield can occur due to climatic variation over years (Windscheffel et al.,

1973; Martin and Wedin, 1974). Grain harvest date can also have a significant effect. Maximum DM yields occur at frost since sorghum continues to accumulate photosynthate during the period from physiologic maturity until frost (Windscheffel et al., 1973; Martin and Wedin, 1974; Martin, 1974). Following frost weathering factors can result in substantial yield losses. Webster and Davies (1956) noted continued DM losses during the fall and winter, averaging 33 to 50% of the DM present at frost in eight forage and grain sorghum lines. Martin and Wedin (1974) found that losses during the fall averaged 22%.

Variation in grain sorghum stover yield due to genotype differences have been documented to a limited extent. Within grain types (3-dwarf) medium maturity hybrids have shown yield advantages over early (Martin and Wedin, 1974) and late maturing genotypes (Jacques et al., 1975); however, variability within maturity groups has not been explored sufficiently. Windscheffel et al. (1973) compared two sets of isogenic 2- and 3-dwarf sorghum hybrids and found that taller genotypes (2-dwarf) produced 22% more DM than the shorter hybrids.

Interactions among the factors mentioned above can also contribute to stover yield variation. Where rainfall during the growing season is not adequate for optimum production the positive yield response due to decreasing row widths and increasing plant populations is limited by

soil moisture level at planting (Bygott, 1956; Brown and Shrader, 1959; Bond et al., 1964). Other climatic factors can interact with one or more of the above mentioned variables to produce variability in sorghum stover yield (Martin and Wedin, 1974; Perry and Olson, 1975). These interactions, however, are not well defined.

#### Dry Matter Content

A summary of available data on grain sorghum stover DM content is presented in Table 1.2. Webster and Davies (1956) noted that sorghum stover retained more moisture when left standing in the field than similar material which had been cut and shocked. Other authors have indicated that grain sorghum stover is higher in moisture than stover from other crops harvested at the same time (Vetter and Ayres, 1973; Perry and Ward, 1975). This is apparently due to high moisture levels retained in sorghum stalks after frost (Wikner and Atkins, 1960; Johnson et al., 1971; Martin and Wedin, 1974; Martin, 1974). The retention of moisture is an important consideration because vegetation decomposition is accelerated at higher moisture levels (Greenhill et al., 1961) due to development of mold (Snow et al., 1944; Sain and Broadbent, 1975) and possibly other factors. Vetter and Ayres (1973) indicated that storage problems will occur