

INFORMATION TO USERS

This was produced from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure you of complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark it is an indication that the film inspector noticed either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, or duplicate copy. Unless we meant to delete copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed, you will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed the photographer has followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For any illustrations that cannot be reproduced satisfactorily by xerography, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and tipped into your xerographic copy. Requests can be made to our Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases we have filmed the best available copy.

University
Microfilms
International

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106
18 BEDFORD ROW, LONDON WC1R 4EJ, ENGLAND

7918011

HAGERMAN, WILLIAM LEE

COMPUTER SUPPLEMENTED INSTRUCTION USING BATCH
PROCESSED DELAYED REINFORCEMENT AND FEEDBACK:
COMPARED TO TEACHER DIRECTED DISCUSSION
FOLLOWING INTERACTIVE MEDIATED INSTRUCTION
FOR FRESHMEN COLLEGE LIBRARY ORIENTATION.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA - LINCOLN, PH.D.,
1979

University
Microfilms
International

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106

PREVIEW

COMPUTER SUPPLEMENTED INSTRUCTION USING BATCH PROCESSED
DELAYED REINFORCEMENT AND FEEDBACK: COMPARED TO TEACHER
DIRECTED DISCUSSION FOLLOWING INTERACTIVE MEDIATED
INSTRUCTION FOR FRESHMEN COLLEGE LIBRARY ORIENTATION

by

William L. Hagerman

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Under the Supervision of Professor Wesley C. Meierhenry

Lincoln, Nebraska

May 1979

TITLE

Computer Supplemented Instruction Using Batch Processed Delayed
Reinforcement and Feedback: Compared to Teacher Directed
Discussion Following Interactive Mediated Instruction for Freshmen
College Library Orientation

BY

William L. Hagerman

APPROVED

DATE

<u>W. C. Meierhenry, Chairperson</u>	<u>March 21, 1979</u>
<u>C. Howard Eckel</u>	<u>March 21, 1979</u>
<u>George Nagy</u>	<u>March 21, 1979</u>
<u>Robert D. Brown</u>	<u>March 21, 1979</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

GRADUATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Perhaps one of the most rewarding aspects of the academic life is the respect and cooperation of teachers and colleagues. Though only one person may gain the ultimate recognition for accomplishments, they have seldom been achieved alone.

In the conception and execution of this dissertation, many have had a part, though only a few can be singled out.

Special and highest recognition must be extended to Dr. Wesley C. Meierhenry, whose nationally recognized leadership in mediated instruction extends well over a quarter of a century and without whose encouragement and wisdom this project would never have been achieved.

Thanks also to committee members Dr. Robert Brown, Department of Educational Psychology for his incisive questioning of methods and style; Dr. George Nagy, Chairman of the Computer Science Department, for his interest and constructive criticism; and to Dr. Howard Eckel, Department of Educational Administration.

Recognition for patience and informed counsel needs also to be extended to Maurice D. Anderson of the Wayne State College Computer Center; Lee Rockwell, Executive Director Nebraska Educational Television Council for Higher Education; and Chris Peterson, consultant at the Nebraska Evaluation and Research Center.

Nor could this have been achieved without the encouragement and cooperation of my family, Wayne State College administrators, colleagues and students.

William L. Hagerman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	A Need for Library Orientation	1
	The NETCHE Sequence	2
	Development	2
	The Missed Goal	3
	Redevelopment Costs Prohibitive	4
	The Problem and the Proposal	4
	Computer Programs Coordination	4
	CSI Projects	5
	The Research Questions	5
	Delimitation of the Study	6
	Justification	7
	Definition of Terms	7
	Assumptions	8
	Related Literature	9
	Procedures	9
	The Design	10
	Sample	10
	Instrumentation	10
	Data Collection and Treatment	11
	Concluding Chapters	11
II.	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	13
	Growth and Development of Computers	
	in Education	13
	Early Research	13
	Development	13
	Acceptance	15
	Computer Use in Education	16
	Research	16
	Information Retrieval	18
	Counselling	19
	Business Functions	19
	Computer Science	19
	Computer as Teacher	20
	Computer Assisted Instruction	20
	Computer Managed Instruction	21
	Computer Generated Testing	22
	Computer Supplemented Instruction	22

Chapter	Page
Related Research Studies	23
Instruction Following Mediated Instruction	24
Effectiveness of Reinforcement	26
Types of Feedback	28
Feedback Delay	29
Batch Processed Computerized Instruction	30
Literature Survey Conclusions	31
III. PROCEDURES	33
Selection of Subjects	33
Instrument Development	33
Criterion Test	34
Non-Criterion Test	34
Attitude Survey	35
Instrument Verification	36
Validity	36
Reliability	36
Collection of Data	38
Group I	39
Group II	39
Group III	39
Group IV	40
Data Analysis	40
IV. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS	42
Research Questions as Null Hypotheses	42
The First Research Question	43
Criterion Test Scores	43
Non-Criterion Test Scores	43
The Second Research Question	45
Pretest Scores as Predictors	45
Multiple Analysis of Covariance	45
Post Hoc Comparisons	47
CSI and Control Groups	47
Discussion and Control Groups	47
Complete Answer Printout and Control Groups	49
CSI and Discussion Groups	49
CSI and Complete Printout	49
Summary	50

Chapter	Page
V. FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	51
Additional Research Questions Proposed	51
A Practical Instructional Medium	51
Non-Criterion Significance	52
CSI as a Learning Tool	52
Non-Individualized Printout	53
Discussion Comparison	55
Faculty Time	56
Student Time	57
Criterion Test Results	57
Attitude Survey	59
Library Materials	60
Learning Methods	61
Additional Research Questions Considered	63
Effective Teaching Medium	63
Instruction following Mediated Instruction	64
Feedback	64
Delay of Feedback	65
Future Studies	66
Suggested Studies	66
Additional Proposed Studies	67
General Conclusions	67
BIBLIOGRAPHY	69
APPENDIX A <i>The College Library</i> Criterion Test	77
APPENDIX B <i>The College Library</i> Goals & Objectives	90

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Criterion test comparative scores Pre-instruction pretests	37
2. Raw score distribution	44
3. Adjusted posttest group means	48
4. Mediated instruction evaluation	54
5. Study time report analysis	58
6. Raw score means stated as percentages of possible scores	59
7. Attitude questionnaire: Library attitudes, value of test-subsequent study aids	60
8. Attitude questionnaire: Learning choices survey	62

PREVIEW

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

A Need for Library Orientation

Most entering college freshmen would benefit from an orientation to the college library. Instructors and librarians have recognized the lack of competence displayed by many high school graduates in using the college library (Carey, 1974; Rao, 1970). Yet, most colleges do not have a library staff of sufficient size to instruct all incoming freshmen, while English and speech instructors often feel they must devote their limited time to skills they consider more basic to their own disciplines.

Open admissions at many colleges and universities has meant that students are trying to achieve at academic levels for which they may not be prepared. Instruction in high schools has not improved in proportion to the demand for higher education admissions. In fact S.A.T. scores have fallen notably in recent years (Sanford, 1977).

As society attempts to compensate for the previously unequal opportunities of minorities and the impoverished, remedial and basic skills instruction has become an increasingly important part of the college curriculum.

While admissions criteria have eased, the amount of information needed for success has been increasing at a phenomenal rate. "Education has become an open-ended battle to keep up with what is known" (Thompson, 1969, p. 25).

For the ill-prepared as well as all students, the library offers an opportunity to explore, to catch-up or to keep-up. The ability to function effectively in the college library is probably more important to today's student than ever before. Not only is there more to know, there are also more types of sources from which to acquire knowledge. Many people still think of a library primarily as a repository for books; but miniaturized, projected and audio-encoded media are increasingly important as information sources. In addition, the disparate Sudox indexing system is used in most college libraries for the world's largest publisher, the United States government.

A recent study (Corlett, 1974) using *The Library Orientation Test for College Freshmen* (Feagley, et al., 1955) suggests that library knowledge is valid as a predictor of success in college with little direct correlation to general study habits and attitudes.

In 1971 the curriculum development committee of the Nebraska Educational Television Council for Higher Education (NETCHE) consortium recommended that a sequence of library instruction for entering college freshmen be designed and prepared utilizing the electronic media.

The NETCHE Sequence

Development

The College Library was developed by NETCHE as part of a national instructional design field-testing project

in cooperation with the Great Plains National Video Tape Library (Cavert, 1974). It was designed for slide-tape or closed circuit video tape presentation rather than for broadcast television as are most of NETCHE's materials, the primary differences being that this sequence included response books for student interaction, and did not adhere to the strict time regimen of broadcast television.

The Missed Goal

Using programmed instruction techniques, the goal of *The College Library* was to achieve 90% information retention by 90% of the students as recommended by William Deterline (1968).

Objectives were carefully prepared and preliminary field testing removed the obvious inadequacies. The completion of the report of the Great Plains National project, as well as the report of completed projects for NETCHE's federal funding, dictated that a pre-determined completion date be met.

In addition to the student response books, materials included a detailed instructor's manual and pretest-post-tests. Extensive art work and photography were recorded on slides and video tape. Narration, instruction, discussion, and music were included in the audio portion. The series became available on video cassette with built-in response times, and as a slide-tape presentation according to the schedule in the fall of 1973.

In spite of professional production techniques and determined efforts by all involved, the 90-90 goal was not achieved.

Redevelopmental Costs Prohibitive

To redesign the instruction would have entailed expense for which no budget had been allowed. All of the "right" techniques seemed to have been used except intensive field testing, which was not possible without the finished instructional materials. The sequence had the general approval of state college librarians (in spite of some evident minor flaws), and was being used by the Nebraska colleges that would be most apt to utilize such a program. So, although failing to meet the desired performance goals, it was fulfilling its intended function, and it was considered not practical to refine programs in the series.

The Problem and the Proposal

Computer Programs Coordination

At much the same time NETCHE had also obligated itself to serve temporarily as computer service coordinator for the colleges in Nebraska though without personnel expressly trained or assigned to the task, and with no overt demand from college faculties for computerized instruction. Thus computer instructional coordination was, in effect, a responsibility in name only.

A NETCHE survey (Hagerman, 1974) revealed that much batch process computer capacity at many of the colleges in the state was unused assuming a potential 24 hour operation such as that followed at most large university installations including the University of Nebraska at Lincoln. The availability of batch process computer facilities, and a felt need to improve the student information retention level of the library sequence after failure to meet the 90% retention standard, suggested that individualized computer printouts could help tutor students or reinforce key library utilization points.

CSI Project

For the above reasons the Computer Supplemented Instruction project was proposed to fulfill the following purposes: to design a computer program for a batch processing environment that would (1) evaluate the effectiveness of the instruction, (2) tabulate incorrect answers, (3) prepare an evaluation sheet for the instructor, and (4) prepare an individualized printout for the student which would give additional hints, comparisons and insights when answers were incorrect, and reinforcement when responses were correct.

The Research Questions

The problem posed for investigation was the following based upon Unit I of the five unit library series:

Is computer supplemented instruction equal to or better than traditional forms of instruction in terms of information retention and cost and time efficiency, especially following mediated instruction?

The following research questions were formulated:

- (1) Will individualized computer printed reinforcement and instructional supplements increase student learning scores?
- (2) Will this computer reinforcement and instructional supplement increase learning scores to an equal or greater extent than equal time spent on classroom discussion or non-individualized computer printed explanatory materials?
- (3) Do batch process computer instructional printouts stimulate greater learning as reinforcement or as a primary learning experience?
- (4) What are the attitudes of students presented with this individualized wrong-answer-explanation feedback towards this teaching method?
- (5) What are the implications for current instructional design practices and for future research in electronically mediated instruction?

Delimitation of the Study

As with most such studies, this investigation had certain delimitations. The study was not intended to specifically differentiate costs, comparing instructor salaries, other forms of computerized instructional utilization or computer operational costs. The cost factor was to be considered only in a general way by comparing such broad categories as (1) student study time efficiency, (2) student-faculty ratio requirements, and (3) computer capacity required and available without additional capital

outlay. Student time tabulations were necessarily based on student reported data.

Although the conclusions may have application on a broader basis, this study dealt only with library orientation for students at the freshmen level for the general requirement, Fundamentals of Speech classes at Wayne State College.

Another limitation was the time factor, the posttest being administered to all groups on the fifth day. Subject information retention can only be assumed on this short term basis.

The attitude survey was not intended to be cumulative. No attempt was made to assign a composite label to respondents or to assign other than ordinal value to the responses.

Justification

In spite of its limitations, this study seemed justified not only on the basis of the need for library skills by entering students as a way of coping with the information explosion, but also on the basis of utilization of existing unused computer capacity to increase the efficiency of tertiary education without increased cost after the initial implementation.

Definition of Terms

Computer supplemented instruction (CSI), as the term is used in this study, refers to a process in which students

are tested on a lesson using computer readable testing forms. The computer program evaluates the student responses and supplies the correct information for reinforcement, and provides further explanations in response to incorrect answers on an individualized computer printout returned to the student at the next class session.

CSI used as reinforcement follows mediated instruction and is based on the criterion test items of that instruction.

CSI as a primary learning experience covers information in the testing and subsequent individualized computer printout that may be referenced in the preceding mediated instruction but is not part of the interactive instruction or considered a criterion objective.

Assumptions

In the design of this study it was necessary to make the following assumptions:

- (1) Since random selection of subjects was not possible, it was assumed that the class assignment process served a similar function, and that random assignment of treatment groups would serve to remove the possibility of bias from the comparison of groups given different treatments.
- (2) Although it is recognized that not all students in a freshmen level class are freshmen, it was assumed that all would be equally motivated to perform well, and that distribution would be proportionate among the various groups.
- (3) It was assumed that the best way to increase the average score of the discussion group was to consider most intently those test items missed by the greatest number of students in that group.

(4) It was also assumed that students would be objective in recording out-of-class study time and that any inflation of figures created by students' wishful thinking or attempts to better their chances for a good grade would be consistent throughout the population, so as to allow appropriate comparisons between groups.

(5) The attitude survey reflected in most cases the opinions of students after only one encounter with the mediated instruction-response book teaching method. In the computer printout treatment groups, it represented a first encounter with any kind of computer printout instruction. So, while the psychology of students like-what-they-are-accustomed-to is probably a factor in the student evaluation of the methodology, it was assumed that the force of the Hawthorne effect was an equal countervailing influence.

Related Literature

The review of the literature will include a brief consideration of the development of the computer as an educational tool, and a comparison of the various functions of the computer as it is used today in education. Related research literature also will include summation of findings as they appear to apply to mediated instruction in general, to mediated instruction in combination with other forms of instruction, and to instruction where reinforcement use has been explored. In each case, results will be shown to relate to the use of computer supplemented instruction, and in many cases to help establish the criteria for the evaluation of CSI.

Procedures

The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of the technique of computer supplemented instruction which entails the utilization of batch processing to

provide feedback to students in the form of computer print-out sheets. The evaluation was accomplished through the implementation of a quasi-experiment utilizing students in freshmen level speech classes at Wayne State College.

The Design

The design called for the mediated instruction followed by the pretest to be administered in four groups, each group the same day in a Monday class, the treatment on Wednesday, and posttest and attitude questionnaire on Friday.

The Sample

Because of the design, only those classes in the target population meeting Monday, Wednesday and Friday could be utilized. It was unnecessary to otherwise select the subjects since all Monday-Wednesday-Friday speech sections were used. (Other speech sections met Tuesday-Thursday for extended time periods.) The study was conducted during the winter term of 1975 when Wayne State was on the trimester calendar which allowed a full hour class period for three hour credit courses meeting three times a week such as these Fundamentals of Speech classes.

Instrumentation

The criterion test of the library sequence unit used as the primary instrument of evaluation was prepared in consultation with librarians and NETCHE design consultants.

The mediated instruction used in the study was developed from the instruction with care made to select only those items of supplementary information to which only passing reference was made in the instruction. The attitude survey sought to determine opinions as to the viability of the instructional method. All instruments were developed by the author. Validity and reliability of the primary instrument were confirmed, and two consecutive testings were conducted to evaluate the non-criterion test and the attitude questionnaire.

Data Collection and Treatment

Student responses to the pretest, posttest and attitude questionnaire were entered by the students on mark-sense computer cards which were in turn mechanically encoded on Hollerith cards for computer analysis. The initial data processing was by the CSI program which determined subject scores and provided the feedback to groups receiving the computer printout treatments.

Analysis of responses was done for each subject, for each treatment group, and in comparing all treatment groups. Findings of the study were reported in tables as seemed appropriate and compared for significance using the statistical program *Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Variance, Covariance, and Regression* (National Educational Resources, Inc., 1972).

Concluding Chapters

Chapter II of this study will review the related literature in the fields of mediated and computerized education previously discussed. Chapter III describes in detail the procedures followed in this study. Chapter IV delineates the findings and makes data comparisons between groups, and Chapter V assesses the findings, and derives conclusions. Further, recommendations are made for future research.

PREVIEW

Chapter II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Growth and Development of Computers in Education

Early Research

Although certain historians suggest that the Chinese abacus was the first digital computer about 500 B.C., and imply that Charles Babbage's "analytical engine" (circa 1823) was the progenitor of the modern digital computer (Whitmore, 1953), it seems probable that direct lineage must be rejected. For while the Babbage machine (which was never constructed), did employ many of the concepts found in the modern device, the data concerning Babbage's machine were lost until 1937 (Sippl, 1974) and not brought to the attention of the builders of the modern digital instrument until the project was nearly completed (Aiken, 1964).

Modern computer technology can more logically be traced to Herman Hollerith who adapted the French Jacquard loom punched card control concept to accelerate the compilation of the 1890 United States census. In 1911 he helped form a company which was to become International Business Machines, the world's foremost producer of computers for many years.

Development

As long as there have been computers in the modern sense, they have been associated with education. American colleges and universities have been instrumental in their development and utilization.

Perhaps the first successful computer, as the term is generally conceived, was developed by Vannevar Bush in 1931 at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The "differential analyzer," as it was called, was an analog computer, which would become the forerunner of devices used to direct guns in World War II.

The Harvard Mark I in 1944 was the first digital computer. It was developed by Howard Aiken, an instructor in applied mathematics at Harvard, who had his doctorate in physics. This electrical-mechanical contrivance evolved with the aid of IBM engineers.

The University of Pennsylvania introduced the first electronic digital computer in 1946. It used some 18,000 vacuum tubes and was called ENIAC, the Electronic Numerical Integrator and Computer.

John von Neumann and his associates made a major contribution to computer advancement in the same year with the proposal of the addition of an internal memory.

While at the Institute of Advanced Study in Princeton, New Jersey in 1946, von Neumann with Arthur W. Burks and Herman H. Goldstine, published a paper that became a landmark in the history of computer sciences, if not in the history of human thought. In "Preliminary Discussion of the Logical Design of an Electronic

Instrument," (these scientists presented proposals that were} a substantial departure from anything hitherto proposed (Sipl, 1974, p. 1047).

These proposals were embodied in a computer subsequently built at Princeton with the aid of IBM.

The idea of computer languages was first realized in the fifties. FORTRAN (1956) and ALGOL (1958) were designed to handle scientific problems and COBOL (1960) for business data processing (Alt, 1975). The advent of computer languages meant it was possible to use a series of specific English language commands to program a computer rather than using a highly structured assemblage of mathematical symbols. One of the most universally adopted languages is BASIC developed at Dartmouth College for student use in 1964 (Kemeny, 1972).

The time sharing concept--allowing many persons to use one computer simultaneously--added greatly to efficiency of utilization. It was developed by the Rand Corporation in the late fifties, though universities and colleges were also in the vanguard with Dartmouth's system initiated in 1963.

Acceptance

Colleges and universities have led the way in the use of computers though acceptance and utilization has been slower in the less scientific disciplines. As more people discover the benefits of the computer, as more students learn to make computers do their bidding, and as prices