

INFORMATION TO USERS

The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the original text directly from the copy submitted. Thus, some dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from a computer printer.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyrighted material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each oversize page is available as one exposure on a standard 35 mm slide or as a 17" × 23" black and white photographic print for an additional charge.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. 35 mm slides or 6" × 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.



300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA

PREVIEW

Order Number 8818608

**Dispersal and population simulation model of spider mites and a
phytoseiid predator in the corn plant microenvironment**

Berry, James Scott, Ph.D.

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln, 1988

U·M·I
300 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark ☒.

1. Glossy photographs or pages _____
2. Colored illustrations, paper or print _____
3. Photographs with dark background _____
4. Illustrations are poor copy _____
5. Pages with black marks, not original copy _____
6. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page _____
7. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages ☒
8. Print exceeds margin requirements _____
9. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine _____
10. Computer printout pages with indistinct print _____
11. Page(s) _____ lacking when material received, and not available from school or author.
12. Page(s) _____ seem to be missing in numbering only as text follows.
13. Two pages numbered _____. Text follows.
14. Curling and wrinkled pages _____
15. Dissertation contains pages with print at a slant, filmed as received ☒
16. Other _____

U·M·I

PREVIEW

**DISPERSAL AND POPULATION SIMULATION MODEL OF
SPIDER MITES AND A PHYTOSEIID PREDATOR IN THE
CORN PLANT MICROENVIRONMENT**

by

James S. Berry

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Entomology

Under the Supervision of Professors Thomas O. Holtzer
and John M. Norman

Lincoln, Nebraska

May, 1988

DISPERSAL AND POPULATION SIMULATION MODEL OF SPIDER MITES AND A PHYTOSEIID PREDATOR IN THE CORN PLANT MICROENVIRONMENT

James S. Berry, Ph.D.
University of Nebraska, 1988

Advisors: Thomas O. Holtzer and John M. Norman

Within plant dispersal of Banks grass mite (BGM), Oligonychus pratensis (Banks), and twospotted spider mite (TSM), Tetranychus urticae Koch, was evaluated through field experiments. Mites were introduced onto host plants at three levels in the canopy: flag leaf, ear leaf and third lowest leaf. Mites introduced onto the flag leaf survived poorly and moved to the lower parts of the plants. Both BGM and TSM did the best on the middle and lower leaves. TSM was more likely to disperse both upwards and downwards from the infested leaf than BGM.

Dispersal behavior of the predatory mite (NEO), Neoseiulus fallacis (Garman), was evaluated by simulating walking patterns for various prey and temperature combinations. The results were that NEO exhibited a random walk type of search more frequently at moderate to high prey densities (search for prey within a prey patch) and a very non-random, directional search more frequently at low prey densities (search for new prey patches). The non-random search allows the mite to closely follow leaf edges and quickly travel substantial distances in search of new prey patches.

A simulation model of the mite predator/prey system consisting of BGM and NEO was developed and validated. This model included the effects of temperature, humidity and predation and was coupled to a detailed plant canopy model. Results demonstrated the importance of using leaf surface conditions instead of weather station conditions to simulate the mite system on corn in Nebraska. Also, humidity was determined to be critically important (in addition to temperature) in NEO/BGM population dynamics. The temperature and humidity at the leaf surface of moderately drought stressed corn (compared to well watered corn) resulted in higher populations of BGM. Simulation studies also showed that colonization of a corn field by less than 1 adult female BGM/plant in June can result in crop destruction by August.

PREVIEW

Figure 1

TITLE

Dispersal & Population Simulation Model of Spider Mites & a

Phytoseiid Predator in the Corn Plant Microenvironment

BY

James S. Berry

APPROVED

DATE

Thomas O. Holtzer

28 March 1988

John M. Norman

18 March 1988

Kenneth G. Hubbard

25 March 1988

Frederick P. Baxendale

28 March 1988

Anthony Joern

28 March 1988

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

GRADUATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	ix
General Introduction	1
Introduction	2
Literature Cited	5
Chapter 1	7
Vertical Dispersal of Twospotted Spider Mite and Banks Grass Mite in Relation to Environmental Conditions in the Field	7
Introduction	8
Materials and Method	10
Results and Discussion	13
Literature Cited	23
Figure Captions	26
Figure 1.	29
Figure 2.	30
Figure 3.	31
Figure 4.	32
Figure 5.	33
Figure 6.	34
Figure 7.	35
Figure 8.	36
Figure 9.	37
Figure 10.	38
Chapter 2	39
Dispersal Behavior of <u>Neoseiulus fallacis</u> in Relation to Prey Density and Temperature	39
Introduction	40
Materials and Methods	44
Model Development	49
Results and Discussion	52

Literature Cited	59
Table 1. Walking rate parameters for the linear equations in Fig. 6. . . .	63
Table 2. Turning rate parameters for the linear equations in Fig. 7. . . .	64
Table 3. Turning angle parameters for the linear equations in Fig. 8. . . .	65
FIGURE CAPTIONS	66
Figure 1.	68
Figure 2.	69
Figure 3.	70
Figure 4.	71
Figure 5.	72
Figure 6.	73
Figure 7.	74
Figure 8.	75
Figure 9.	76
Figure 10.	77
Figure 11.	78
Figure 12.	79
Figure 13.	80
Chapter 3	81
MitMod2: A Simulation Model of the BGM/NEO System on Corn	81
Introduction	82
Description of the Model	85
Model Overview	85
Biological Assumptions of MitMod2	88
Mite Phenological Development (BGM and NEO)	90
Adult Longevity (BGM and NEO)	93
Predator Consumption Rates	94
Three Dimensional Interpolation	95
BGM Preovipositional Survivorship	97
Fecundity (BGM and NEO)	97
Interface with the plant model (Subroutine POPVPD)	99
Verification of Model Implementation	101
Model Comparisons with Field and Laboratory Data	102
Discussion	106
Literature Cited	108

Table 1. Equation parameters used to describe the age-specific development rate curves of NEO and BGM life-stages as a function of temperature.	113
Table 2. Development rate (1/h) for NEO life-stages.	114
Table 3. BGM intrinsic rate of natural increase (r_m) calculated by Perring et al. (1984b) and calculated from the results of the simulation.	115
Table 4. Initial BGM numbers for the 1984 field simulations (Fig. 13).	116
Table 5. Initial mite numbers for the 1984 field simulations that included predatory mites for planting date 2 (Fig. 17).	117
Figure Captions	118
Figure 1.	121
Figure 2.	122
Figure 3.	123
Figure 4.	124
Figure 5.	125
Figure 6.	126
Figure 7.	127
Figure 8.	128
Figure 9.	129
Figure 10.	130
Figure 11.	131
Figure 12.	132
Figure 13.	133
Figure 14.	134
Figure 15.	135
Figure 16.	136
Figure 17.	137
Chapter 4	138
Simulation Studies of the NEO/BGM System	138
Introduction	139
Description of the Simulations	141
Simulation Studies of Weather Effects	141
Simulation Studies of Colonization Effects	142
Results and Discussion	144
Weather Effects	144
Colonization Effects	147

Literature Cited	149
Figure Captions	152
Figure 1.	154
Figure 2.	155
Figure 3.	156
Figure 4.	157
Figure 5.	158
Figure 6.	159
Figure 7.	160
Figure 8.	161
Figure 9.	162
Figure 10.	163
Epilogue	164
Direction for Continued or New Experiments	165
Enhancements for MitMod2	166
Uses for the Model	168

Acknowledgments

The efforts of many people have led to the completion of this work. Certainly, I would have been unable finish without the encouragement and support of my friends and family. Most directly, my major professor, Dr. Thomas Holtzer has guided and supported my work at the University of Nebraska. His influence on my life has been profound and profitable. He has managed to help me improve my weaknesses and has affirmed my strengths. I am very grateful for Dr. Holtzer and the role he has played in my education. The other members of my supervisory committee have also contributed greatly to my graduate education. Drs. Anthony Joern and Kenneth Hubbard have given me broader insight into entomology and science in general. Drs. Frederick Baxendale and John Norman served on the reading committee. They also provided valuable insight and guidance throughout my degree program. I am especially grateful to John Norman who served as my minor professor in Agronomy. His dedication to excellence and to the Lord Jesus Christ have been extremely important to me.

Technical support came from two brothers in the Lord. Jim Kalisch has supported my research with diligence and high quality workmanship. Steve Spomer also provided untiring support for my project. Jim and Steve have helped with field and laboratory experiments, and manuscript preparation. I am very grateful to have known and worked with both of these men.

My parents provided a secure home and family life. Both my father and mother have given of themselves and sacrificed for my sister and I. Most important, my parents

have always encouraged me and given me the freedom I needed to be the person God made me to be. Their love and support has been a vital ingredient in my life. I will always be grateful for having two wonderful parents.

My dear wife, Lorraine, has been a strength and encouragement to me. Her uncomplaining attitude and loving care for our family of three children has been a good example. Lorraine's encouragement and support made this work a joy instead of drudgery. I will always be grateful for her commitment to me and to our Lord Jesus.

Finally, I owe my life to Jesus because of His love and forgiveness. He was always there when I needed him. I dedicate my work and education to Him for His glory and the advancement of the Kingdom of God.

PREVIEW

General Introduction

PREVIEW

Introduction

In Nebraska corn, spider mites and their phytoseiid mite predators are affected by microenvironment, the corn plant, disease and predatory insects. Microenvironmental variables (especially temperature and humidity) have been shown to be of fundamental importance. Temperature and humidity effects on developmental rate, fecundity, and longevity of Banks grass mite (BGM), Oligonychus pratensis (Banks), were evaluated under laboratory conditions by Perring et al. (1984a,b). Also, Perring et al. (1986) experimentally examined the effects of microenvironment in the field. They showed that drought stressed corn had higher leaf temperatures and greater populations of spider mites than non-stressed corn (Perring et al. 1986). In addition, computer simulation was used to further evaluate the effects of temperature, but not humidity, on BGM under field conditions (Toole et al. 1984). These studies represent a substantial gain in understanding the effects of microenvironment on the population dynamics of spider mites in Nebraska corn fields. However, several questions have arisen from the results of these studies.

Additional factors may influence spider mite populations. The nutritional value of the plant may be important. For example, drought stressed corn may have higher concentrations of certain nutrients or fewer allelochemicals. Although Perring et al. (1983) were unable to show a definitive relationship between spider mite populations and plant chemicals, additional investigation should be pursued. Microenvironmental variables also may have important indirect effects on the spider mites through effects

on predatory mites. Finally, predators and diseases may fluctuate for reasons unrelated to microenvironment and cause major changes in both the spider mite and phytoseiid mite populations.

The objective of this study was to further evaluate microenvironmental factors as they affect mite (both spider mites and phytoseiids) population dynamics in Nebraska corn fields. Some microenvironmental variables may directly influence spider mite and phytoseiid population growth rates. For example, mite developmental (Perring et al. 1984b, J.C. Heintz personal communication) and phytoseiid consumption (J.C. Heintz personal communication) rates are temperature dependent. In addition, oviposition rates and longevity are mediated by both temperature and humidity (Perring et al. 1984a, J.C. Heintz personal communication). Microenvironment also can affect population dynamics by affecting spider mite behavior (Mori 1961). Dispersal behavior is important for spider mite management because control recommendations are based in part on the location of the spider mites on the plant. In Nebraska, economic thresholds for mites are defined in terms of vertical distribution of spider mites and plant damage through the canopy (Peters et al. 1986). Dispersal also can affect the potential for biological control of spider mites by phytoseiid mites (Nachman 1987). Movement of both the spider mites and their phytoseiid predators can influence the spatial coincidence of the two species and thus help or hinder the phytoseiid mites search for and reduce the prey.

Chapter 1 presents the results of studies designed to evaluate the effects of microenvironmental variables on spider mite dispersal in the field. In Chapter 2, phytoseiid mite dispersal behavior is examined as a function of temperature and prey

density. A detailed simulation model of the spider mite/phytoseiid mite system, which includes the effects of temperature and humidity, was developed and coupled to a plant canopy model. With this model, mite population dynamics can be simulated using the microenvironmental conditions at the leaf surface, where the mites are found. A description of the mite model and its validation are presented in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4, the model was used to examine the importance of microenvironment on field populations of spider mites and phytoseiid mites. Implications of these simulations to mite management and ecology are also discussed in Chapter 4.

PREVIEW

Literature Cited

- Mori, H.** 1961. Comparative studies of thermal reaction in four species of spider mites (Acarina: Tetranychidae). *J. Fac. Agric. Hokkaido Univ.* 51: 574-591.
- Nachman, G.** 1987. Systems analysis of acarine predator-prey interactions. I. a stochastic simulation model of spatial processes. *J. Animal Ecology* 56: 247-265.
- Perring, T.M., T.L. Archer, D.L. Krieg, & J.W. Johnson.** 1983. Relationships between the Banks grass mite (Acariformes: Tetranychidae) and physiological changes of maturing grain sorghum. *Environ. Entomol.* 12: 1094-1098.
- Perring, T.M., T.O. Holtzer, J.A. Kalisch & J.M. Norman.** 1984a. Temperature and humidity effects on ovipositional rates, fecundity, and longevity of adult female Banks grass mites (Acari:Tetranychidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 77: 581-586.
- Perring, T.M., T.O. Holtzer, J.L. Toole, J.M. Norman, & G.L. Meyers.** 1984b. Influence of temperature and humidity on pre-adult development of the Banks grass mite (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Environ. Entomol.* 13: 338- 343.
- Perring, T.M., T.O. Holtzer, J.L. Toole, & J.M. Norman.** 1986. Relationships between corn-canopy microenvironments and Banks grass mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) abundance. *Environ. Entomol.* 15: 79-83.
- Peters, L.L., T.O. Holtzer & A.F. Hagen.** 1986. Spider mites on corn. NebGuide G75-50, Nebraska Cooperative Extension Service. University of Nebraska, Lincoln
- Sokal, R.R. & F.J. Rohlf.** 1969. *Biometry*. Freeman, San Francisco.

Toole, J.L., J.M. Norman, T.O. Holtzer, & T.M. Perring. 1984. Simulating Banks grass mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) population dynamics as a subsystem of crop canopy-microenvironment model. *Environ. Entomol.* 13: 329-337.

PREVIEW

Chapter 1

Vertical Dispersal of Twospotted Spider Mite and Banks Grass Mite in Relation to Environmental Conditions in the Field

Introduction

Many animals must travel from one location to another to satisfy their physical needs. In some species large scale migrations serve to move entire populations to more favorable and distant locations (see Stinner et al. 1983 for a review). Another type of movement is dispersal over short distances by individuals within a general habitat. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of environmental factors on short distance mite dispersal of two species of spider mites, Banks grass mite (BGM), Oligonychus pratensis (Banks) and twospotted spider mite (TSM), Tetranychus urticae Koch.

Considerable laboratory work has been carried out that relates environmental factors to mite locomotion and aerial dispersal. For example, light quality and quantity (Mori 1961, 1962a,b, Hussy and Parr 1963, Suski and Naegele 1963, McEnroe and Dronka 1971), humidity (Hussy and Parr 1963, McEnroe and Dronka 1971, Margolies 1987) and temperature (Mori 1961, 1962a, Penman and Chapman 1980) have been shown to affect spider mite dispersal. However, no studies have related environmental conditions to mite dispersal under field conditions.

BGM (Logan et al. 1983) and TSM (Peters et al. 1986) are generally found on the lower leaves of corn plants. As populations build on lower leaves, mites begin to move upward and colonize the rest of the plant (Peters et al. 1986). These observations raise the question: do spider mites select or prefer lower leaves (as opposed to upper leaves) or do they merely infest lower leaves first and then move up as the leaf