

## INFORMATION TO USERS

This was produced from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure you of complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark it is an indication that the film inspector noticed either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, or duplicate copy. Unless we meant to delete copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed, you will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed the photographer has followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For any illustrations that cannot be reproduced satisfactorily by xerography, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and tipped into your xerographic copy. Requests can be made to our Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases we have filmed the best available copy.

**University  
Microfilms  
International**

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106  
18 BEDFORD ROW, LONDON WC1R 4EJ, ENGLAND

8113283

HOAGLAND, KYLE DEAN

DIATOM COLONIZATION, COMMUNITY STRUCTURE, AND SUCCESSION  
ON ARTIFICIAL SUBSTRATES IN FRESH WATER

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln

PH.D.

1981

University  
Microfilms  
International

300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark ☒.

1. Glossy photographs \_\_\_\_\_
2. Colored illustrations \_\_\_\_\_
3. Photographs with dark background ☒ \_\_\_\_\_
4. Illustrations are poor copy ☒ \_\_\_\_\_
5. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page \_\_\_\_\_
6. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages \_\_\_\_\_
7. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine \_\_\_\_\_
8. Computer printout pages with indistinct print \_\_\_\_\_
9. Page(s) \_\_\_\_\_ lacking when material received, and not available from school or author
10. Page(s) \_\_\_\_\_ seem to be missing in numbering only as text follows \_\_\_\_\_
11. Poor carbon copy \_\_\_\_\_
12. Not original copy, several pages with blurred type \_\_\_\_\_
13. Appendix pages are poor copy \_\_\_\_\_
14. Original copy with light type \_\_\_\_\_
15. Curling and wrinkled pages \_\_\_\_\_
16. Other \_\_\_\_\_

DIATOM COLONIZATION, COMMUNITY STRUCTURE, AND SUCCESSION ON  
ARTIFICIAL SUBSTRATES IN FRESH WATER

by

Kyle D. Hoagland

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of  
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska  
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements  
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Life Sciences

Under the Supervision of Professor James R. Rosowski

Lincoln, Nebraska

February 1981

**TITLE**

DIATOM COLONIZATION, COMMUNITY STRUCTURE, AND SUCCESSION ON

ARTIFICIAL SUBSTRATES IN FRESH WATER

**BY**

Kyle D. Hoagland

**APPROVED**

**DATE**

James R. Rosowski, Chairman

28 January 1980

Gary L. Hergenrader

28 January 1980

Robert B. Kaul

28 January 1980

Kathleen H. Keeler

28 January 1980

John H. McClendon

28 January 1980

**SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE**

**GRADUATE COLLEGE**

**UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA**

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank my major advisor, James R. Rosowski, for his constructive criticisms, generous support, and friendship throughout my graduate program. His great enthusiasm for the algae, enviable writing ability, and high standards for professional activity were a strong influence. The other members of my dissertation committee, Robert B. Kaul, Kathleen H. Keeler, Gary L. Hergenrader, and John H. McClendon provided helpful criticisms, suggestions, and valuable time. I am grateful to my wife, Marilyn for her support, encouragement and patience. I also appreciate the expert technical assistance, advice, and philosophies of Kit W. Lee, and I thank Irma Gillispie for her typing and constant cooperation, past and present.

In addition, with regards to each section of this dissertation;

(1) I am indebted to Stephen C. Roemer for his long and gruelling hours in the field, laboratory work, long and fruitful discussions concerning the data, and helpful criticisms on the text. Without his equal effort, Section I would not have been possible. Michael J. Sullivan and Kathleen K. Keeler provided constructive comments on Section I in manuscript form and Charles W. Reimer assisted with several diatom identifications. Helpful discussions with Steven S. Schwartz and Anthony Joern are also appreciated. This research was in part supported by a Sigma Xi Grant-in-Aid of Research; and

(2) I thank Steven S. Schwartz for his suggestions and assistance in the field, Joseph W. Nietfeldt for the ash-free dry weight analyses, Donald W. Phipps Jr. for his programming assistance, Edward C. Theriot

for several Stephanodiscus identifications, and Kung-Ping Shao for her help with computer programming and statistical analysis. I also appreciate the cooperation of James J. Carney, Nebraska Game and Parks Commission, for allowing the study to be conducted in Pawnee reservoir.

PREVIEW

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		Page
I	COLONIZATION AND COMMUNITY STRUCTURE OF TWO PERI-PHYTON ASSEMBLAGES, WITH MAJOR EMPHASIS ON THE DIATOMS . . . . .	1
	Introduction . . . . .	1
	Materials and Methods . . . . .	3
	Results . . . . .	6
	Quantitative Analyses . . . . .	6
	Pawnee Reservoir . . . . .	13
	Yankee Hill . . . . .	14
	Qualitative Analyses . . . . .	17
	Discussion . . . . .	26
	Colonization Sequence . . . . .	26
	Microsuccession . . . . .	31
	Competition for Substrate Surface Area . . . . .	33
	Community Structure . . . . .	35
II	SHORT-TERM PERIPHYTON ACCUMULATION AND DIVERSITY IN PAWNEE RESERVOIR, SUMMER 1979 . . . . .	57
	Introduction . . . . .	57
	Materials and Methods . . . . .	59
	Study Site . . . . .	59
	Sampler Design . . . . .	59
	Field Methods . . . . .	60
	Laboratory Methods . . . . .	61



	Page
Data Treatment . . . . .	63
Results . . . . .	65
Periphyton Accumulation . . . . .	65
Diatom Community Analysis . . . . .	71
Discussion . . . . .	79
Periphyton Accumulation and Diversity . . . . .	79
Effects of Turbulence . . . . .	86
Light Attenuation . . . . .	89
Growth Series Comparisons . . . . .	90
LITERATURE CITED . . . . .	103
APPENDIX I . . . . .	114

## LIST OF TABLES

### SECTION I

Table	Page
1 Seasonal occurrences of diatom taxa in Pawnee and Yankee Hill reservoir (based on its presence in at least one sample) and the overall percent occurrence of each taxon in all samples for each reservoir (based on its presence or absence in each sample) . . .	7
2 Seasonal mean SIMI indices for comparisons of periphytic diatom communities between Pawnee and Yankee Hill reservoirs . . . . .	15
3 Mean SIMI indices for between season comparisons of periphytic diatom communities of identical duration from Pawnee and Yankee Hill reservoirs for fall 1976-summer 1977 . . . . .	18

### SECTION II

Table	Page
1 Weather conditions during the study period (Aug. 13-27, 1979) . . . . .	62
2 Periphytic diatoms collected on glass slides from Pawnee reservoir in the summer of 1979 . . . . .	66
3 Estimates of irradiance levels (400-700 nm) at the substrate surface, with corresponding levels in water at cartridge surface ( $I_c$ ) values ( $\mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	70
4 Correlation of ash-free dry weight (AFDW), percent transmittance (%T), and cell densities (CD) . . . . .	72
5 ANOVA for age groups 2-6 and sample, for $H'$ . . . . .	74
6 ANOVA for age groups 2-6 and sample, for D . . . . .	74
7 ANOVA by sample, showing within age comparisons . . . . .	75
8 Age groupings based on Duncan's multiple range test for age mean comparisons. Any two means underscored by the same line are not significantly different . . .	76
9 ANOVA by day, showing age comparisons (C1 and C2) from different samples (S1, 2, & 3), based on $H'$ and D	77

Table	Page
10 ANOVA by age, showing between day comparisons from different samples of the same age, based on H' and D. .	78
11 SIMI values for comparisons of periphytic diatom communities of the same age (mean of 5 replicates) . .	80
12 SIMI values for comparisons of periphytic diatom communities of different ages, collected on the same day (mean of 5 replicates) . . . . .	80
13 Comparison of periphyton biomass (ash-free dry weight from Pawnee reservoir with previous reports . . . . .	81
14 Comparison of percent inorganic matter (ash remaining after ignition) of periphyton from Pawnee reservoir with previous reports . . . . .	83

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Periphyton sampler float system . . . . .	39
2	Seasonal periphytic diatom cell densities (cells/mm <sup>2</sup> ) from Plexiglas in Pawnee and Yankee Hill reservoirs (fall 1976-summer 1977) . . . . .	40
3-8	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Pawnee reservoir, 1976	
3	1 wk; organic coating and bacterial colony . . . . .	41
4	2 wk; <u>Rhoicosphenia curvata</u> . . . . .	41
5	3 wk; clumps of <u>Gomphonema parvulum</u> and debris . . . . .	41
6	4 wk; long-stalked <u>Gomphonema olivaceum</u> , filamentous <u>Melosira varians</u> and large-stalked <u>Cymbella tumida</u> . . . . .	41
7	Clump of desmid <u>Closterium ehrenbergii</u> embedded in matrix of <u>G. olivaceum</u> and debris (4 wk) . . . . .	41
8	Clump of <u>Cymbella tumida</u> (4 wk) . . . . .	41
9-12	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Yankee Hill reservoir, fall 1976	
9	1 wk; organic coating . . . . .	42
10	2 wk; debris and <u>Amphipleura pellucida</u> . . . . .	42
11	3 wk; two cells of <u>Gomphonema</u> . . . . .	42
12	4 wk; small rosette of <u>G. parvulum</u> , debris, and <u>A. pellucida</u> . . . . .	42
13-16	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Yankee Hill reservoir, fall 1976	
13	Coccoid bacterial colony, with small strands from cell to cell and from cell to substrate (1 wk) . . . . .	43
14	4 wk dominant <u>Amphipleura pellucida</u> , exhibiting sub- strate-level attachment . . . . .	43
15	Large rosette of <u>Synedra acus</u> with understory of <u>Gomphonema parvulum</u> (4 wk) . . . . .	43

Figure		Page
16	Long grooved filaments (flexibacteria trichomes?) common at 2 wk . . . . .	43
17-20	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Pawnee reservoir, winter 1977	
17	Caulobacteria with long cytoplasmic stalks (4 wk) . . .	44
18	<u>Stigeoclonium</u> prostrate attached filament with new erect branches (7 wk) . . . . .	44
19	Large clump of <u>Stigeoclonium</u> , with numerous branches and tapered terminal cells (7 wk) . . . . .	44
20	Dichotomously branched clump of <u>Colacium vesiculosum</u> attached to glass (7 wk) . . . . .	44
21-24	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Yankee Hill reservoir, winter 1977	
21	5 wk; large bacteria colony and little debris . . . . .	45
22	7 wk; debris and several <u>Gomphonema</u> . . . . .	45
23	Typical <u>Gomphonema</u> cells with associated debris (7 wk)	45
24	Two <u>Nitzschia frustules</u> attached on end by a small mucilage pad and numerous coccoid bacteria in associa- tion (7 wk) . . . . .	45
25-26	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Pawnee reservoir, spring 1977	
25	4 wk; rosettes of <u>Nitzschia palea</u> with associated debris . . . . .	46
26	5 wk; dense understorey of low profile diatoms including <u>Nitzschia</u> , <u>Gomphonema</u> and prostrately attached <u>Navicula menisculus</u> var. <u>upsaliensis</u> . . . . .	46
27-30	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Pawnee reservoir, spring 1977	
27	Large rosette of <u>Nitzschia palea</u> with two cells of <u>Gomphonema olivaceum</u> (4 wk) . . . . .	47
28	<u>N. palea</u> rosette with young colony of <u>G. olivaceum</u> elevated from center attachment (4 wk) . . . . .	47
29	Prostrately attached confluent colony of <u>Navicula</u> <u>menisculus</u> var. <u>upsaliensis</u> (5 wk) . . . . .	47

Figure		Page
30	Large clump of <i>Stigeoclonium</i> extending above dense diatom understory (5 wk) . . . . .	47
31-36	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Yankee Hill reservoir, spring 1977	
31	4 wk; rapid increase in diatoms, such as <i>Gomphonema olivaceum</i> and <i>Fragilaria vaucheriae</i> , and debris . . .	48
32	5 wk; complex upperstory of <i>G. olivaceum</i> evident following rapid overgrowth . . . . .	48
33	Constricted fungal hyphae (Leptomitales) attached to substrate (2 wk) . . . . .	48
34	Large rosette of <i>Fragilaria vaucheriae</i> and associated debris (4 wk) . . . . .	48
35	Sessile protozoans elevated above diatoms and debris on substrate (4 wk) . . . . .	48
36	Complex upperstory of frustules and mucilage stalks of <i>Gomphonema olivaceum</i> (5 wk) . . . . .	48
37-42	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Pawnee reservoir, summer 1977	
37	2 wk; protozoans, diatoms and debris . . . . .	49
38	3 wk; dense network of debris, strands, and embedded diatoms . . . . .	49
39	4 wk; multilayered debris and diatoms . . . . .	49
40	Geometric bacterial colonies, with distinctive intercellular connections (2 wk) . . . . .	49
41	Small clump of <i>Gomphonema</i> (subclavatum?) suspended above debris layer (3 wk) . . . . .	49
42	Sessile protozoan <i>Vorticella</i> in contracted state, with coiled stalk (4 wk) . . . . .	49
43-46	SEM of periphyton colonization on glass slides Yankee Hill reservoir, summer 1977	
43	2 wk; abundant debris and strands with loricate protozoans . . . . .	50

Figure		Page
44	3 wk; more complex layer of debris with no diatoms . .	50
45	4 wk; complex matrix of debris, strands, few diatoms and numerous protozoans . . . . .	50
46	Substrate layer of debris and bacteria with fine strand-like network (2 wk) . . . . .	50
47	Range of vertical stature in the periphyton community .	51
48-62	Physical aspects of periphyton community structure and morphology of individuals which comprise it	
48-53	Diatom - sediment associations; sediment binding, sedi- ment accumulation and community structure	
48	Plexiglas tabs from Yankee Hill reservoir (5 wk summer)	52
49	Particulate accumulation associated with long stalks of <u>Gomphonema olivaceum</u> (Yankee Hill, winter, 7wk) . .	52
50	<u>Gomphonema</u> suspended above sediment layer via mucilage stalks (Pawnee summer, 2 wk) . . . . .	52
51	<u>Pediastrum simplex</u> embedded in sediment matrix (Pawnee summer, 5 wk) . . . . .	52
52	Fine particulates associated with <u>Gomphonema parvulum</u> surfaces . . . . .	53
53	Heavy particulate accumulation on <u>Navicula</u> <u>menisculus</u> var. <u>upsaliensis</u> (Pawnee, 5 wk) . . . . .	53
54-57	Periphytic diatom mucilage structures	
54	Ventrally grooved stalks of <u>Cymbella tumida</u> (Pawnee 4 wk) . . . . .	54
55	<u>Cymbella</u> sp.; large transversely striated stalk (Pawnee fall, 2 wk) . . . . .	54
56	Short "stalk" of <u>Gomphonema parvulum</u> (Pawnee spring, 4 wk) . . . . .	54
57	Special apical pore areas of <u>Synedra acus</u> for secretion of mucilage pads (Yankee Hill fall, 2 wk) . .	54

Figure		Page
58-62	Other attachment structures	
58	Portion of stalk and holdfast of <u>Vorticella</u> (Yankee Hill Spring, 2 wk) . . . . .	55
59	Stalk holdfast of loricate protozoan <u>Acineta</u> (Pawnee summer, 2 wk) . . . . .	55
60	Portion of stalk and holdfast of euglenoid <u>Colacium vesiculosum</u> (Pawnee winter, 2 wk) . . . . .	55
61	Prostrate filament of <u>Stigeoclonium</u> , with numerous fine attachment strands (Pawnee winter, 7 wk) . . . . .	55
62	Stilt-like attachment strands of coccoid colony (Yankee Hill winter, 7 wk) . . . . .	55

PREVIEW



## SECTION II

Figure		Page
1	Location of sampler(s) in Pawnee reservoir . . . . .	93
2	Periphyton sampler, including Plexiglas rack with ten slide cartridges, PVC plastic support, and concrete base . . . . .	94
3	Individual slide cartridge . . . . .	94
4	Plexiglas slide holder, dark box, fluorescent light source, and quantum sensor, to measure %T of the periphyton growth layer . . . . .	95
5	Periphytic diatom cell densities in series . . . . .	96
6	Mean percent transmittance of the periphyton growth layer for series 1 . . . . .	97
7	Ash-free dry weights of periphyton from Aug. 15-27, 1979 for series 1 . . . . .	98
8	Periphytic diatom diversity ( $H'$ ) for series 1 . . . . .	99
9	Periphytic diatom diversity ( $D$ ) for series 1 . . . . .	100
10	Periphytic diatom diversity ( $H'$ ), plotted by day (2-6) to show different patterns of series 1 . . . . .	101
11	Periphytic diatom diversity from Pawnee reservoir from July 8-Aug. 5, 1977 . . . . .	102

SECTION I  
COLONIZATION AND COMMUNITY STRUCTURE OF TWO  
PERIPHYTON ASSEMBLAGES, WITH MAJOR  
EMPHASIS ON THE DIATOMS

## SECTION I

### Introduction

In a provocative and timely essay, Allen (1977) drew attention to the microscopic algae as a most appropriate biological group for examining general ecological hypotheses, since they likely represent one of the smallest and simplest model systems available to ecologists. Recently, Connell (1978) noted that "with long-lived organisms such as trees or corals, gradual changes in climate over several hundred years represent the same scale as seasons do to a phytoplankton community." Indeed, Jassby and Goldman (1974) suggest that an appropriate time interval (between events) during a successional sequence would be 0.1-1 wk for plankton, versus 0.1-1 yr for forests. With algal systems, this temporal difference permits more rapid gathering of data and greater opportunity for experimental manipulation.

The present study focuses upon the diatoms, which often constitute the major taxonomic group of attached algae in fresh waters. In part, this approach is an outgrowth of the increasing interest in the structure-function relationships of diatom cell features as evidenced in the current phycological literature (Stephens and Gibson, 1979; Hasle, 1974; Fryxell and Miller, 1978). More important, however, is the general utility of periphyton communities for the study of ecological processes such as succession.

In contrast to studies of marine rocky intertidal macro-algal community structure and succession (Foster, 1975; Emerson and Zedler, 1978; Neill, 1979; Sousa, 1979), freshwater micro-algal attached communities are far less studied. Lengthy descriptive works by Cholnoky (1927), Fritsch (1929), Butcher (1932, 1949) and Godward (1937) established the basis for the later comprehensive studies by Castenholtz (1960), Sládečková (1960), and Hohn and Hellerman (1963). The investigations of McIntire and Phinney (1965), McIntire (1973a, 1978) and Sullivan (1975, 1977, 1978) analyzed attached diatoms from a community aspect. The methods for collecting and observing attached diatoms are, however, essentially unchanged since the first use of glass slides as an artificial substrate (Naumann, 1915). These techniques, scraping, remounting, and counting, offer no information concerning the three-dimensional periphyton community structure or the associated morphological characteristics (e.g., mucilage excretions, cell rosettes) of the organisms. With higher plant succession, this approach is analogous to cutting down a forest, laying it out at random, and attempting to analyze its structure and associations. Such methods afford little information beyond species compositions and abundances.

Recently, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) has revealed spatial relationships of periphytic diatoms (Allanson, 1973; Sieburth et al., 1974; Moss 1977; Perkins and Kaplan, 1978; Paul et al., 1977). Clearly, SEM offers promise in revealing the microcommunity structure both spatially and temporally, yet many SEM studies continue to use

detached material (e.g., scraped or centrifuged). The physical integrity of the microcommunity must be maintained if its structure and associations are to be revealed. Direct observation of critical-point dried or freeze-dried intact material, viewed at magnifications of 500-30,000X and with a variety of specimen tilt angles, is currently the method of choice. When applied to sequential seasonal samples, this treatment allows one to evaluate structural aspects of the micro-ecological community. Since different bodies of water show significant differences in periphyton colonization (Pieczynska and Spodniewska, 1963; Knudson, 1957), two reservoirs were included in this study.

#### Materials and Methods

Pawnee and Yankee Hill are small shallow eutrophic reservoirs, constructed in 1965 for flood control and recreational use. Located 15 km from Lincoln, Nebraska, and within 13 km of each other, these impoundments often exhibit massive blue-green algal blooms due to their continual mixing and their high nutrient loading received from surrounding agricultural land. Morphometric, physical, and chemical data have been published for these reservoirs (Roemer and Hoagland, 1979; Schwartzkopf and Hergenrader, 1978; Hergenrader, 1980b).

Plexiglas tabs (5 x 10 cm) and standard glass microscope slides were suspended vertically ca 0.8 m below the water surface in each reservoir, from a specially designed buoy system (Fig. 1). Each glass slide (suspended 0.5 m below the Plexiglas tabs) was held with

a plastic clothes pin and fastened to a styrofoam block with a golf tee. This simple design had several advantages: it positioned the slides away from the sampler to avoid uneven shading; rarely failed under turbulence; allowed rapid sample handling with minimal disruption; was very inexpensive and was believed to be non-toxic. The samplers were located in 3 m of water, 5-10 m from shore. The artificial substrates were allowed to incubate in situ from 5-7 wk concurrently in each reservoir from fall 1976-summer 1977. Samples were collected weekly during the fall (Oct. 17-Nov. 14), spring (Mar. 31-Apr. 28), and summer (Jul. 8-Aug. 5) periods and biweekly during the winter (Feb. 10-Mar. 24). Samples were collected less frequently and over a longer period of time during the winter since periphyton development was slower in the colder waters. On each sampling date, a single Plexiglas tab and glass slide were removed. A quantitative sample was obtained by scraping a 33 mm<sup>2</sup> area from the Plexiglas tab and fixing in a merthiolate-iodine solution (Weber, 1968). The qualitative sample was collected by placing the slide into a plastic mailing container, while still submerged, and immediately fixing with 2% glutaraldehyde prepared with lake water.

The quantitative samples from Plexiglas tabs were treated with 28% hydrogen peroxide and potassium dichromate to remove organic matter (Van der Werff, 1955). After several dilutions and settlings of cells with distilled water, a measured portion of the concentrate was air dried onto a 22 mm square coverslip and permanently mounted with Hyrax. Diatom valve counts (500, except during periods of low

densities) were made at 1000X with a Zeiss microscope equipped with a planachromat phase objective.

Estimates of diatom cell densities were made from quantitative samples via the equation:

$$\text{cells mm}^{-2} \text{ on Plexiglas surface} = \frac{\text{number of diatom valves counted} \cdot (22 \text{ mm})^2 \cdot \text{volume of concentrate}}{2 \cdot \text{area of coverslip counted} \cdot \text{subsample volume} \cdot (33 \text{ mm})^2}$$

Quantitative comparisons of diatom communities were made by calculating a similarity index from the equation:

$$\text{SIMI (a,b)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{P_{ai}}{P_{bi}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{P_{ai}^2}{P_{bi}^2}}}$$

where SIMI is the degree of similarity between communities a and b, in which  $P_{ai}$  and  $P_{bi}$  are the proportions of individuals represented by the i-th taxon in communities a and b, respectively, and s equals the total number of taxa in the two communities (McIntire and Moore, 1977). SIMI has a minimum value of 0 when the two communities being compared have no taxa in common, and a maximum value of 1 when their species composition and proportions are equal. SIMI indices were calculated by comparing communities from (1) the two reservoirs within each season, (2) the same week in different seasons, and (3) different weeks within each season.

Qualitative periphyton samples were prepared for SEM by cutting each glass slide into several 1 cm<sup>2</sup> pieces. Each piece was dehydrated in a 10% graded acetone series, critical-point dried (CPD) with a Denton DCP-1 apparatus, and coated with ca 30 nm of thermally evaporated gold/palladium using a Denton Vacuum Evaporator DV-515 (Rosowski and Glider, 1977). Recoating by diode sputtering (Technics Inc, Alexandria, Virginia) was often necessary. All samples were examined with a Cambridge S4-10 Stereoscan SEM operated at 20 kV. One glass piece from each sample was scanned before representative colonization and community features were recorded with Kodak commercial film 4127.

## Results

### Quantitative Analyses

The seasonal occurrences of each diatom in both reservoirs, the total number of taxa in each season, and the percentage of all samples in which a taxon occurred, are listed in Table 1. Ninety-three diatom taxa representing 23 genera were found in the 37 Plexiglas samples from Pawnee and Yankee Hill reservoirs. Of these taxa, 28 occurred only in Pawnee and 24 were restricted to Yankee Hill. Five occurred in every season in both reservoirs: Achnanthes minutissima, Gomphonema parvulum, Navicula salinarum var. intermedia, Nitzschia dissipata, and Stephanodiscus rotula var. minutula. Gomphonema parvulum was the most common, occurring in all but three samples from Pawnee and in every sample from Yankee Hill. The weekly (or biweekly