

INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

UMI

A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor MI 48106-1346 USA
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

PREVIEW

**CLOSE RANGE HYPERSPECTRAL REMOTE SENSING OF WATER
COLUMN CONSTITUENTS AND SUBSTRATE EFFECTS**

By

Mahtab A. Lodhi

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of

The Graduate College at the University of Nebraska

In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Geography

Under the Supervision of Professor Donald C. Rundquist

Lincoln, Nebraska

February, 1998

UMI Number: 9829525

UMI Microform 9829525
Copyright 1998, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.

**This microform edition is protected against unauthorized
copying under Title 17, United States Code.**

UMI
300 North Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

DISSERTATION TITLE

CLOSE-RANGE HYPERSPECTRAL REMOTE SENSING OF WATER

COLUMN CONSTITUENTS AND SUBSTRATE EFFECTS

BY

MAHTAB AHMED LODHI

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

APPROVED

DATE

Fernando R Echavarria
Signature

3/05/1998

Dr. Fernando R. Echavarria
Typed Name

David C. Gosselin
Signature

2/23/98

Dr. David C. Gosselin
Typed Name

David T. Lewis
Signature

2/23/98

Dr. David T. Lewis
Typed Name

Donald C. Rundquist
Signature

2/23/98

Dr. Donald C. Rundquist
Typed Name

John F. Shroder, Jr.
Signature

20/4/98

Dr. John F. Shroder
Typed Name

William J. Wayne
Signature

23 Feb 1998

Dr. William J. Wayne
Typed Name



CLOSE RANGE HYPERSPECTRAL REMOTE SENSING OF WATER COLUMN CONSTITUENTS AND SUBSTRATE EFFECTS

Mahtab Ahmed Lodhi, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1998

Advisor: Donald C. Rundquist

Identifying and measuring amounts of water column constituents is a common application of satellite and close-range remote sensing. The technology provides an efficient and economical means of monitoring water-quality over large areas on a repetitive basis. The approach is especially important in regions where cost or inaccessibility prevents conventional *in-situ* sampling. Suspended sediments and algae are two major substances affecting the quality of surface waters. When suspended in a water column separately or in combination, the substances yield distinct spectral signatures, so their presence in the water column can be detected by means of remote sensing. However, the signal recorded above water bodies, either by satellite, aircraft, or boat-mounted sensors may be a "composite" of water column constituents, substrate effects, and / or atmospheric effects. The use of spectral data for water quality determination becomes difficult when such "peripheral effects" are present.

This study is focused on water column constituents and substrate effects. The general objectives were to: i) analyze, interpret, and relate the upwelling signals to water column constituents where "peripheral effects" are not present; ii) analyze and interpret the "composite signal" from shallow water bodies to determine if substrate effects can be isolated; and iii) analyze the "composite signal" to determine the extent and nature of error introduced into the measurement of water-quality parameters. The research was focused on experiments with sensors positioned at close-range with regard to water bodies.

The signals from two contrasting soil sediments suspended in a water column were distinct in the visible and NIR regions. The wavelength range between 580 and 690 nm was

found to be optimal for indicating the type of sediment suspended in water, and range between 714 and 880 nm was found to be optimal for estimating the amounts of sediment suspended in water. In general, the substrate impact is greatest in blue-green, and least in the NIR. Reflective substrates generally have a greater impact on the upwelling signal than the absorptive substrates. In eutrophic waters, signals from algal pigments tend to suppress those from bare substrates. In such waters, detection of vegetated substrates is difficult due to identical signatures of pigments and submerged vegetation. Spectral ratios based on both blue-green and red-NIR wavelengths yielded a measure of equilibrium between signals from the substrate and the water column constituents.

PREVIEW

Acknowledgments

Many people have contributed in many different ways for the successful completion of this dissertation. To recognize them all seems impossible to me; however, I wish to make the following expression of my sincere appreciation and heartfelt thanks:

To my advisor, Dr. Donald C. Rundquist, for his time and expertise that he devoted in not only reading and editing the manuscript numerous times, but equal participation in tedious and exhausting task of data collection during all those hot and long summer days as well. I have no doubt that without his skillful guidance, expertise, encouragement, and more importantly his kindness, I would have discontinued my efforts to complete my graduate studies long ago.

To members of my committee, Dr. David T. Lewis, Dr. David C. Gosselin, Dr. Fernando R. Echavarria, Dr. William J. Wayne, and Dr. John F. Shroder for their helpful comments and critique on the manuscript.

To others who have contributed in the successful completion of this project: Dr. John Schalles of Creighton University-Omaha, Dr. Jefferey Peake of University of Nebraska at Omaha, and their many dedicated students, stand out as some of those who gave of their time in order to complete my field experiments. Dr. Kyle Hoagland of the Fishery and Wildlife Department, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, for providing the laboratory facilities for pigment analysis. Dr. Richard Marx of the Department of Biometry, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, for his help in regression analysis, Ray Bentall of the Conservation and Survey Division at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, for his editorial on some portions of the manuscript.

To many fellow graduate students at the Center of Advancement Land Management Information Technologies: Rolland Fraser (currently at the Western Michigan University), and Luoheng Han (currently at the University of Alabama) for exposing me to the world of hyperspectral remote sensing; Bryan Leavitt, Julie Giandinoto, Eric Wilson, Claudio Perez, Brian Tolk, Steven Payton for their assistance in field experiments.

My sincere gratitude is also extended to Mr. Larry Malone and Mr. William Behrends of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, for granting permission and cooperating in the data collection on lakes located within the Crescent Lake National Wildlife Refuge area. To Mr. Eldred and Mr. Dietlein, for granting permission to conduct experiments on lakes located on their properties.

The funding for this study was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, Great Plains Regional Center, National Institute for Global Environmental Change (NIGEC), and the Conservation and Survey Division of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. An acknowledgment is also extended to Dr. Mark Kuzila of the Conservation and Survey Division of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, for providing funding at the initial and at the final stages of this project.

PREVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents.....	i
List of Tables.....	v
List of Figures	vii
CHAPTER 1. Introduction	
General Background.....	1
Remote Sensing of Water Quality.....	1
Inherent Optical Properties	1
Apparent Optical Properties.....	2
Transmission of Visible Light through Water Column.....	2
Absorption and Scattering of Visible Light in Water.....	3
Bottom Effect on the Optical Properties of Water Column.....	4
The Problem	5
Objectives of the Study.....	7
Structure of the Dissertation	9
References	10
CHAPTER 2: The Potential for Remote Sensing of Soil Sediment	
Suspended in Water	
Abstract	17
Introduction	17
Research Methodology	19
Study Site and Sky Conditions During the Experiment.....	19
Collection and Preparation of Soil Samples.....	19
Design Setup for Reflectance Measurement	20
Results and Discussion	21
Summary and Conclusion	23
References	25

CHAPTER 3: Estimating Suspended Sediment Concentration in Surface Waters Using Integrated Reflectance

Abstract	35
Introduction	35
Research Methodology	38
Integration of Spectroradiometer Reflectance to Satellite	
Band Widths.....	40
Statistical Analysis	40
Results and Discussion	40
Conclusion	42
References	43

CHAPTER 4: Impact of Varying Depths of a Non-Vegetated Surrogate Bottom on Spectral Signals Upwelling from a Water Body

Abstract	54
Introduction	54
Bottom Effect on the Upwelling Spectral Signal.....	54
Objectives of the Study	56
Materials and Methods	57
Water Container	57
Surrogate Bottom	57
Instrumentation for Spectral Measurements	58
Water Quality Measurements	59
Spectral Indices	59
Statistical Analysis	60
Results and Discussion	61
First Experiment: Sand Bottom in Clear Water.....	61
Relationship Between Integrated Spectra and Depth	62
Analysis of the Bottom Impact on Upwelling Signal.....	63

Second Experiment: Sand Bottom in Algal Suspension.....	64
Relationship Between Integrated Spectra and Depth.....	65
An Analysis of the Bottom Impact on Upwelling Signal....	65
Summary and Conclusion	66
References	70
CHAPTER 5: Impact of Varying Depths of a Vegetated Surrogate Bottom on	
Spectral Signals Upwelling from a Water Body	
Abstract	90
Introduction	90
Remote Sensing of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation	90
Upwelling Spectral Signal from Water Bodies With SV.....	93
Objectives of the Study	95
Materials and Methods	95
Instrumentation and Procedures for Spectral Measurements	95
Water Quality Measurements	96
Preparing a Surrogate Vegetated Bottom.....	97
Data Analysis	98
Results and Discussion	98
First Experiment: Surrogate Bottom in Clear Water	98
Relationship Between Integrated Spectra and Depth.....	100
An Analysis of Bottom Impact on Upwelling Signal.....	101
Second Experiment: Surrogate Bottom in Algal Suspension.....	101
Relationship Between Integrated Spectra and Depth.....	103
An Analysis of Bottom Impact on Upwelling Signal	103
Summary and Conclusion	104
References	107

CHAPTER 6: A Spectral Analysis of Bottom Induced Variation in the Color of Sand Hills Lakes

Abstract	123
Introduction	123
Apparent and Inherent Color	124
Bottom Effects	125
Previous Approaches for Measuring Water Color	125
Objectives of the Study.....	126
Materials and Methods.....	127
Study Area	127
Measuring Reflectance	128
Measuring Water Quality	129
Measuring Bottom Sediment Color	129
Measuring Apparent Color of Water	130
Measuring Inherent Color of Water	131
Results and Discussion	131
Alkali Lake	131
Crane Lake	132
Goose Lake	133
Roundup Lake	133
Rush Lake	134
Variation in Apparent Color	134
Variation in Inherent Color	135
Summary and Conclusion	136
References	138
CHAPTER 7: Conclusions and Recommendations.....	156
APPENDICES	162

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soil Samples	29
2.2	Wavelengths of Peak Reflectance at Varying SSC levels	30
3.1	Correlation Between Landsat-TM Simulated Bands and SSC	47
3.2	Summary of the Step-wise Regression Procedure for SSC.....	48
3.3	Skewness and Kurtosis Coefficients for Test of Normality of Residuals ..	49
3.4	Statistical Parameters for Second-order Regression Equations for Simulated Landsat-TM Bands.....	50
4.1	Wavelengths of Maximum Penetration at Varying Depths of the Bottom in Clear Water	73
4.2	Correlation between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of the Bottom at all Depths in Clear Water	74
4.3	Relationship between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of the Bottom at all Depths in Clear Water.....	75
4.4	Wavelengths of Maximum Penetration at Varying Depths of the Bottom in Algal Suspension.....	76
4.5	Correlation between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of the Bottom at all Depths in Algal Suspension.....	77
4.6	Relationship between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of the Bottom at all Depths in Algal Suspension.....	78
4.7	Variance of Upwelling Signal as Explained by Visible and NIR	79
5.1	Correlation Between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of Bottom at all Depths in Clear Water.....	109
5.2	Relationships between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of the Bottom at all Depths in Clear Water.....	110
5.3	Correlation between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of the Bottom at all Depths in Algal Suspension.....	111

5.4	Relationships between Spectral Regions and Integrated Reflectivity of the Bottom at all Depths in Algal Suspension.....	112
6.1	Selected Water Quality and Optical Properties of Study Lakes.....	141
6.2	Reflectance of Lakes Measured Above Natural Bottom.....	142
6.3	Reflectance of Lakes Measured Above Surrogate Bright Bottom.....	143
6.4	Reflectance of Study Lakes Measured Above Surrogate Dark Bottom...	144
6.5	Analysis of Bottom-Induced Variation in the Apparent Color of Study Lakes.....	145
6.6	Analysis of Variation in the Color of Study Lakes.....	146
6.7	Selected Physical and Chemical Properties of Study Lakes.....	147

PREVIEW

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Map of Nebraska Showing the Study Area for <i>in-situ</i> Spectral Data.....	15
1.2	High Resolution Spectral Devices Used for Spectral Measurements.....	16
2.1	Experimental Design Setup.....	31
2.2	Reflectance of Water with Varying Levels of SSC (mg/l): (a) Clayey soil (b) Silty soil.....	32
2.3	Correlation Coefficients for Relationship Between SSC and Reflectance by Wavelength.....	33
2.4	Correlation between SSC and Reflectance at Selected Wavelengths: (a) Clayey soil (b) Silty soil.....	34
3.1	Experimental Design Setup.....	51
3.2	Reflectance of Water at Varying Levels of SSC (mg/l) with Superimposed Landsat-TM Bands.....	52
3.3	Correlation between SSC and Simulated Landsat-TM Band-4: (a) simple linear model (b) second-order model.....	53
4.1	Design setup for close-range spectral measurements.....	80
4.2	Upwelling Signals from Bottom at Varying Depths in Clear Water (a) showing all depths (b) showing depths > 40 cm	81
4.3	Attenuation of Spectral Energy at Varying Depths of the Bottom in Clear Water.....	82
4.4	Relationship between Integrated Reflectance and Varying Depths of Bottom in Clear Water	83
4.5	Relationship Between Spectral Ratios and Varying Depths of Bottom in Clear Water (a) blue / green (b) blue / red.....	84
4.6	Upwelling Signals from Bottom at Varying Depths in Algal Suspension (a) showing all depths (b) showing depths > 50 cm	85
4.7	Attenuation of Spectral Energy at Varying Depths of the Bottom in Algal Suspension.....	86

4.8	Upwelling Signals in NIR region from Bottom at Varying Depths in Clear Water and Algal Suspension	87
4.9	Relationship Between Integrated Reflectance and Varying Depths of Bottom in Algal Suspension	88
4.10	Relationship Between Spectral Ratios and Varying Depths of Bottom in Algal Suspension (a) blue / green (b) red / NIR.....	89
5.1	Design Setup for Close-range Spectral Measurements.....	113
5.2	Photograph Showing Aquatic Vegetation on Sand Substrate.....	114
5.3	Upwelling Signal from Bottom at Varying Depths in Clear Water.....	115
5.4	First Derivative Spectra of Upwelling Signals from Bottom at Varying Depths in Clear Water.....	116
5.5	Relationships between Integrated Reflectance and Varying Depths of Bottom in Clear Water.....	117
5.6	Relationship between Spectral Ratios and Varying Depths of Bottom in Clear Water (a) blue / green (b) showing depths > 50 cm.....	118
5.7	Upwelling Signal from Bottom at Varying Depths in Algal Suspension (a) showing all depths (b) showing depths > 50 cm.....	119
5.8	First Derivative Spectra of Upwelling Signals from Bottom at Varying Depths in Algal Suspension	120
5.9	Relationships between Integrated Reflectance and Varying Depths of Bottom in Algal Suspension.....	121
5.10	Relationship between Spectral Ratios and Varying Depths of Bottom in Algal Suspension (a) blue / green (b) red / NIR.....	122
6.1	Map of Nebraska Showing the Location of Study Area for <i>in-situ</i> Spectral Measurements	148
6.2	Landsat-TM Image Showing the Optical Variation among the Sand Hills Lakes.....	149
6.3	Photograph Showing the Design Setup for Spectral Measurements on Study Lakes.....	150

6.4	Photograph depicting the Method of Spectral Measurements on Study Lakes with Surrogate Bottoms.....	151
6.5	Reflectance of Study Lakes Measured above Natural and Surrogate Bottoms.....	152
6.6	Photograph showing the Impact of Varying Depths of Surrogate Bright Bottom on the Apparent Color of Goose Lake, Above: Bottom at 20 cm depth, Below: Bottom is 40 cm deep.....	153
6.7	Chromaticity Diagram Depicting the Bottom-induced Variation in the Apparent Color of Study Lakes.....	154
6.8	Chromaticity Diagram Showing the Distribution of Study Lakes Based on their Apparent and Inherent Colors.....	155

PREVIEW

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1. GENERAL BACKGROUND

1.1 Remote Sensing of Water Quality

Although *in-situ* sampling techniques are an integral part of water quality measurements, their utility often is restricted to small areas, as time and cost preclude extensive and frequent data collection over large water bodies. On the other hand, both satellite and close-range remote sensing provide more efficient and economical ways of monitoring water quality over large areas on a repetitive basis.

Remote sensing for water quality involves the measurement of electromagnetic energy transmitted through, absorbed by or reflected from a water body. In order to quantify these three interactions, an understanding of the optical properties, *inherent* and *apparent*, of water bodies is essential (Smith and Baker, 1978).

1.2 Inherent Optical Properties

Inherent properties, which are independent of changes in the radiance distribution and specify the true scattering and absorbing characteristics of the medium, are dependent upon both dissolved and suspended material in the water (Preisendorfer, 1976). They also are linearly related to the chemical properties of water (Hakvoort, 1994). The absorption coefficient (α) and the volume scattering function (β) are the two main *inherent* optical properties. The (α) is the loss of photons from a beam of light by

absorbing pigments and other materials per unit distance. The (β) describes the angular distribution of photons that are re-directed from a beam of light.

1.2 Apparent Optical Properties

Apparent properties are dependent on both the radiance distribution at a particular location and the dissolved or suspended material in the water. They are not related linearly to the chemical properties of water. The attenuation coefficient of irradiance (a), which provides the direct measure of the penetration of radiant energy in water, is an example of an apparent optical property. Reflection $R(\lambda)$, also an *apparent* optical property, is the ratio of upwelling to downwelling radiance. It is a function of, among other factors, the suspended and dissolved constituents in water. Other *apparent* properties include color, transparency, and Secchi depth.

1.3 Transmission of Visible Light through Water Column

Visible light occupies the approximate wavelength range from 400 nm to 700 nm, and encompasses blue, green and red colors. Compared to turbid water, clear water absorbs very little incoming energy at wavelengths shorter than 700 nm, and transmission is relatively high. The maximum transmittance for clear water occurs at about 460 nm (blue-green) and it decreases significantly as wavelength increases. In clear water, transmission in the red region is almost 70 times less than that of the blue region. In surface waters, the maximum transmittance is dependent not only on wavelength but also on the type and the amount of suspended materials. Transmittance decreases with both increasing wavelength and turbidity.

1.4 Absorption and Scattering of Visible Light in Water

Visible energy entering surface waters attenuates through the processes of absorption and scattering within the water column, and only a small portion of the incident energy is backscattered from the water volume. The absorption is a consequence of a selective effect of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM), also known as *gilvin*, *gelbstoff* or *yellow substances* and the relatively unselective effect of suspended particulate materials such as phytoplankton, phaeopigments, detritus, and degradational products. These dissolved materials absorb electromagnetic energy more strongly in the blue wavelengths than in the green or red wavelengths (Bricaud et al., 1981; Kirk, 1976, 1980, 1983; Kishino et al., 1985; Mitchell and Kiefer, 1988; Roesler et al., 1989). When CDOM is present in small quantities, the attenuation of electromagnetic energy is lowest in the green wavelengths; and in waters with large amounts of such substances, the attenuation is lowest in the red wavelengths (water is more transmissive) than in the blue or green. The phytoplankton pigments show absorption maxima in the blue spectral region at ~ 445 nm, and in the red at ~ 670 nm. These contrasting absorption characteristics of phytoplankton pigments and the CDOM can be exploited for the purpose of quantifying variable amounts of phytoplankton pigments in waters containing both of these materials. The attenuation of energy by CDOM is entirely due to absorption and the scattering is negligible (Morel and Prieur, 1977; Gordon and Morel, 1983; Tassan, 1988) whereas the attenuation by pigments and detritus material is due to the combined action of absorption and scattering. Thus in surface waters, as water transparency diminishes, the amount of reflected energy from the water volume, that can

be recorded by a sensor will increase in longer wavelengths, emanating from increasingly shallow optical depths (Hutchinson, 1957).

If turbid coastal or inland waters are rich in suspended sediment, backscattering from particles is the dominant factor. Reflectance in these waters is low in the blue region of the spectrum (400 – 500 nm), but at wavelengths longer than 500 nm, reflectance is affected markedly by the type, particle size, and the level of particulate matter within the water column. Water bodies with relatively high concentrations of suspended sediment have high reflectance in the wavelength region between 500 to 710 nm. At longer wavelengths, the attenuation of light by water becomes dominant, and reflectance decreases. The spectral range roughly between 710 to 880 nm (NIR) has been considered appropriate by some for monitoring reflectance changes due to the differing nature of turbidity-causing material (Han and Rundquist, 1994).

1.5 Bottom Effect on the Optical Properties of Water Column

Where water transparency and depth permit electromagnetic radiation to reach to the bottom, the composite upwelling signal from a water body can include reflectance from the surface, from the water column, and also from the bottom. The intensity and the pattern of this “bottom effect” on the spectrum of volume reflectance depends on both the color and the composition of the bottom, and also on the type of water column constituents. In remote sensing studies that deal with bathymetry or mapping of bottom features, it is essential that the lake bottom is visible to the sensor. In this case, bottom effects are considered useful information and are the desired objective. However, in remote sensing studies for water-quality measurements, bottom effects are considered to

be undesirable peripheral effects, which can introduce “noise” in the upwelling composite spectral signal. In this case, reflectance backscattered from the bottom is a source of error when interpreting remotely sensed data.

2. THE PROBLEM

Identifying and measuring amounts of water column constituents is a common application of remote sensing. The intention is to be able to use aircraft and satellite sensors to infer water-quality, in automated fashion, over a wide expanse of geographic space. This approach is especially important in regions where cost or inaccessibility prevents conventional *in-situ* sampling.

Sediment suspended in lakes and reservoirs not only degrades the quality of the water for drinking, recreational, and industrial purposes, but also has a significant impact on the aquatic ecosystems. Sediment transports adsorbed toxic substances, thereby limiting light availability and photosynthesis. Suspended sediment and associated organic material support filter-feeding pelagic and benthic communities. Thus, pesticides associated with these sediments represent a pathway for entry into the food chain (Bergamaschi et al., 1997). Remote sensing can facilitate the monitoring of suspended sediment transported by watershed runoff into lakes and reservoirs.

Various investigators either have successfully established statistical correlation between the spectral data and suspended sediment concentration (SSC) in surface waters or have developed algorithms for this purpose (Alfoldi and Munday, 1978; Amos and Alfoldi, 1979; Curran and Novo, 1988; Aranuvachapun and Walling, 1988; Lyon et al., 1988; Doerffer et al., 1989; Bhargava and Mariam, 1991; Ritchie et al., 1990; Goodin et

al., 1993; Mayo et al., 1993; Han and Rundquist, 1994). All of these investigators documented relationships between reflectance and SSC in surface waters. However, only a few analyzed spectral data for suspended sediment derived from different types of soils.

The signal recorded above water bodies, either by satellite-based sensors or boat-mounted spectroradiometers, does not represent solely the water column constituents. Rather it may be a “composite or volume signal,” and includes signals from single or multiple constituents accumulated in the water column, backscattering from the bottom, and atmospheric effects (in case of satellite or aircraft-based sensors). The use of spectral data for interpretation and analysis of water quality becomes complicated when such “peripheral effects” are present.

Substrate effects on the upwelling signal from water bodies have been studied by several researchers (McCluney, 1974; Scherz and Van Domelon, 1975; Bartolucci et al., 1977; Whitlock et al., 1978; Hollinger et al., 1985; Lyon et al., 1992; Montovani and Cabral, 1992; Estep, 1994; Rundquist et al., 1995). Based on these studies, some generalizations can be made. For example, bottom effects decrease with increasing amounts of suspended matter because of increased attenuation of light in the water column. Scattering material present within the water column “re-directs” photons, and increases the likelihood of their being absorbed. Additionally, different types of bottoms exhibit significant differences in reflectance. For example, light-colored sandy bottoms tend to have higher reflectance than do bottoms consisting of silt, clay, sediment high in organic matter, and vegetated substrates.