

PYROELECTRIC CERAMICS AS TEMPERATURE SENSORS FOR ENERGY SYSTEM  
APPLICATIONS

JORGE LUIS SILVA

Master's Program in Mechanical Engineering

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yirong Lin, Ph.D., Chair

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jose L. Bañuelos, Ph.D.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dino Villagrán, Ph.D.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ambler, Ph.D.  
Dean of the Graduate School

©Copyright

by

Jorge Luis Silva

2017

to my

family and my future wife

with love

PREVIEW

PYROELECTRIC CERAMICS AS TEMPERATURE SENSORS FOR ENERGY SYSTEM  
APPLICATIONS

by

JORGE LUIS SILVA

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of

The University of Texas at El Paso

in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements

for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Mechanical Engineering

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO

May 2017

ProQuest Number: 10284080

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10284080

Published by ProQuest LLC (2017). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code  
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.  
789 East Eisenhower Parkway  
P.O. Box 1346  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

## **Acknowledgements**

This research was performed with the support of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy at National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) under the HBCU/MI program with grant number of DEFE0011235. This work was also partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under NSF-PREM Grant No. DMR-1205302.

PREVIEW

## Abstract

Temperature is continuously monitored in energy systems to ensure safe operation temperatures, increase efficiency and avoid high emissions. Most of energy systems operate at high temperature and harsh environments to achieve higher efficiencies, therefore temperature sensing devices that can operate under these conditions are highly desired. The interest has increased in temperature sensors capable to operate in harsh environments and temperature sensors capable to transmit thermal information wirelessly. One of the solutions for developing harsh environment sensors is to use ceramic materials, especially functional ceramics such as pyroelectrics. Pyroelectric ceramics could be used to develop active sensors for both temperature and pressure due to their capabilities in coupling energy among mechanical, thermal, and electrical domains. In this study, two different pyroelectric materials were used to develop two different temperature sensors systems. First, a high temperature sensor was developed using a lithium niobate ( $\text{LiNbO}_3$ ) pyroelectric ceramic. With its Curie temperature of  $1210^\circ\text{C}$ , lithium niobate is capable to maintain its pyroelectric properties at high temperature making it ideal for temperature sensing at high temperature applications. Lithium niobate has been studied previously in the attempt to use its pyroelectric current as the sensing mechanism to measure temperatures up to  $500^\circ\text{C}$ . Pyroelectric coefficient of lithium niobate is a function of temperature as reported in a previous study, therefore a dynamic technique is utilized to measure the pyroelectric coefficient of the lithium niobate used in this study. The pyroelectric coefficient was successfully measured up to  $500^\circ\text{C}$  with coefficients ranging from  $-8.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ C/m}^2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at room temperature to  $-23.70 \times 10^{-5} \text{ C/m}^2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at  $500^\circ\text{C}$ . The lithium niobate sensor was then tested at higher temperatures:  $220^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $280^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $410^\circ\text{C}$  and  $500^\circ\text{C}$  with 4.31 %, 2.1 %, 0.4 % and 0.6 % deviation respectively when compared with thermocouple measurements. The second phase of this study focused on developing a wireless temperature



sensor with lead zirconate titanate (PZT) as the pyroelectric material. This wireless temperature sensor consists of generating current by the PZT when exposed to a rate of temperature change with time, which was conducted to a built electromagnet to produce a magnetic field. The magnetic field was captured wirelessly with a milligaussmeter at a certain distance. Pyroelectric property of PZT ( $-40 \times 10^{-5} \text{ C/m}^2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at  $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) is higher than that of the lithium niobate ( $-8.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ C/m}^2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at  $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ), which was necessary to be able to generate the necessary pyroelectric current to make magnetic field detectable by the milligaussmeter. The electromagnet body was 3D printed with ABS material and surrounded with winding wire material. Before attempting a wireless temperature measurement, several attempts to measure the magnetic field at different distances away from the electromagnet were done. At the applied heating rates, the milligaussmeter was able to measure magnetic field up to 1.27 cm away from the electromagnet edge. A PZT sensor with a thickness of 0.1 cm was tested for use in the wireless temperature measurement configuration. For more accurate wireless temperature measurements, a similar pyroelectric coefficient measurement technique as used in phase one was done. The pyroelectric coefficient was found to increase from  $-40 \times 10^{-5} \text{ C/m}^2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  to  $-71.84 \times 10^{-5} \text{ C/m}^2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  from  $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  to  $122 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The PZT sensor was then tested for wireless temperature measurement at a distance of 1.27 cm at set temperatures of  $100 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $150 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , and  $200 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , and showed a maximum 10.47 % deviation when compared to thermocouple reading. In order to increase the distance that the wireless temperature sensor can read, a ferromagnetic material was placed inside the electromagnet. The sensor was tested for wireless temperature measurement at 1.27 cm, 2.54 cm and 3.81 cm with a maximum deviation of 13.4 %.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	v
Abstract.....	vi
Table of Contents.....	viii
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables.....	xii
Chapter 1: Introduction and Background.....	1
1.1	
Introduction.....	1
1.2	
Background.....	1
1.3 Pyroelectricity.....	4
1.4 Pyroelectric Materials.....	6
1.5 Techniques to Measure Pyroelectric Coefficient.....	8
1.6 Wireless Temperature Sensing Under Harsh Environments.....	9
1.7 Overall Project Description.....	10
Chapter 2: Experimental Method and Technical Approach.....	12
2.1 First Phase – High Temperature Sensor.....	12
2.1.1 Temperature Measurement Methodology.....	12
2.1.2 Sensor Sample Preparation.....	14
2.1.3 Pyroelectric Coefficient Measurement of $\text{LiNbO}_3$ .....	15
2.1.4 Experimental Setup for Pyroelectric Coefficient Measurement of $\text{LiNbO}_3$ .....	16
2.1.5 Experimental Setup for High Temperature Sensing.....	17
2.1.6 Characterization of Induced Magnetic Field by the Tube Furnace to the Pyroelectric Material.....	18
2.2 Second Phase – Wireless Temperature Sensor.....	19
2.2.1 Sensor Sample Preparation.....	20
2.2.2 Quantification of Magnetic Field at a Distance from Electromagnet.....	21
2.2.3 Wireless Temperature Measurement Methodology.....	23
2.2.4 Experimental Setup for Pyroelectric Coefficient Measurement of PZT.....	24
2.2.5 Building of the Electromagnet.....	27
2.2.6 Wireless Temperature Sensing Setup.....	28
Chapter 3: Results and Discussions.....	31

3.1 First Phase Experimental Results: Development of a Self-Power High Temperature Pyroelectric Sensor.....	31
3.1.1 Characterization of Pyroelectric Current Generated when Heated in Tube Furnace	31
3.1.2 Temperature Measurement using the Pyroelectric Coefficient from Literature.....	34
3.1.3 Pyroelectric Coefficient Measurement of $\text{LiNbO}_3$ up To 500 °C.....	37
3.1.4 Characterization of Induced Current by the Tube Furnace .....	41
3.1.5 Temperature Measurement using Calculated Pyroelectric Coefficient (up to 500 °C) with $\text{LiNbO}_3$ .....	45
3.2 Second Phase Experimental Results: Development of a Wireless Temperature Sensor Based on Pyroelectric Ceramics.....	47
3.2.1 Characterization of Magnetic Field Measurement using a Milligaussmeter.....	48
3.2.2 Wireless Temperature Measurement using Pyroelectric Coefficient from Vendor as Constant.....	50
3.2.3 Characterization of Pyroelectric Coefficient of PZT-5A.....	52
3.2.4 Wireless Temperature Measurement using Measured Pyroelectric Coefficient.....	53
3.2.5 Effect of using a Magnetic Core in Electromagnet.....	57
Chapter 4: Conclusion.....	63
4.1 High Temperature Sensing using Lithium Niobate Ceramic.....	63
4.2 Wireless Temperature Sensing using PZT Ceramic.....	64
References.....	67
Vitae.....	70

## List of Figures

Figure 1: (a) Current generated when heating rate with time is experienced (b) Current sign is reversed when cooling rate with time is experienced. ....	5
Figure 2: shows the lithium niobate with electrodes on top and bottom surfaces insulated with ultra-high ceramic sleeve. ....	15
Figure 3: show the physical parameters used to calculate the theoretical magnetic field .....	22
Figure 4: shows how the PZT was placed on top of the thermoelectric device for temperature fluctuation .....	25
Figure 5: demonstrates the setup used to measure the pyroelectric coefficient using a heating pad as the heating source .....	26
Figure 6: Shows the built electromagnet, (a) demonstrates the space in the middle left to place the probe of the milligaussmeter, (b) show the winding of the wire around the electromagnet...	28
Figure 7: Demonstrates the setup used for wireless temperature sensing .....	29
Figure 8: (a) Shows the temperature measured by thermocouple and its respective rate of temperature change with time, (b) demonstrates how the current profile matches with the rate of temperature change with time .....	32
Figure 9: Shows the aluminum foil used inside the tube furnace for magnetic shielding .....	33
Figure 10: (a) Shows the temperature measured by thermocouple and its respective rate of temperature change with time, (b) shows that current is generated according to rate of temperature change, proving that aluminum blocked the undesired induced current .....	34
Figure 11: Shows the non-linear pyroelectric coefficient from literature.....	35
Figure 12: Shows measured temperature by the $\text{LiNbO}_3$ when constant pyroelectric coefficient from vendor and temperature dependent pyroelectric coefficient from literature are used. (a) Set temperature of 300 °C and (b) 400 °C .....	36
Figure 13: Shows the measured temperature by the $\text{LiNbO}_3$ when variable pyroelectric coefficient is used, and then compared with the thermocouple measurement. (a) Set temperature of 500 °C and (b) 600 °C .....	37
Figure 14: Demonstrate the dynamic method to measure the pyroelectric coefficient, (a) shows the complete heating and cooling cycling induced to the $\text{LiNbO}_3$ and its corresponding rate of temperature change with time, (b) demonstrate the current generated proportional to the rate of temperature change with time .....	39
Figure 15: (a) Shows the thermocouple measurement with its respective rate of temperature change with time, (b) shows the negative current that is induced when $(dT/dt)$ is zero .....	40
Figure 16: Alumina sample used to measure the induced current by the tube furnace .....	41
Figure 17: (a) Shows the thermocouple measurement with its respective rate of temperature change with time, (b) shows induced current by the tube furnace on a non-pyroelectric material (alumina) .....	42
Figure 18: Shows the measured current by the picoammeter of both $\text{LiNbO}_3$ and alumina ceramic when exposed to the same heating and cooling cycling (set temperature fluctuations of 650 °C and 550 °C) .....	43

Figure 19: Shows the current measured by the picoammeter on three tests with the same heating and cooling conditions but different amount of stainless steel foil sheets used for magnetic shielding.....	44
Figure 20: Temperature measured by the $\text{LiNbO}_3$ sensor and the thermocouple in the tube furnace at different set temperatures up to 500 °C .....	46
Figure 21: (a) Shows the temperature measured by thermocouple and its corresponding rate of temperature change with time, (b) shows the proportional generated current with $dT/dt$ .....	48
Figure 22: Compares the magnetic field measured by the milligaussmeter and the analytical magnetic field calculated based on pyroelectric current.....	49
Figure 23: Temperature measured from thermocouple, experimental and analytical magnetic field from PZT sensor at set temperatures of (a) 100 °C, (b) 150 °C and (c) 200 °C.....	51
Figure 24: Temperature profile of PZT with rate of temperature change with time b) Generated current by PZT with corresponding rate of temperature change with time .....	53
Figure 25: Temperature measured from thermocouple, experimental and analytical magnetic field from PZT sensor at set temperatures of (a) 100 °C, (b) 150 °C and (c) 200 °C.....	55
Figure 26: Thermal image of the PZT sensor during two different operating conditions a) before starting the test b) during the test after certain time.....	56
Figure 27: Demonstrates where the probe of the milligaussmeter was placed for all three tests. (a) 1.27 cm, (b) 2.54 cm and (c) 3.81 cm away from the edge of electromagnet .....	57
Figure 28: Shows the similar profiles of rate of temperature change with time and pyroelectric current by PZT .....	58
Figure 29: Shows the analytical magnetic field when no core is used and the experimental magnetic field measured by the milligaussmeter when a core is present .....	59
Figure 30: (a) Compares the magnetic field measured when a core material is used, with analytical magnetic field when no core is used. (b) Compares the measured magnetic field at different distances when a core material is present in the electromagnet.....	60
Figure 31: Shows the temperature measured using experimental, analytical magnetic field and thermocouple measurement at (a) 1.27 cm, (b) 2.54 cm and (c) 3.81 cm away from the electromagnet.....	61

## List of Tables

Table 1: Materials with their respective pyroelectric properties .....	7
Table 2: Dimensions and properties of commercially bought PZT (Piezo Systems inc.) .....	20
Table 3: Shows the physical parameters of the electromagnet built.....	28
Table 4: Shows the pyroelectric coefficients measured at different temperature ranges up to 500 °C .....	39
Table 5: Comparison between thermocouple measured temperature and temperature measurements made with the LiNbO <sub>3</sub> sensor at steady state conditions .....	47
Table 6: Temperature dependent pyroelectric coefficient of PZT using a dynamic measurement technique .....	53

PREVIEW

# **Chapter 1: Introduction and Background**

## **1.1 Introduction**

Components in energy systems require continuous monitoring of temperature in order to maximize efficiency. Temperature is a thermodynamic property that can be measured, thus components can be pushed to maximum temperature where these can operate safely without compromising the system [1]. Furthermore, monitoring of temperature on specific components of a system is desired since an increase or decrease in temperature could potentially compromise mechanical or chemical properties of a material. It is known that mechanical properties such as toughness and strength are sacrificed when operation temperature fluctuates [2]. Therefore, continuous monitoring of temperature is essential for optimal functioning and safety of energy systems. Many components in energy generation systems can reach extreme temperatures where these can exceed 1000 °C, corrosive and high pressure environments. A device that can accurately measure temperature under these conditions is important to assure structural stability and prevent functionality failure [3-5]. Therefore, development of temperature sensors that can operate in harsh conditions and wireless temperature sensors are desired. These technologies could reduce the maintenance cost of sensor's components as well as their overall price. Small number of technologies can operate under these conditions while providing high reliability. For these reasons, a high temperature and wireless temperature sensors are being investigated in this study.

## **1.2 Background**

Several methods to measure high temperature in harsh environments are currently available including conventional thermocouple, resistance thermometry, SiAlCN ceramics, wireless thermocouple connector systems, surface acoustic wave (SAW), and radio frequency (RF) powered induction-capacitance (LC) temperature sensor [3,6-8]. Thermocouples are very

sensitive and very limited in terms of service life and accuracy. Thermocouples in harsh environments tend to get corroded due to corrosive chemicals, which can limit its operational life [2]. Conventional thermocouples are composed of two wires of different material that meet at two ends, the different materials develop a voltage difference between them and is transferred to the other end where the temperature is read. When exposed to high temperature, the metal of the wires can get corroded and malfunction usually occurs [2]. Furthermore, conventional thermocouples cannot be used in every application, sometimes wiring is not desired in the energy system where wire can obstruct the closure of a sealed environment. Resistance thermometry uses the temperature dependence of electrical resistance of conductive material to measure temperature between  $-260\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $962\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Theoretically any conducting material can be used as a resistive temperature sensor but the limitation for selection of materials are considered in terms of temperature resistance, cost and resistance to oxidation [9]. Limitations of existing intrusive temperature measurement methods emphasize the strong need for high temperature sensing in harsh environments [2,10,11].

A ceramic sensor made out of polymer-derived SiAlCN ceramics (PDCs) has been experimented for high temperature wireless sensing [7]. In this study, a temperature sensor using PDC and an embedded system were tested. PDC sensors are resistive sensors that transmit their signals through a WiFi port on the embedded circuit. The cost for the manufacturing and deplorability to the public for real life applications is high for this application. The total fabrication process for a single PDC ceramic sensor is approximately fifty-two hours, and there is complexity with keeping the purity of the sample if the fabrication is not carried out in high-purity nitrogen to avoid as much contamination as possible. One major disadvantage would be the Wi-Fi port and hotspot since signal can be easily lost. The



company Omega has developed a wireless thermocouple connector system that transmits radio frequency signals, which transfers the thermocouple's information to a host receiver [8]. It has a universal adaptor which allows for different connectivity types of thermocouples. According to Omega, the system is powered by a battery that lasts 1.5 years at a rate of 1 sample per minute at room temperature. However, if temperature needs to be monitored at a much higher frequency, then the battery would need to be replaced much more often. In this setup, Omega's wireless temperature sensor is a particularly expensive system because all the necessary components to function are sold separately which include: the thermocouple connector, transmitter, receiver, and battery replacements.

RF powered LC temperature sensor was developed by the department of mechanical engineering at the University of Puerto Rico [3]. A passive LC resonant telemetry scheme, which relies on a frequency output that communicates a sensor made of a high-K temperature sensitive ceramic material with a reader in order to measure the temperature with no physical contact. An electrical capacitor is the design principle of the temperature sensor. The capacitor acts as the temperature sensor since its dielectric constant varies linearly with temperature, therefore capacitor's capacitance changes according to its exposed temperature. Oscillating current on the reader's antenna generates magnetic field which can be transferred to the sensor's antenna, an alternating voltage is induced in the sensor at a constant frequency. Frequency changes with environment's temperature and therefore antenna from the reader picks up the varying frequency signal from the sensor. However, the outputting frequency from sensor can be easily disrupted by electromagnetic interference (EMI). EMI can alter the LC circuit by electromagnetic induction causing an inaccurate temperature measurement.