

## INFORMATION TO USERS

This was produced from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure you of complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark it is an indication that the film inspector noticed either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, or duplicate copy. Unless we meant to delete copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed, you will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed the photographer has followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For any illustrations that cannot be reproduced satisfactorily by xerography, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and tipped into your xerographic copy. Requests can be made to our Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases we have filmed the best available copy.

University  
Microfilms  
International

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106  
18 BEDFORD ROW, LONDON WC1R 4EJ, ENGLAND

OGUNLELA, VINCENT BABATUNDE

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND AGRONOMIC RESPONSES OF A GRAIN  
SORGHUM (SORGHUM BICOLOR (L.) MOENCH) HYBRID TO ELEVATED  
NIGHT TEMPERATURES

*The University of Nebraska - Lincoln*

PH.D.

1979

University  
Microfilms  
International

300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

18 Bedford Row, London WC1R 4EJ, England

PREVIEW

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND AGRONOMIC RESPONSES OF A GRAIN  
SORGHUM [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] HYBRID  
TO ELEVATED NIGHT TEMPERATURES

by

Vincent B. Ogunlela

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of

The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska

In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Agronomy

Under the Supervision of Professor Jerry D. Eastin

Lincoln, Nebraska

December, 1979

**TITLE**

Physiological and agronomic responses of a grain sorghum

[Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrid to elevated night temperatures

**BY**

Vincent Babatunde Ogunlela

**APPROVED**

**DATE**

<u>Jerry D. Eastin</u>	<u>12-14-79</u>
<u>William M. Ross</u>	<u>12-14-79</u>
<u>Max D. Clegg</u>	<u>12-14-79</u>
<u>Charles Y. Sullivan</u>	<u>12-14-79</u>
<u>Blaine L. Blad</u>	<u>12-14-79</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>

**SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE**

**GRADUATE COLLEGE**

**UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA**

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to extend sincere gratitude to Dr. Jerry D. Eastin, his major professor, for the advice, guidance and supervision he gave during this doctoral program. All the personal interest, constant encouragement and helpful suggestions he gave are very much appreciated. Salient contributions periodically received from Drs. Charles Y. Sullivan and Max D. Clegg, both of whom made available their valuable time, research facilities and expertise whenever needed, deserve special mention. The assistance from these people and from Drs. William M. Ross and Blain L. Blad, all of whom served on my graduate supervisory committee, is hereby gratefully acknowledged. Each one had sacrificed his precious time toward the success of this program.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Dr. Robert F. Mumm and Mr. Roger Deaton of the Biometrics and Information Systems Center for their comments and suggestions on the statistical aspect of these investigations.

Thanks are also expressed to my colleagues, friends and members of the Sorghum Physiology Group, who in several ways and forms, contributed toward these accomplishments.

Special mention is hereby made of the Federal Government of the Republic of Nigeria for the award of a postgraduate scholarship and of the Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria, for granting me a study fellowship. Through the auspices of both agencies, the opportunity to do a doctoral program became a reality, which otherwise would have not materialized without their financial support. The contributions made

by the University of Nebraska, the U.S. AID Project and the Rockefeller Foundation by way of research facilities and funding are gratefully appreciated.

Lastly, but by no means the least, the author would like to express his profound gratitude and appreciation to his loving wife, Grace, and his children, Olufunke, Ayodele and Olugbemiga, for their unflinching moral support, endurance, perseverance and understanding over the years, during my quest for academic achievements and professional competence. The support, moral or otherwise, abundantly given by members of the Ogunlela family and my friends, whose names are too many to list, is hereby gratefully acknowledged. To these, and many others, I am very much indebted for the realization of a dream come true!

And to Ms. Grace Sobotka, thanks for excellent manuscript typing.

V.B.O.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
LITERATURE REVIEW. . . . .	3
Effects of High Temperature on Crop Yield and Yield Components . . . . .	3
Temperature Effects on Plant Metabolism. . . . .	10
Effect of Temperature on Crop Growth and Development . . . . .	17
Heat Injury, Tolerance and Adaptation in Higher Plants . . . . .	26
General Concepts and Terminology. . . . .	26
Mechanisms of Heat Injury in Plants . . . . .	29
Acquired Heat Tolerance and Adaptation in Plants. . . . .	31
Mechanisms of Heat Hardening and Adaptation in Plants . . . . .	34
MATERIALS AND METHODS. . . . .	37
Experiment I . . . . .	37
Experiment II. . . . .	42
Experiment III . . . . .	45
Experiment IV. . . . .	48
Experiment V . . . . .	51
Cell Membrane Thermostability . . . . .	53
Carbon Dioxide Exchange . . . . .	56
Grain Dry Matter Accumulation . . . . .	59
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . . . . .	62
Experiment I . . . . .	62
Yield and Yield Components. . . . .	62
Plant Growth and Development. . . . .	67
Correlations. . . . .	71
Experiment II. . . . .	71
Temperature Effects . . . . .	73

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	PAGE
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (continued)	
Growth Stage Effects. . . . .	77
Correlations. . . . .	81
Experiment III . . . . .	81
Temperature Effects . . . . .	83
Growth Stage Effects. . . . .	87
Correlations. . . . .	90
Experiment IV. . . . .	90
Temperature Effects . . . . .	92
Preconditioning Effects . . . . .	97
Growth Stage Effects. . . . .	100
Correlations. . . . .	108
Experiment V . . . . .	111
Physiological Studies . . . . .	111
Leaf Heat Tolerant (Cell Membrane Thermostability) . . .	111
Leaf Carbon Dioxide Exchange . . . . .	114
Grain Dry Matter Accumulation. . . . .	122
Agronomic Studies . . . . .	131
Temperature Effects . . . . .	131
Preconditioning Effects . . . . .	135
Growth Stage Effects. . . . .	140
Correlations. . . . .	145
SUMMARY. . . . .	146
LITERATURE CITED . . . . .	155
APPENDIX . . . . .	168



# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Panicle dry weight, grain yield/plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, and grams of grain/GS-3 day (averaged over growth stages) of RS 671 grain sorghum as influenced by two night temperatures: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977 . . . . .	64
2	Panicle dry weight, grain yield/plant, number of seeds/panicle, 1000-seed weight, and grams of grain per GS-3 day of RS 671 grain sorghum exposed to two night temperatures at various growth stages during panicle development (averaged over temperature treatments): Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977. . . . .	66
3	Numbers of days to heading, bloom and physiological maturity, duration of grain fill (GS-3), panicle exertion and plant height (averaged over growth stages) of RS 671 grain sorghum as influenced by two night temperatures: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977 . . . . .	68
4	Dry weights of leaf laminae, sheaths, stems, roots, above-ground total (shoot), and shoot/root ratios (averaged over growth stages) of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977 . . . . .	70
5	Dry weights of leaf laminae, sheaths, stems, roots, above-ground total (shoot), and shoot/root ratios (averaged over temperature treatments) of RS 671 grain sorghum exposed to two night temperatures at various growth stages during panicle development: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977. . . . .	72
6	Panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds/panicle, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index (averaged over growth stages) of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978. . . . .	74
7	Days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3), and grams of grain per GS-3 day (averaged over growth stages) of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978. . . . .	76
8	Threshing percent, total leaf area, specific leaf area (SLA), leaf weight ratio (LWR), panicle exertion, and plant height (averaged over growth stages) of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978. . . . .	78

# LIST OF TABLES (continued)

TABLE		PAGE
9	Panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index of RS 671 grain sorghum exposed to two night temperatures at various growth stages during panicle development (averaged over temperature treatments): Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978 . .	79
10	Numbers of days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3), and grams of grain per GS-3 day of RS 671 grain sorghum exposed to two night temperatures at various growth stages during panicle development (averaged over temperature treatments): Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978 . .	82
11	Panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight and harvest index of RS 671 field grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development (means averaged over growth stages): Experiment III, 1979 .	84
12	Numbers of days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, and durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3) of RS 671 field grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development (means averaged over growth stages): Experiment III, 1977 . . . . .	86
13	Dry weights of stover, panicle length and plant height of RS 671 field grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Experiment III, 1977. . . . .	88
14	Panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index of RS 671 field grain sorghum when exposed to two night temperatures at various growth stages during panicle development (means averaged over temperature treatments): Experiment III, 1977. . . . .	89
15	Numbers of days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, and durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3) of RS 671 field grain sorghum when exposed two night temperatures at various growth stages during panicle development (means averaged over temperature treatments): Experiment III, 1977 . . . . .	91

# LIST OF TABLES (continued)

TABLE		PAGE
16	Panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, grams of grain per GS-3 day and panicle length of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two elevated night temperatures (5 and 5 C above ambient): Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	94
17	Numbers of days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3), and plant height of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient): Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	96
18	Effect of preconditioning (hardening) on grain yield and its components of RS 671 grain sorghum exposed to two elevated night temperatures: Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	98
19	Effect of preconditioning (hardening) on the response of panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, grams of grain per GS-3 day and panicle length to two elevated night temperatures (means averaged over growth stages): Field Experiment IV, 1978. . . . .	99
20	Effect of preconditioning (hardening) on the response of some attributes of plant growth and development of RS 671 grain sorghum to two elevated night temperatures: Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	101
21	Effect of plant growth stage on the response of grain yield and its components of RS 671 grain sorghum to two elevated night temperatures (means averaged over temperatures and pretreatments): Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	102
22	Effect of plant growth stage on the response of numbers of days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3) of RS 671 grain sorghum to two elevated night temperatures: Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	110
23	Heat tolerance levels of preconditioned and non-preconditioned RS 671 field grain sorghum leaves determined by electrical conductivity tests at various growth stages: Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	112

# LIST OF TABLES (continued)

TABLE		PAGE
24	Photosynthetic rates of RS 671 field grain sorghum leaves exposed to two elevated night temperatures measured on various dates: Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	115
25	Effect of preconditioning on the response of leaf photosynthetic rates of RS 671 field grain sorghum to elevated night temperatures imposed during panicle development (means averaged over temperature treatments): Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	118
26	Photosynthetic rates of RS 671 field grain sorghum leaves exposed to two elevated night temperatures averaged over all measurement dates: Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	119
27	Kernel dry weights of RS 671 field grain sorghum exposed to two elevated night temperatures during five different growth stages as determined after physiological maturity: Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	126
28	Kernel growth rates of RS 671 field grain sorghum exposed to two elevated night temperatures during five different growth stages (averaged over sampling dates and pretreatments): Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	129
29	Relative kernel growth rates of RS 671 field grain sorghum exposed to two elevated night temperatures during five different growth stages (averaged over sampling dates and pretreatments): Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	132
30	Yield and yield components of RS 671 field grain sorghum as affected by two elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient): Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	134
31	Effect of two elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient) on some growth and developmental attributes of RS 671 field grain sorghum: Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	136
32	Effect of preconditioning (hardening) on grain yield and its components of RS 671 grain sorghum exposed to two elevated night temperatures: Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	137
33	Effect of preconditioning on the response of yield and yield components of RS 671 grain sorghum to two elevated night temperatures (means averaged over growth stages): Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	139

# LIST OF TABLES (continued)

TABLE		PAGE
34	Effect of preconditioning on growth and developmental attributes of RS 671 grain sorghum exposed to two elevated night temperatures: Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	141
35	Effect of plant growth stage on the response of yield and yield components of RS 671 grain sorghum to two elevated night temperatures (means averaged over temperatures and pretreatments): Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	142
36	Effect of plant growth stage on the response of growth and developmental attributes of RS 671 grain sorghum to two elevated night temperatures (means averaged over temperatures and pretreatments): Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	144

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	The effect of 5 and 10 C ambient night temperature elevations at various growth stages on grain yield of RS 671 grain sorghum: Field Experiment IV, 1978. . . . .	104
2	The effect of 5 and 10 C ambient night temperature elevations at various growth stages on number of seeds per panicle of RS 671 grain sorghum: Field Experiment I, 1978. . . . .	105
3	The effect of 5 and 10 C ambient night temperature elevations at various growth stages on seed weight of RS 671 grain sorghum: Field Experiment IV, 1978. . . . .	106
4	The effect of 5 and 10 C ambient night temperature elevations at various growth stages on metabolic efficiency (g grain/GS-3 day) of RS 671 grain sorghum: Field Experiment IV, 1978. . . . .	107
5	The effect of preconditioning on the response of RS 671 grain sorghum yield to elevated night temperature imposed at various growth stages: Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . .	109
6	The aftereffect of two elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient) on the leaf photosynthetic rate of RS 671 grain sorghum at various dates during panicle development: Field Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	117
7	The effect of preconditioning on the response of RS 671 grain sorghum's leaf photosynthetic rate to elevated night temperatures measured at various dates during panicle development: Field Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	120
8	The effect of preconditioning on the aftereffect of two elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient) on leaf photosynthetic rate of RS 671 grain sorghum (averaged over dates): Field Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	121
9	The effect of elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient) on kernel dry weight of RS 671 grain sorghum at weekly intervals after tip-bloom: Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	124
10	The effect of two elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient) on kernel growth rate of RS 671 grain sorghum determined at various weekly intervals after tip-bloom: Field Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	127

# LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE		PAGE
11	The effect of two elevated night temperatures (5 and 10 C above ambient) on relative kernel growth rate of RS 671 grain sorghum determined at various weekly intervals after tip-bloom: Field Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	130

PREVIEW

# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
A1	Composition of the modified Hoagland-Arnon nutrient solution. . . . .	169
A2	Greenhouse ambient temperatures during Experiment I (1977): summarized by growth periods (stages) . . . . .	170
A3	Greenhouse ambient temperatures during Experiment II (1978): summarized by growth periods (stages) . . . . .	171
A4	Field ambient temperatures during Experiment III (1977): summarized by growth periods (stages) . . . . .	172
A5	Climatic data for the University of Nebraska farm at Lincoln . . . . .	173
A6	Maximum and minimum daily ambient air temperatures (C) at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln for May through September, 1977 . . . . .	174
A7	Field ambient temperatures during Experiment IV (1978): summarized by growth periods (stages) . . . . .	175
A8	Climatic data for the University of Nebraska farm at Lincoln . . . . .	176
A9	Maximum and minimum daily ambient temperatures (C) at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln for May through September, 1978. . . . .	177
A10	Percent relative humidities recorded at the experimental site during various treatment periods (growth stages) in 1979: Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	178
A11	Field ambient temperatures during Experiment V in 1979: summarized by growth periods (stages) . . . . .	179
A12	Mean squares for panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, number of primary panicle branches, and threshing percent at RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977. . . . .	180



# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES (continued)

TABLE		PAGE
A13	Mean squares for panicle length, panicle exertion, grams grain per GS-3 day, days to physiological maturity, duration of grain fill (GS-3) and plant height of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977. . . . .	181
A14	Mean squares for dry weights of laminae, sheaths, stems, panicle and aboveground plant total of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed during certain growth stages: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977 . . . . .	182
A15	Mean squares for dry weight of roots and shoot:root ratio of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed during certain growth stages: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977. . . . .	183
A16	Partial correlation coefficients determined among grams of grain per GS-3 day, panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds/panicle, 1000-seed weight, and threshing percent of RS 671 grain sorghum hybrid: Greenhouse Experiment I, 1977. . . . .	184
A17	Mean squares for panicle dry weight, grain yield/plant, number of seeds/panicle, 1000-seed weight, number of primary panicle branches, and harvest index of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978 . . . . .	185
A18	Mean squares for panicle length, panicle exertion, grams of grain per GS-3 day, threshing percent, and plant height of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978 . . . . .	186
A19	Mean squares for durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3), and numbers of days to heading, bloom and physiological maturity as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978 . . . . .	187
A20	Mean squares for total leaf area, specific leaf area, leaf weight ratio, leaf:stem ratio and leaf area ratio of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978 . . . . .	188

# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES (continued)

TABLE		PAGE
A21	Partial correlation coefficients determined among grams of grain per GS-3 day, panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds/panicle, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index of RS 671 grain sorghum hybrid: Greenhouse Experiment II, 1978 . . . . .	189
A22	Mean squares for panicle dry weight, grain yield/plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, harvest index and panicle length of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures imposed during various growth stages: Field Experiment III, 1978 . . . . .	190
A23	Mean squares for number of days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, duration of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3), and plant height of RS 671 grain sorghum as affected by two night temperatures: Field Experiment III, 1978. . . . .	191
A24	Partial correlation coefficients determined among durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3), panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle and 1000-seed weight of RS 671 grain sorghum hybrid: Field Experiment III, 1978. . . . .	192
A25	Mean squares for panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, grams of grain/GS-3 day, and panicle length of RS 671 grain sorghum as influenced by two elevated night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	193
A26	Mean squares for days to heading, bloom, and physiological maturity, durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3), and plant height of RS 671 grain sorghum as influenced by two elevated night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	194
A27	Partial correlation coefficients determined among panicle dry weight, grain yield/plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight, and grams of grain per GS-3 day of RS 671 grain sorghum hybrid: Field Experiment IV, 1978 . . . . .	195
A28	Mean squares from ANOVA performed for percent heat injury of RS 671 leaves combined for various test dates: Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	196

# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES (continued)

TABLE		PAGE
A29	Mean squares of the ANOVA's performed for RS 671 leaf photosynthetic rates at five different dates: Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	197
A30	Mean squares of the ANOVA's performed for leaf photosynthetic rates of RS 671 combined by dates: Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	198
A31	Mean squares from ANOVA's performed for kernel dry weights determined at various weekly intervals during grain fill (GS-3): Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	199
A32	Mean squares from ANOVA's performed for relative kernel growth rates determined at periodic intervals during GS-3 period: Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	200
A33	Mean squares for panicle dry weight, grain yield per plant, number of seeds per panicle, 1000-seed weight and grams of grain/GS-3 day of RS 671 grain sorghum as influenced by two elevated night temperatures imposed at various growth stages during panicle development: Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	201
A34	Mean squares for numbers of days to bloom and physiological maturity, durations of panicle expansion (GS-2) and grain fill (GS-3) and plant height of RS 671 grain sorghum as influenced by two elevated night temperatures imposed at various stages during panicle development: Field Experiment V, 1979 . . . . .	202
A35	Partial correlation coefficients determined between grain yield and its components for RS 671 grain sorghum: Field Experiment V, 1979. . . . .	203

## INTRODUCTION

Temperature ranks as one of the most critical among the various climatic factors that are known to affect grain production. Grain yields of cereals are quite often limited by higher-than-optimum growth temperatures which occur quite commonly in the arid and semi-arid regions of the world. Some parts of Nigeria fall under such higher-than-optimum temperature category. Such higher temperatures also occur in the Southwestern and Great Plains regions of the United States, which produce most of its grain sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. This makes the use of adapted crop genotypes in such areas highly desirable, if not essential, as appropriate adaptation can mean the difference between reasonable yields and in some cases crop failures in unfavorable years. Consequently, adaptation and/or resistance to high temperature becomes an important trait to consider in developing new crop varieties for use in such areas.

Grain sorghum is one of the major grain crops of the United States, ranking second to corn as the most important feed grain. In total annual production, Nebraska ranks third after Kansas and Texas, respectively. Annual production in the U.S.A. is about 20 million metric tons. A preliminary estimate for 1977 gave Nebraska's grain sorghum production as about 4 million metric tons from a harvested area of about 852,000 hectares.<sup>1</sup> On a world-wide basis, grain sorghum serves as a staple for many developing nations.

---

<sup>1</sup>USDA Agricultural Statistics, 1978. pp. 52-53, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

The limiting effect of high temperature on crop yields can manifest itself through short-term, high-temperature periods during critical plant growth stages. Most previous work done in this area concerned high day temperatures and was done under controlled environments. Such artificial conditions do not represent the natural, dynamic field conditions. Therefore, the potential value of such studies for extrapolation to the field is questionable. Also, most such studies failed to consider the relations between high temperature and phenological stage of the plant.

The justification for the development of research in this area is evident from the fact that short- or long-term elevated night temperatures do occur during some growth stages of the crop which result in various degrees of yield reduction.

The investigations reported here consisted of two greenhouse and three field experiments conducted in 1977, 1978 and 1979 to (1) study some physiological and agronomic responses of a grain sorghum hybrid to elevated night temperatures and (2) to determine at which growth stages the plant is most sensitive to above normal or high temperatures.

Also, an attempt was made to determine the significance of heat-preconditioning ("hardening") on the response of grain sorghum to normal and elevated night temperatures under field conditions.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Effects of High Temperature on Crop

#### Yield and Yield Components

The amount of information on effects of temperature extremes on crop yields in general is enormous, but relatively little is available on high night temperature effects in particular. Yield reductions occur from high temperatures in temperate crops and in tropical and semi-tropical crops in arid and semi-arid zones.

Various degrees of yield reduction in various crops resulting from high temperatures have been reported (Peters et al., 1971). In comparison with yields at moderate temperatures, United States grain sorghum cultivars grown under controlled high temperatures showed depressed yields (Downes, 1972). Results of a series of experiments indicated that when plants were grown under one of five day/night temperature regimes (from 21/16 C to 33/28 C), high temperature (33/28 C) between germination and panicle initiation resulted in low grain yield. Grain yield was greatest from plants grown at low night temperatures and progressively declined with higher night temperatures. Day temperature did not affect grain yield except when accompanied by high night temperatures (Downes, 1972). He suggested that low yields in tropical environments may reflect adverse effects of high temperatures.

Eastin (1976) imposed four day/night temperature regimes (29/17 C, 29/22 C, 29/27 C and 34/22 C) on five grain sorghum genotypes from panicle initiation through maturity in growth chambers. He reported an average of 25 to 33 percent yield reduction from a 5 C above-optimum

night temperature treatment, while 10 C temperature elevation reduced grain yields by about 50 percent. These yield reductions were generally paralleled by reductions in seed number.

Asana and Williams (1965) conducted some experiments in controlled environments to determine the effects of high temperature on grain development and yield of five wheat cultivars exposed to three day (25, 28, 31 C) and two night (9 and 12 C) temperatures during post-anthesis. They observed a 16 percent mean yield reduction for the 6 C rise in day temperature, but no significant differences among cultivars. Grain weight was not significantly affected by night temperatures in these studies. However, in a subsidiary experiment using three day/night temperature regimes (24/19, 27/22 and 30/25 C) highly significant but complex interactions between temperature regime and cultivar were established.

Comparing sorghum yields with daily maximum temperatures over a period in Queensland, Australia, Skerman (1956) found that when daily maximum temperatures exceeded 100 F for three or more consecutive days, there was an adverse effect on yields. The so-called "heat waves" were most deleterious during anthesis and during the first month of grain fill. His observations were confirmed by the fact that short-term periods of heat stress during critical growth stages in grain sorghum can have detrimental effects on grain yields (Sullivan et al., 1977a). Over a decade earlier, Pasternak and Wilson (1969) reported similar findings on the effects of heat waves on grain sorghum yields. They claimed that moist or dry heat stress could accelerate anthesis resulting in a reduced seed set and ultimately reduced yields. It was also

reported that heat stress at or soon after boot stage lowered yield and when this was accompanied by low humidity, 10 to 20 percent yield reductions can occur in the field.

In many instances, high night temperatures have been more detrimental to crop growth and yields than high day temperatures (Karr et al., 1959; Langridge and McWilliam, 1969; Owen, 1971; Palis, 1971; Peters et al, 1971; Downes, 1972; Campbell and McCloud, 1977). The data presented by Karr et al. (1959) on the effect of short periods of high day and night temperatures on pea (Pisum sativum L.) yields revealed that high night temperatures proved more critical than day temperatures, resulting in a 25 percent yield reduction as against about 8 percent for high day temperatures. Conflicting opinions have, however, been expressed by some other investigators. They contended that day temperatures, rather than night temperatures, were more influential on crop yields (Nel and Small, 1973).

Based on their findings from a field study designed to assess the effect of nighttime air temperatures on yields of corn, wheat and soybeans, Peters et al. (1971) surmised that the largest seasonal variant experienced by a plant community would be night leaf temperature. They conducted a series of experiments in which two night temperature treatments, hot and cool air, were artificially imposed on unreplicated plots. Results showed approximately 45, 40 and 10 percent yield reductions for wheat, corn and soybean, respectively, from about 10 C above-control night temperatures.