

INFORMATION TO USERS

This reproduction was made from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this document, the quality of the reproduction is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help clarify markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark, it is an indication of either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, duplicate copy, or copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed. For blurred pages, a good image of the page can be found in the adjacent frame. If copyrighted materials were deleted, a target note will appear listing the pages in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed, a definite method of "sectioning" the material has been followed. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For illustrations that cannot be satisfactorily reproduced by xerographic means, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and inserted into your xerographic copy. These prints are available upon request from the Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases the best available copy has been filmed.

**University
Microfilms
International**

300 N. Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

8227250

Frey, Joseph P.

**A STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF THE FLOW OF DEPOSITS ON THE
BALANCE SHEETS OF THE MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS AND THE
SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS OF NEW YORK STATE: A
SECTIONAL COMPARISON 1966-1980**

Pace University

D.P.S. 1982

**University
Microfilms
International**

300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

Copyright 1982

by

Frey, Joseph P.

All Rights Reserved

PREVIEW

PREVIEW

SPONSORING COMMITTEE:

Professor Clarke Johnson

Professor Franklin Sharp

Professor John Dory

Clarke Johnson
Franklin Sharp
John P. Dory

A STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF THE FLOW OF DEPOSITS ON THE BALANCE SHEETS
OF THE MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS AND THE SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS
OF NEW YORK STATE: A SECTIONAL COMPARISON
1966-1980

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY
OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS, PACE UNIVERSITY
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT
FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PROFESSIONAL STUDIES

JOSEPH P. FREY

1982

ABSTRACT OF A DPS DISSERTATION
JOSEPH P. FREY

The purpose of this study is to determine and compare the impact of the flow of deposits on the balance sheets of the mutual savings banks (MSBs) and the savings and loan associations (SLAs) of New York State (NYSTATE). They were compared to each other for the years 1966 to 1980 with a 1981 update. The State was divided into two sections, NYCITY, basically the New York City SMSA plus Nassau and Suffolk Counties and NYOTHER, the balance of the State. These were also compared to each other.

A substantial review of the literature investigated 5 major commissions and studies. Major industry problems were also examined. Finally the Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980 was examined and summarized.

It was hypothesized that the two subareas of each institution would have different reactions to the same influences, and also that the two thrifts would have different reactions. The statistical tool was a correlation coefficient analysis with 60 data points.

Chapter 3 analyzed the liability accounts. Chapter 4 analyzed asset account management, including a discussion of liquidity. Chapter 5 analyzed net worth as a proxy for profit. The balance sheet accounts were compared for relationships to the flow of deposits, the market place yields, and to each other.

The major findings for these chapters can be summarized as follows:

- 1) the MSBs and SIAs are not different in their reactions except in their asset management.
- 2) that the inflows have not "suffered" from high interest rates.
- 3) that mortgage loans were not terribly affected until 1980, only the rate of increase was affected.
- 4) there has been an increasing sensitivity of deposit flows to interest rates.
- 5) the liquidity ratios have improved, but the improvement is deceptive.
- 6) that regular passbook savings held up in NYOTHER until 1979.

The evidence presented supports the hypothesis that NYCITY will have different reactions than will NYOTHER to the same type of influences with each type of thrift institution.

The evidence presented supports the hypothesis that the two types of institution of NYSTATE will have different reactions to the same type of influences.

There is a major public policy issue pinpointed by this study. The thrifts have been historically viewed as separate and distinct institutions which react differently and which are viewed as different by the public. The evidence does not confirm this. The liability accounts did not support the hypotheses. Should the regulators, the legislatures, and the various banking authorities continue to view them as separate. This study suggests that they do not do so and regulate for them as if they are identical and equal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

LIST OF TABLES.....	v
LIST OF CHARTS.....	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	viii

Chapter

1	The Problem.....	1
	Statement of the Problem.....	1
	Specific Problems.....	1
	Definition of Terms.....	1
	Basic Assumptions.....	4
	Basic Hypothesis.....	4
	The Need for the Study.....	5
2	Survey of the Literature.....	19
	The Report of the Commission on Money and Credit.....	20
	The President's Commission on Financial Structure and Regulation--1971.....	23
	Federal Reserve Staff Study: Ways to Moderate Fluctuations in Housing Construction--1972.....	29
	Public Policy Toward Mutual Savings Banks of New York State--Proposals for Change--1974.....	33
	Advisory Committee on Financial Reform--1974.....	34
	Interest Rates and Deposit Flows.....	35
	The Controversy Over Regulation Q.....	45
	Discrimination: The Small Depositor.....	53
	The Competition for Investment Funds.....	56
	The Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980.....	60
	Additional Comments on Regulation Q.....	67
	Comments on the Hypotheses.....	68
3	Liability Account Analysis.....	70
	Methodology Used.....	70
	Flow of Deposits Analysis.....	72
	Inflow Analysis.....	74
	Outflows.....	74
	Net Flows.....	77
	Flow of Deposits Summary.....	77
	Liability Account Analysis.....	79
	Mutual Savings Banks.....	83
	Total Saving Accounts.....	83

	Regular Savings.....	85
	Time Deposits.....	87
	Demand Checking and NOW Accounts.....	90
	Borrowed Funds.....	91
	Summary--Mutual Savings Banks Liability Accounts....	92
	Savings and Loan Associations.....	93
	Total Savings Accounts.....	94
	Regular Savings.....	96
	Time Deposits.....	98
	Certificates of Deposit.....	100
	FHLB Advances.....	102
	Other Borrowed Funds.....	105
	Summary--Savings and Loan Associations Liability Accounts.....	108
	Comparison of the Thrifts of NYSTATE Liability and Flow of Deposit Accounts.....	109
4	Asset Accounts Management.....	115
	Methodology Used.....	115
	Overview.....	116
	Hypotheses.....	117
	The Changing Assets.....	118
	Mutual Savings Banks.....	119
	Cash Analysis.....	119
	U.S. Government Securities.....	124
	State and Local Obligations.....	126
	Corporate Bonds.....	128
	Corporate Stock.....	130
	Mortgage Loans.....	132
	Mortgage-Backed Passthroughs.....	134
	Summary--Mutual Savings Banks.....	136
	Savings and Loan Associations.....	137
	Cash Analysis.....	137
	Investments.....	143
	Mortgage Loans.....	146
	Mortgage-Backed Securities.....	149
	Summary--Savings and Loan Associations.....	150
	Other Miscellaneous Assets.....	152
	Asset Liquidity.....	153
	Comparison of the Thrifts of NYSTATE Asset Accounts....	158
5	Net Worth Analysis.....	161
	Methodology.....	162
	Overview--Net Worth Change.....	162
	Mutual Savings Banks.....	162
	Savings and Loan Associations.....	165
	Market Place Yield Analysis.....	166
	Flow of Deposits Analysis.....	167
	Inflows and Outflows.....	167
	Net Flows.....	168
	Liability Account Analysis.....	169
	Mutual Savings Banks.....	170
	Savings Deposit Accounts.....	170
	Demand/Checking and NOW Accounts.....	171

Borrowed Funds.....	171
Summary--Mutual Savings Banks Liability Account	
Analysis.....	172
Savings and Loan Associations.....	173
Savings Deposit Accounts.....	173
Certificates of Deposit.....	174
Borrowed Funds.....	174
Summary--Savings and Loan Associations Liability	
Account Analysis.....	175
Asset Account Analysis.....	176
Mutual Savings Bank.....	176
Cash.....	176
U.S. Government Securities, State/Local Securities,	
Corporate Bonds, Corporate Stock.....	177
Mortgage Loans.....	178
Mortgage-Backed Passthroughs.....	179
Summary--Mutual Savings Banks Asset Analysis.....	180
Savings and Loan Associations.....	181
Cash.....	181
Investments.....	181
Mortgage Loans.....	182
Mortgage-Backed Securities.....	182
Summary--Savings and Loan Associations Asset	
Analysis.....	183
Net Worth Ratio Analysis.....	183
Comparison of the Thrifts for Net Worth Accounts.....	185
6 Summary and Conclusions.....	188
Hypotheses Conclusions.....	188
Major Findings.....	193
Deposit Flows and Liability Accounts.....	193
Assets Accounts.....	194
Net Worth and Liquidity.....	196
Comments and Observations.....	197
Regulation Q and the Small Saver.....	198
The Past, the Present and the Future.....	199
Suggestions for Further Study.....	201
APPENDIX A Definitions.....	203
APPENDIX B Procedure for the Collection of the Data.....	214
APPENDIX C Test of Statistical Significance.....	218
APPENDIX D 1981 Experience.....	220
BIBLIOGRAPHY	233

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3-1 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Liabilities with Selected Market Yields and the Flow of Deposits 1966-1980 Mutual Savings Banks of New York State.....	70
3-2 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Liabilities with Selected Market Yields and the Flow of Deposits 1966-1980 Savings and Loan Associations of New York State.....	71
3-3 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Liabilities 1966-1980 Mutual Savings Banks of New York State.....	76
3-4 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Liabilities 1966-1980 Savings and Loan Associations of New York State.....	87
3-5 Liability Accounts as a Percentage of Total Liabilities 1966-1980.....	96
4-1 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Assets and Selected Liabilities 1966-1980 Mutual Savings Banks of New York State.....	112
4-2 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Assets 1966-1980 Mutual Savings Banks of New York State.....	113
4-3 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Market Yields and the Flow of Deposits 1966-1980 Mutual Savings Bank of New York State.....	114
4-4 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Assets with Selected Liabilities 1966-1980 Savings and Loan Associations of New York State.....	130
4-5 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Assets 1966-1980 Savings and Loan Associations of New York State.....	131
4-6 Correlation Coefficients of Selected Assets with Selected Market Yields and the Flow of Deposits 1966-1980 Savings and Loan Associations of New York State.....	132

Table		Page
4-7	Liquidity Ratios Mutual Savings Banks of New York State.....	146
4-8	Liquidity Ratios Savings and Loan Associations of New York State.....	147
5-1	Correlation Coefficients of Net Worth and Selected Liabilities and the Flow of Deposits 1966-1980 Mutual Savings Banks and Savings and Loan Associations of New York State.....	163
5-2	Correlation Coefficients of Net Worth and Selected Assets 1966-1980 Mutual Savings Banks and Savings and Loan Association of New York State.....	164

TABLE OF GRAPHS

Graph		Page
3-1	Mutual Savings Banks of N.Y. State, Flow of Funds Comparison with U.S. 3 Mos. T-Bill Rate.....	78
3-2	Savings and Loan Associations of N.Y. State, Flow of Funds Comparison with U.S. 3 Mos. T-Bill Rate.....	78
3-3	Savings and Loan Associations Comparison Liability Accounts and Net Flow of Funds--New York State.....	80
3-4	Mutual Savings Banks Comparison Liability Accounts and Net Flow Funds--New York State.....	82

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was structured in 1975 after two serious bouts of disintermediation and several quarterly ripples of negative deposit flows. It was originally constructed with Doctor Philip Lohman. I am most thankful for his help and encouragement which gave structure to this project. After Doctor Lohman retired, Doctor Clarke Johnson agreed to "pilot" the project through to completion. For his help and advice, and that of Doctor Franklin Sharp of Pace University, the writer is most grateful.

There are so many people who made all of this possible; the Research Department of the Banking Department of New York State, Ms. Carmen Carlo, Research Director, in particular and Mr. Georges Bandis of the Thrift Division. At the Federal Home Loan Bank, Mr. Harry Fletcher, Vice President, Frank Sainz, Research Director, and Mr. Robert Pottinger were always ready to assist. They cooperated wonderfully. At the Mutual Savings Bank Association of New York State, Joyce Orsini, Vice President for Research, and her staff, Ms. Sirkka Bernat, Ms. Mary Sperano, Ms. Maria Ciuzio, Mr. William Green and Mr. Anthony Bulla could not have been more understanding and cooperative. The writer spent many hours there accumulating the data base. I am grateful to them all for their help and encouragement.

This project would not have been possible without the help of the Adelphi University Computer Center. Dr. John Southard was a

source of constant help, an invaluable source of assistance. Miss Jane Conway and Mr. Paul Smith, two doctoral candidates were the computer operators who entered the data into the system and who followed the program plan. The other people who were valuable assistants in this project were the typists. Miss Barbara Herndon who typed the seemingly endless drafts and Mrs. Carole Healy who typed the finished product under extreme time pressures without losing her composure. To them I am most grateful.

There are three people who must be mentioned. My daughter, Jo-Ann, who provided encouragement during some of the almost inevitable periods of inactivity. My son, William was invaluable for his advice, instruction and input. Without him this paper would never have been completed. Last, but not least, I am grateful to my wife, Anita, who has put up with the problems, aggravations and frustrations for the years this project has taken. Families are wonderful.

Thank you all. I hope the results were worth your efforts.

CHAPTER 1

THE PROBLEM

Statement of the Problem

The problem is to determine and compare the impact of financial intermediation on the balance sheets of mutual savings banks (MSBs) and the savings and loan associations (SLAs) of New York State, New York City and outside of New York City.

Specific Problems

The first problem is to determine and compare the impact of money market yields on the deposit flows of the MSBs and the SLAs of New York State.

The second problem is to determine and compare the impact of money market yields and the deposit flows upon the liability structure of the mutual savings banks and the savings and loan associations of New York State.

The third problem is to determine and compare the impact of the liability structure on the asset structure of the mutual savings banks and savings and loan associations of New York State.

The fourth problem is to determine and compare the impact of the liability and asset structures on the net worth of the mutual savings banks and the savings and loan associations of New York State.

DEFINITION OF TERMS

The following definitions will be used for the purposes of this study.

Financial Intermediation

Financial intermediation is defined as the flow of funds in an economy from savers with a surplus of funds to borrowers with a need for funds through financial intermediaries. For this study, the financial intermediaries to be studied are the mutual savings banks and the savings and loan associations.

Financial disintermediation, hereafter referred to as disintermediation, is defined as a withdrawal of funds previously located in a deposit account, and the direct placement of these funds into other money market instruments or deposit account in a financial intermediary other than the mutual savings bank or the savings and loan association from which the withdrawal occurred. It does not include deposits withdrawn and deposited into another deposit account in the same institution. It is also a reversal of net savings flows.

Many writers use different definitions for disintermediation. Jane F. Nelson considers it to be the bypassing of thrift institutions and the direct placement of the funds into the securities market.¹ John J. Arena, using a much stricter definition, defined disintermediation to include funds withdrawn from one type of institution and redeposited into another.² Donald J. Mullineaux, assuming that the reader knows the term describes the cause of disintermediation as induced when "market securities offer a sufficiently higher interest rate compared with deposits to offset the costs associated with trading in securities

¹Jane F. Nelson, "Disintermediation," Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, Monthly Review, April, 1968, p. 8.

²John J. Arena, "The Outlook for Financial Disintermediation," Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, New England Business Review, Dec., 1967, p. 2.

markets."³ Ritter and Silber state that savers, instead of shifting funds to, shift them out of financial intermediaries and put them into corporate, municipal, and government securities.⁴ The McGraw-Hill dictionary of modern economics defines disintermediation as "the removal of funds from interest-bearing time accounts in savings institutions and commercial banks for the purpose of reinvesting the funds at higher rates in market instruments."⁵

All of these definitions are adequate and correct, but are not suitable for the purposes of this investigation. Our definition is strict and narrow. This study is an investigation of the flow of deposits and the impact of those flows. The interest that is credited to the account of the surplus savers are excluded from the definition. Interest is not a flow of funds into a financial intermediary, rather it is earned by the intermediary and is beyond the control of the surplus savers until it is transferred to the savers' deposit account.

Mutual Savings Bank

A mutual savings bank is defined as "a financial institution without stockholders, whose depositors contribute the capital and share in the profits, operated in the depositor interest by elected and self-perpetuating trustees, geared to the concept of thrift and serving

³Donald J. Mullineaux, "Interest-rate ceilings and the Treasury Bond Market," "Disintermediation and the Small Saver," Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, New England Business Review, July/August, 1973, p. 19.

⁴L.S. Ritter and W.L. Silber, Principles of Money, Banking and Financial Markets, Basic Books, Inc. (New York, 1974), p. 332.

⁵The McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Modern Economics: A Handbook of Terms and Organizations, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1973), p. 171.

individual savers who have quick recall for their deposits, and chartered and regulated by the state for the purposes of providing for the safety of funds."⁶ The proxy term MSB may be used in this study.

Savings and Loan Association

A savings and loan association⁷ is defined as a financial intermediary that holds a charter, federal or state, which designates it as a savings and loan association. The proxy term SLA may be used in this study.

The definitions referring to the balance sheet categories are included in this study as Appendix A.

Basic Assumptions

It is assumed that the impact of financial intermediation and disintermediation on the thrift institutions is identifiable and capable of measurement and analysis.

Basic Hypothesis

It is hypothesized that the two types of thrift institutions will have very different reactions to environmental influences. The two institutional types have very different capital structures and the regulation of each type is through different governmental agencies. The mutual savings banks of New York State are regulated and overseen

⁶Walter R. Miller, Jr., "The Marketing Concept and Mutual Savings Banks: An Appraisal of the Marketing and Competitive Situations of Mutual Savings Banks in New York" (Unpublished Ph.D dissertation, New York University, 1966), p. 62.

⁷McGraw-Hill, op. cit., p. 521.

primarily by the New York State Banking Department and the deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Federal Savings and Loan Associations are regulated by the Federal Home Loan Bank, the State Federal Savings and Loan Associations by the NYSTATE Banking Department and both types are insured by the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corp. (FSLIC). In addition, the mutual savings banks have had a historic orientation to provide for the safety and growth of savings for their depositors. The savings and loan associations historically have had a philosophical orientation to provide mortgage money, safety of the savings of depositors and, if it is a publically owned association, profits for the common stockholders. Therefore it is reasonable for two different types of institutions with different basic investment philosophies and different governmental regulations to react differently.

In addition, in the fourth quarter of 1966 (1966:4) the New York State SLAs were 18.7% of the combined MSB and SLA total assets in New York State. The MSBs have much more exposure and it is reasoned that they will react differently because of that exposure.

The second broad hypothesis is that the New York City area (NYCITY) will have different reactions to the same types of influences than will the area outside of New York City (NYOTHER). The MSBs in New York City at 1966:4 had 78.5% of the total New York State (NYSTATE) MSB assets. The SLAs in the NYCITY area had 77.1% of the total NYSTATE assets. The NYCITY thrifts have much more exposure. It is reasonable that they will react differently than will the area called NYOTHER.

Within these broad hypotheses the analysis chapter will have sub-hypothesis as follows:

For Chapter 3:

1. It is hypothesized that the liability structure of the two institutions will have different reactions to the same type of influences.
2. It is hypothesized that the NYCITY area will have different reaction for both institutions than will the NYOTHER area in the liability reactions to the same type of influences.

For Chapter 4:

1. It is hypothesized that the asset structure of the two institutions will have different reactions to the same types of influences.
2. It is hypothesized that the NYCITY area will have different reactions for both institutions than will the NYOTHER area in the asset reactions to the same type of influences.
3. It is hypothesized that the liquidity changes will be different for both types of institutions and in both sub-areas, NYCITY and NYOTHER for both institutions.

For Chapter 5:

1. It is hypothesized that the net worth of the two institutions will have different reactions to the same types of influences.
2. It is hypothesized that the NYCITY area will have different reaction for both institutions than will the NYOTHER area in the net worth account to the same type of influences.

The Need for the Study

In late February, 1982 Representative Fernand J. St. Germain, Chairman of the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs of the U.S. House of Representatives introduced a bill under which the Federal Government would set up a \$7.5 billion fund from which the SLAs could borrow. The loans would require a SLA to have a net worth of less than 2% its assets, and at least 50% of the borrowed funds would go for mortgages to borrowers who are first time home owners.⁸

⁸New York Times, February 28, 1982, Business Section, p. F 6-7.

This situation in 1982 is only the culmination of a tremendous change that has taken in the economic environment of the United States since 1965.

Prior to the late 1950's, positive savings flows, or savings intermediation was more or less taken for granted. The only serious prior disruption was during the great depression of the 1930's. That period was not considered disintermediation because the funds were taken out for reasons that had little to do with investments of any kind.⁹ This situation was to change when the yield differentials between government securities (and other investment alternatives) and the statutory interest rates paid by the thrift institutions caused the thrifts to lose funds to alternative financial investments.

This loss of funds, the effects of disintermediation on the deposit flows have been different for both institutions in each subarea of NYSTATE. The NYSTATE MSBs have had three prolonged periods of disintermediation. The prolonged periods have been from the second quarter of 1969 (1969:2) through the third quarter of 1970 (1970:3), from 1973:3 through 1974:4 and from 1978:2 through 1980:4 and beyond. The major portion of these disintermediation periods has been in the NYCITY area where 28 of the 60 quarters (46.6%) of our analysis period have had negative net deposit flows. The NYOTHER MSB area has experienced only 17 of 60 quarters (28.3%) has had negative net deposit flows. The experience of the SLAs has been different. While the NYSTATE SLAs suffered 19 of 60

⁹National Fact Book, National Association of Mutual Savings Banks, New York, 1965 edition, table 52, p. 35 shows the flows for all institutions.

quarters (31.7%) there have been only 1 period where the net deposit losses exceeded 3 successive quarters, from 1979:2 to 1980:4 and beyond. The experience in the two subareas has been about the same. NYCITY SLAs have had 16 of 60 quarters (26.7%) of negative net flows: NYOTHER has had 17 of 60 quarters (28.3%) of negative net flows. It should be noted that as disintermediation alerted the first writers, the problem was 1 quarter in length in the NYSTATE MSBs, 1966:2, and 3 quarters in length in the NYSTATE SLAs, 1966:1 through 1966:3. Arena's¹⁰ charts show a statistical correlation of 0.70 and confirms that the greater the yield of financial market instruments relative to that of financial institutions, the greater is the degree of financial disintermediation. Nelson flatly states "that reduced flows at thrift institutions were reflected in the drying up of mortgage funds."¹¹

In the time since both Nelson and Arena there has been considerable interest in the performance of the thrift institutions. Chapter two of this study outlines and discusses the major works, studies, commissions, papers, and laws relating to this subject. The interest in the subject stems from the occurrence of very large declines in some liabilities of the thrifts and large increases in other liabilities since many of their observations were made.

Irwin Friend assesses the underlying source of this disintermediation and its impact as follows, "an asset-liability structure of relatively nonmarketable, long-term investments combined with highly liquid short-term liabilities gives rise to a high proportion of

¹¹Nelson, op. cit., p. 9.

difficulties confronting the savings and loan business, which in turn has adverse consequences for the housing markets."¹² Whether the combination does have adverse effects on mortgage lending, and therefore on housing markets is one of the specifics of this study.

Dr. Franklin H. Orstein feels that the saver, especially the small saver, goes through a learning process and that as the savers learn the process, it amplifies the direction of financial intermediation.¹³

That interest rates affect the flow of funds into and out of financial intermediaries is a commonly held view. The action noted by Arena has been documented in many studies. One such study by Watson¹⁴ establishes that each consumer is a "maximizer" when he investigated and confirmed the specific question of the substitution between demand deposits and some short term financial instruments based upon price.

Paul M. Horvitz states quite categorically that "I believe that the "cause" of disintermediation is relatively clear: it results when market instruments pay higher rates of return than financial institutions pay on their deposits."¹⁵ Mr. Robert Laurent, an economist

¹²Irwin Friend, "The Desirability of Changes in the Asset and Liability Structure of the Savings and Loan Business," Savings and Residential Financing 1969 Conference Proceedings, p. 145.

¹³Opinion expressed by Dr. Franklin H. Ornstein, Ph.D., Chairman of the Board of Central Federal Savings and Loan Association, personal interview, March 29, 1976.

¹⁴William Watson, "The Interaction Among Financial Intermediaries in the Money and Capital Markets: A Theoretical and Empirical Study" (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California, 1968).

¹⁵Based on personal correspondence between Paul M. Horvitz, Director of Research of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the writer.