

## **INFORMATION TO USERS**

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

**The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.** Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

# **U·M·I**

University Microfilms International  
A Bell & Howell Information Company  
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA  
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

PREVIEW

**Order Number 9322799**

**Genetic variation among switchgrasses for agronomic, forage  
quality, and biofuel traits**

**Hopkins, Andrew Arnold, Ph.D.**

**The University of Nebraska - Lincoln, 1993**

PREVIEW

**U·M·I**

300 N. Zeeb Rd.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

**GENETIC VARIATION AMONG SWITCHGRASSES FOR AGRONOMIC, FORAGE  
QUALITY, AND BIOFUEL TRAITS.**

**by**

**Andrew A. Hopkins**

**A DISSERTATION**

**Presented to the Faculty of  
The Graduate College at the University of Nebraska  
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements  
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**Major: Agronomy**

**Under the Supervision of Professor Kenneth Vogel**

**Lincoln, Nebraska**

**February, 1993**

DISSERTATION TITLE

Genetic Variation Among Switchgrasses for Agronomic, Forage Quality,

and Biofuel Traits

BY

Andrew Arnold Hopkins

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

APPROVED

DATE

Kenneth P. Vogel  
Signature

2/3/93

Kenneth P. Vogel  
Typed Name

Kenneth J. Moore  
Signature

2/3/93

Kenneth J. Moore  
Typed Name

Blaine E. Johnson  
Signature

3 Feb 93

Blaine E. Johnson  
Typed Name

John E. Watkins  
Signature

2/3/93

John E. Watkins  
Typed Name

Terrance P. Riordan  
Signature

2/3/93

Terrance P. Riordan  
Typed Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed Name



GRADUATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

GENETIC VARIATION AMONG SWITCHGRASSES FOR AGRONOMIC, FORAGE  
QUALITY, AND BIOFUEL TRAITS.

Andrew Arnold Hopkins, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1993

Advisor: Kenneth P. Vogel

Objectives of this research were to (1) determine genetic differences among 'elite' switchgrass populations for agronomic, forage quality, and biofuel traits; (2) determine the magnitude of genotypic by environmental ( $G \times E$ ) interaction for these traits among elite switchgrass populations; (3) determine genetic variation among switchgrass accessions for agronomic, forage quality, and biofuel traits; and (4) determine the magnitude of  $G \times E$  interaction for these traits among switchgrass accessions. Elite populations were planted in sward trials at Mead, NE, Ames, IA, and West Lafayette, IN, in 1990 and evaluated in 1991 and 1992. The 23 accessions, collected from remnant midwestern prairies, and five elite check strains were evaluated in space planted nurseries at the same locations in the same years. Forage was sampled at a vegetative growth stage and at heading. Forage yield was determined at heading, for both experiments; regrowth was sampled and harvested for the sward trial. Some populations in the sward trial consistently ranked high for forage yield despite large  $G \times E$  interactions. Two such populations, Cave-in-Rock and Cave-in-Rock High Yield-DMD C1,

also ranked high for holocellulose yield, a potential biofuel trait. The population Ey x FF High IVDMD Cycle 3 WS had consistently high forage quality at the vegetative growth stage and at heading, despite large G x E interactions at the latter growth stage. Regrowth forage yield and quality was low. Among accessions, G x E interactions were important for agronomic and forage quality traits, although forage yields of some accessions, namely IA34 and IL62, were comparable to forage yields of check strains. Also, some accessions should provide useful genetic variation for forage quality traits. Developing switchgrass populations for broad areas of the midwest for forage and/or biomass feedstock production will require evaluating advanced populations in sward trials at multiple locations in multiple years. Remnant prairies will provide useful genetic variation for agronomic, forage quality, and biomass traits, although G x E interactions will need to be considered when evaluating such germplasm.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I was not alone in my efforts to complete this dissertation. This page could be filled just listing all the folks who have helped. I want to thank a few people for their special efforts. Thanks to Ken Vogel, I have become a true scientist. Dr. Vogel helped me push myself to an entirely new level of achievement, and I am grateful. Most of all, I want to thank my family. Thanks to them, I have learned which things in life are most important. That's the most important lesson a person can learn. Without my family, this dissertation would not exist.

PREVIEW

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	i
List of Tables.....	iii
List of Figures.....	vi
List of Appendices.....	vii
Introduction.....	1
Chapter One.....	9
Chapter Two.....	43
Chapter Three.....	59
Chapter Four.....	89
Appendices.....	101

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
Chapter One	
1 Origins of 20 switchgrass populations planted in seeded sward trials.	32
2 Bias, standard error of prediction (SEp) and multiple correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) for near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) prediction equations.	33
3 Regression coefficients (b) $\pm$ standard error, coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ), and 't' values for regression coefficients for forage quality - growth stage regression models.	34
4 Mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations.	35
5 Means of the top ranking strains, mean ranges, population mean squares (MSP), and population by year mean squares (MSpy) for yield at heading of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at three locations for two years.	36
6 Climatic data, with deviations from normal, for the 1991 and 1992 growing seasons† at Mead, NE, Ames, IA, and West Lafayette, IN.	37
7 Correlations among traits for 20 elite switchgrass strains grown at three locations for two years.	38
8 Means of the top ranking populations and mean ranges for IVDMD, at two growth stages, of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at three locations for two years.	39
9 Means of the top ranking populations and mean ranges for unadjusted IVDMD at a vegetative growth stage.	40
Chapter Two	
1 Origins of 20 switchgrass populations planted in seeded sward trials.	54

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
2 Means squares for lignin yield, digestible yield, and 55 holocellulose yield of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at three locations for two years.	

## Chapter Two

3 Means for the top five ranking populations, mean ranges, population mean squares (M <sub>Sp</sub> ), and population by year mean squares (M <sub>Sp<sub>y</sub></sub> ) for holocellulose yield at heading of 20 elite switchgrass strains grown at three locations for two years.	56
--	----

## Chapter Three

1 Origin of five switchgrass populations used as check strains in the multiple location evaluation of switchgrass germplasm collected from remnant prairies.	77
2 Regression coefficients (b) $\pm$ standard error, coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ), and regression coefficient 't' values for forage quality - growth stage regression models.	78
3 Mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at three locations in 1991 and 1992.	79
4 Means of the top ranking strains, mean ranges, population mean squares (M <sub>Sp</sub> ), and population by year mean squares (M <sub>Sp<sub>y</sub></sub> ) for yield at heading of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at three locations for two years.	80
5 Correlations among traits for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at three locations for two years.	81
6 Means of the top ranking strains, mean ranges, population mean squares (M <sub>Sp</sub> ), and population by year mean squares (M <sub>Sp<sub>y</sub></sub> ) for IVDMD at two growth stages of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at three locations for two years.	82

Table

Page

- |   |   |    |
|---|---|----|
| 7 | Means averaged across two years of the top ranking populations and mean ranges for unadjusted vegetative IVDMD of 23 switchgrass accessions and five sheck strains. | 83 |
| 8 | Climatic data, with deviations from normal, for the 1991 and 1992 growing seasons† at Mead, NE, Ames, IA, and West Lafayette, IN.                                   | 84 |

Chapter Four

- |   |  |    |
|---|--|----|
| 1 | Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 12 switchgrass accessions and one check strain grown at Mead, NE in 1991 and 1992. | 95 |
| 2 | Means for agronomic traits of 18 switchgrass accessions and one check strain grown in a space planted nursery at Mead, NE in 1991 and 1992.                          | 96 |
| 3 | Forage quality for 18 switchgrass accessions and one check strain grown at Mead, NE in 1991 and 1992.  | 97 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
Chapter Three		
1	Switchgrass accession collection sites.	85
2	Spearman rank correlations for yield and IVDMD of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains.	86
Chapter Four		
1	Switchgrass accession collection sites.	98

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
1 Analysis of variance for 20 elite switchgrass populations for data from individual locations, across years.	101
2 Analysis of variance for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains for data from individual years and locations, across sampling stages.	101
3 Analysis of variance for 20 elite switchgrass populations for data across locations and years.	102
4 Analysis of variance for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains for data from individual locations, across years.	102
5 Analysis of variance for 20 elite switchgrass populations for data across locations and years.	103
6 Analysis of variance for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains for data across locations and years.	104
7 Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded plots at Mead, NE in 1991 and 1992.	105
8 Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded plots at Ames, IA in 1991 and 1992.	106
9 Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown sward plots at West Lafayette, IN in 1991 and 1992.	107
10 Analysis of variance with mean squares for biomass traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown sward plots at Mead, NE in 1991 and 1992.	108
11 Analysis of variance with mean squares for biomass traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown sward plots at Ames, IA in 1991 and 1992.	109

12	Analysis of variance with mean squares for biomass traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown sward plots at West Lafayette, IN in 1991 and 1992.	110
13	Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at Mead, NE in 1991 and 1992.	111
14	Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at Ames, IA in 1991 and 1992.	112
15	Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at West Lafayette, IN in 1991 and 1992.	113
16	Analysis of variance with mean squares for agronomic and forage quality traits of 20 switchgrass elite populations grown in seeded sward trials in 1991 and 1992.	114
17	Analysis of variance with mean squares for biomass traits of 20 elite switchgrass strains grown seeded plots in 1991 and 1992.	115
18	Analysis of variance with mean squares for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at Mead, NE, in 1991 and 1992.	116
19	Analysis of variance with mean squares for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at Ames, IA, in 1991 and 1992.	117
20	Analysis of variance with mean squares for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown at West Lafayette, IN, in 1991 and 1992.	118
21	Means for yield at heading, heading date (HD), disease, fall yield, and stand, for 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward plots at Mead, NE, in 1991 and 1992.	119

<u>Appendix</u>	<u>Page</u>
22 Means for yield at heading, heading date (HD), disease, fall yield, and stand, for 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward plots at Ames, IA, in 1991 and 1992.	120
23 Means for yield at heading, heading date (HD), disease, fall yield, and stand, for 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward plots at West Lafayette, IN, in 1991 and 1992.	121
24 Means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations at a vegetative growth stage of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward trials at Mead, NE, in 1991 and 1992.	122
25 Means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations at a vegetative growth stage of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward trials at Ames, IA, in 1991 and 1992.	123
26 Means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations at a vegetative growth stage of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward trials at West Lafayette, IN, in 1991 and 1992.	124
27 Unadjusted vegetative means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at Mead, NE in 1991 and 1992.	125
28 Unadjusted vegetative means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at Ames, IA in 1991 and 1992.	126

<u>Appendix</u>	<u>Page</u>
29 Unadjusted vegetative means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at West Lafayette, IN in 1991 and 1992.	127
30 Means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations at a reproductive growth stage of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward trials at Mead, NE, in 1991 and 1992.	128
31 Means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations at a reproductive growth stage of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward trials at Ames, IA, in 1991 and 1992.	129
32 Means for in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), and crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) concentrations at a reproductive growth stage of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown in seeded sward trials at West Lafayette, IN, in 1991 and 1992.	130
33 Means for biomass traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at Mead, NE, in 1991 and 1992.	131
34 Means for biomass traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at Ames, IA, in 1991 and 1992.	132
35 Means for biomass traits of 20 elite switchgrass populations grown at West Lafayette, IN, in 1991 and 1992.	133
36 Means for yield, heading date (HD), and disease (DIS) for 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown in space planted nurseries in 1991 and 1992.	134
37 Means for digestibility (IVDMD), crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) at a vegetative growth stage of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown in 1991 and 1992.	135

38	Unadjusted vegetative IVDMD of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown in space planted nurseries in 1991 and 1992	136
39	Means for digestibility (IVDMD), crude protein (CP), cell wall (NDF), hemicellulose (HEMI), cellulose (CELLU), and lignin (ADL) at a reproductive growth stage of 23 switchgrass accessions and five check strains grown in 1991 and 1992.	137
40	Wet lab values used to develop 1991 prediction equations for IVDMD, CP, NDF, ADF, and ADL.	138
41	Verification wet lab values and statistics for 1991 samples.	140
42	Wet lab values for sample set used to develop 1992 IVDMD prediction equation.	143
43	Wet lab values for sample set used to develop 1992 ADF prediction equation.	144
44	Verification wet lab values and statistics for 1992 samples.	145
45	Wet lab values for samples used to develop the 1992 fall regrowth IVDMD prediction equation.	146
46	Wet lab values for sample set used in developing 1992 fall NDF prediction equation.	150
47	Verification wet lab values and statistics, 1992 fall samples.	152

## INTRODUCTION

Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) is a perennial warm season grass native to the tallgrass prairie that is commonly used for forage production and conservation purposes. Switchgrass is a C<sub>4</sub> species (Waller and Lewis, 1979) and can complement cool season grasses in grazing programs by supplying forage during the summer months. This species has a wide range of adaptation, with native populations being reported from Mexico to Ontario, and from Arizona to Massachusetts (Hitchcock, 1950).

Wide variation in chromosome number of switchgrass has been reported. Nielsen (1944) found chromosome numbers of 18, 36, 54, 72, 90, and 108 in accessions collected from central North America, primarily the Great Plains, and concluded that the genomic chromosome number of switchgrass was  $x=9$ . Switchgrass cultivars examined by Riley and Vogel (1982) were found to be either tetraploid or hexaploid. They concluded that switchgrass behaves meiotically as a diploid during microsporogenesis and that breeding methods developed for cross-pollinated diploids such as maize (*Zea mays* L.) would be applicable to switchgrass.

Genetic variation for several traits has been reported in switchgrass, which is nearly a 100% outcrossed species (Talbert et al., 1983). Within the cultivar 'Pathfinder', which originated from Kansas and Nebraska germplasm, genotypes

were found with cold tolerance sufficient for adaptation to Ottawa, Ontario (Hope and McElroy, 1990). Newell and Eberhart (1961) found significant variation for rust reaction, presumably incited by *Puccinia graminis*, in switchgrass populations. They also reported heritability estimates of 0.16 - 0.62 for visually rated forage quality. In a divergent selection experiment, Vogel et al. (1981) obtained realized heritabilities of 0.59 for high *in vitro* dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and 0.55 for low IVDMD. Heritability estimates for digestibility have generally been higher than those observed for forage yield. Godshalk et al. (1986) reported heritability estimates on a within half-sib family basis of 0.60 for IVDMD and 0.20 for forage yield in a switchgrass population. Hopkins et al. (1993) used parent-progeny regression to calculate a heritability estimate of 0.40 for IVDMD in a population similar in origin to the one Newell and Eberhart (1961) used to derive a heritability estimate of 0.05 for forage yield. Genetic variation has also been reported in switchgrass for nitrogen concentration in initial growth and IVDMD of regrowth (Godshalk et al., 1986).

Forage quality of switchgrass can limit productivity of ruminant animals. Although anti-quality factors are thought to occur in switchgrass (Puoli et al., 1992), low digestibility is an overriding concern. Anderson and Matches (1983) found that switchgrass should be initially grazed prior

to the boot stage, the  $R_0$  growth stage in the system of Moore et al. (1991), to exceed maintenance requirements for most classes of livestock.

Improved switchgrass digestibility has become a major breeding goal due to the importance of forage quality. Godshalk et al. (1988) used a selection index to achieve a significant increase in regrowth IVDMD for switchgrass germplasm originating from the southeastern USA. Vogel et al. (1981) reported a significant shift in IVDMD after one cycle of divergent recurrent, restricted phenotypic selection (RRPS) for IVDMD in Ey x FF Cycle 0, a base population of switchgrass. Anderson et al. (1988) showed that the population selected for increased digestibility led to substantially increased animal gains per hectare compared to Pathfinder, which is similar in origin and breeding to Ey x FF Cycle 0. The high IVDMD selection was released as the cultivar 'Trailblazer' (Vogel et al., 1991). Three total cycles of RRPS in the Ey x FF germplasm have resulted in further gains in IVDMD, without a significant decline in forage yield (Hopkins et al., 1993).

Changes in cell wall composition have occurred as a result of selection for increased IVDMD in switchgrass. Gabrielsen et al. (1990) found no consistent differences in detergent fiber components between the high IVDMD, low IVDMD, and unselected strains developed by Vogel et al. (1981).

However, lignin composition changed with selection for IVDMD. Concentration of para-coumaric acid (PCA) was higher for both the low IVDMD and unselected strain compared to the high IVDMD strain. Para-coumaric acid is a phenolic compound associated with reduced cell wall digestibility, perhaps due to cross linkages between lignin and hemicellulose (Morrison, 1974). Gains in IVDMD obtained with further cycles of selection in the Ey x FF germplasm were found to be associated with increased cell wall digestibility (Hopkins et al., 1991). In turn, increased cell wall digestibility was associated with lignin composition changes.

Improved forage yield is often an objective in switchgrass breeding. Using index selection for forage yield in switchgrass, Godshalk et al. (1988) improved forage yield over a base population without decreasing IVDMD. In contrast, two cycles of RRPS for forage yield has been unsuccessful in other switchgrass populations (Hopkins et al., 1993).

In the future, breeding switchgrass for traits related to biomass fuel production may be important. In such a system, fuel ethanol would be produced from cellulosic biomass (Lynd et al., 1991). Switchgrass has been suggested as a useful source of biomass because of its productivity (Cherney et al., 1990). Whether biomass fuel production becomes a reality hinges on production economics and environmental factors.

Information on genotypic by environmental (G x E) interactions is important to breeders developing broadly adapted cultivars, and switchgrass producers. However, research examining genotype by location interactions in switchgrass has not been reported to date. The lack of information is somewhat surprising since switchgrass is grown throughout the central USA.

This dissertation reports on research conducted using two sets of switchgrass germplasm. One set consists of 20 'elite' switchgrass populations, including seven cultivars and 13 experimental breeding populations. These elite populations include almost all switchgrass cultivars which are or may be available during the next decade for forage production, and perhaps biomass production, in the midwest. Genetic variation for economically important traits will be needed for breeding gains to be made in switchgrass. Remnant prairie sites may be a source for useful genetic variation in switchgrass. The second set of switchgrass populations evaluated in this research consisted of accessions collected from remnant prairie sites.

Research objectives of this dissertation were to (1) determine genetic differences among 'elite' breeding populations of switchgrass for agronomic, forage quality, and biomass fuel feedstock production traits; (2) determine the magnitude of genotypic by environmental (G x E) interactions