

INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

UMI

University Microfilms International
A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313:761-4700 800:521-0600

PREVIEW

Order Number 9516591

**Evaluation of maize inbreds for cold tolerance when grown in
controlled and field environments**

Posch, Jeffrey Stuart, Ph.D.

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln, 1994

U·M·I

300 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

EVALUATION OF MAIZE INBREDS FOR COLD TOLERANCE WHEN
GROWN IN CONTROLLED AND FIELD ENVIRONMENTS

by

Jeffrey S. Posch

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College at the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Agronomy

Under the Supervision of Professor Blaine E. Johnson

Lincoln, Nebraska

December, 1994

DISSERTATION TITLE

Evaluation of Maize Inbreds for Cold Tolerance when

Grown in Controlled and Field Environments

BY

Jeffrey S. Posch

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

APPROVED

DATE _____

Signature Blaine Johnson

10 Oct 94

Typed Name

Timothy Nelson
Signature

10 Oct 78

Typed Name

Signature

12.4.10.1918

Typed Name

Signature

Typed Name

Signature

1034

Typed Name

Signature

20 24 28

Typed Name



GRADUATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

EVALUATION OF MAIZE INBREDS FOR COLD TOLERANCE WHEN GROWN IN CONTROLLED AND FIELD ENVIRONMENTS

Jeffrey S. Posch, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1994

Advisor: Blaine E. Johnson

The objectives were to: 1) determine light and temperature effects on chlorophyll concentration and ascertain if this effect could be detected with a chlorophyll meter, 2) evaluate cold tolerant traits using a diallel mating design, 3) evaluate inbred lines for cold tolerance and yielding potential, 4) and compare results from controlled environments with field trials. Inbreds developed at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln were evaluated in field, greenhouse, and growth chamber trials. Inbreds were categorized and evaluated in four groups: Group 1, high yielding; Group 2, freeze tolerant; Group 3, B population; and Group 4, 100% germination. Greater quantities of chlorophyll were found in plants grown in the light warm than in the light cool growth chamber. A linear relationship existed between the chlorophyll meter and total chlorophyll. Therefore, the chlorophyll meter was found to be useful in predicting changes in chlorophyll concentration. Reciprocal differences in diallel hybrids were found for emergence index, leaf greenness (measured with the chlorophyll meter), dry weight, and total plants emerged. Additive effects were predominant for inheritance of emergence index, leaf greenness, and dry weight since GCA and SCA ratios [$GCA/(GCA + SCA)$] were large. Crosses having cold tolerant maternal parents emerged sooner, had greater leaf greenness, dry weight, and grain yield than crosses having susceptible maternal parents when grown in cool environments. Checks emerged in less time in late field plantings but later in growth chambers than groups. Groups 1 and 4 had greater dry weights in inbred and hybrid trials than checks. All groups had a higher percentage of emerged plants than checks. Groups 3 and 4 had nonsignificantly greater means for grain yield than checks. Positive and significant rank correlations were obtained between early field planting and growth chamber for dry weight and total plants emerged.

and greenhouse and growth chamber for dry weight. Rankings of groups grown as inbreds and testcrosses were significantly positive for emergence index, leaf greenness, dry weight, and total plants emerged.

PREVIEW

In memory of my father

Leonard J. Posch

PREVIEW

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am thankful for the opportunity and the economic support received from the University of Nebraska, Agronomy Department, and the Maize Breeding Project. If not for this support I would not have been able to pursue the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

My deepest appreciation goes to my advisor, Dr. Blaine Johnson, for his advice and guidance throughout my course of study and during the preparation of this manuscript. I found working with Blaine a rewarding experience that will always be remembered. I am grateful for the flexibility given me at the start of my studies which allowed me to visit my father regularly before his untimely death.

Appreciation is expressed to Dr. Wallace Wilhelm and Dr. Lenis Nelson, who served as reading committee members. Dr. Thomas Hoegemeyer for serving as a member on my Supervisory Committee and for providing seed used in this study, and Dr. Merlyn Nielsen for serving as member on my supervisory committee.

This dissertation could not have been completed without the continuous support given me by fellow graduate students Jose Ramirez-Dias, Ricardo Preciado-Ortiz, and Mohomadulla Obaidi. Thanks is also given to Don Hall and the crew for all their help in collecting data.

Finally, a very special thanks to my wife, Deb, and my children, Kaley, Matt, John, and Megan for the love, patience, and understanding I needed to complete this dissertation. My family is what gives me the strength and desire to pursue on a daily basis. Also, I need to thank my mother, Pat, whose influence was the basis for what I am today.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES CHAPTER II	viii
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES CHAPTER III	ix
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES CHAPTER IV	x
LIST OF TABLES RELEASE PROPOSAL	xii
LIST OF TABLES APPENDIX	xiii
CHAPTER I.	
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER II.	
EFFECTIVENESS OF A CHLOROPHYLL METER IN DETERMINING CHLOROPHYLL RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE, LIGHT, AND STAGE OF PLANT DEVELOPMENT IN MAIZE	3
ABSTRACT	4
INTRODUCTION	6
MATERIALS AND METHODS	8
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	9
LITERATURE CITED	18
CHAPTER III.	
DIALLEL ANALYSIS OF SEEDLING COLD TOLERANT TRAITS IN MAIZE	20
ABSTRACT	21
INTRODUCTION	22
MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	28
LITERATURE CITED	42
CHAPTER IV	
EVALUATION OF MAIZE INBREDS FOR COLD TOLERANCE, YIELDING POTENTIAL AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COLD TOLERANT TRAITS MEASURED IN THE FIELD AND IN CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS.	44
ABSTRACT	45
INTRODUCTION	47

MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	51
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	54
Season 1992.....	54
Season 1993.....	55
Inbred and Testcross Evaluation for Cold Tolerance.....	55
Yield Trial Evaluation of Inbred Lines.....	59
Correlation Between Environments and Among Traits.....	60
Conclusions.....	62
LITERATURE CITED.....	83
SUMMARY.....	85
RELEASE PROPOSAL.....	87
APPENDIX.....	90

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES CHAPTER II

Table 1. Mean squares from the analysis of variance for chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll, and leaf greenness of maize plants grown at several dark/light temperature regimes.	13
Table 2. Correlation coefficients for association between total chlorophyll (chl ab), chlorophyll a (chl a), chlorophyll b (chl b), and leaf greenness (LG) for growth chambers (GC) light warm (LW) and light cold (LC).	14
Table 3. Correlation coefficients for association between total chlorophyll (chl ab), chlorophyll a (chl a), chlorophyll b (chl b), and leaf greenness (LG) for leaves one, two, three, and four in growth chambers light warm (LW) and light cold (LC).	15
Figure 1. Mean total chlorophyll (chl ab), chlorophyll a (chl a), chlorophyll b (chl b), as compared to leaf greenness (LG) for growth chambers one and two at leaves one, two, and three	16
Figure 2. Mean total chlorophyll (chl ab), chlorophyll a (chl a), chlorophyll b (chl b), as compared to leaf greenness (LG) measured in growth chambers: light warm (LW), light cold (LC).	16
Figure 3. Mean total chlorophyll (chl ab), chlorophyll a (chl a), chlorophyll b (chl b), as compared to leaf greenness (LG) when plants originated in dark-cold or dark-warm environments.	17
Figure 4. Association between chlorophyll readings when leaf greenness is regressed on total chlorophyll.	17

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES CHAPTER III

Table 1. Maize inbreds used in the makeup of the diallel grown in all environments.	33
Table 2. Mean squares from the analysis of variance from field (Fld), greenhouse (GH), and growth chamber (GC) data for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), total plants emerged (TPE), flowering date (FD), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY).	35
Table 3. Mean squares from the analysis of variance from field data for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), total plants emerged (TPE), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY).	36
Table 4. General combining ability effects for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), and flowering date (FD) with reciprocals pooled but excluding the parent inbreds.	37
Table 5. Means, parental and F1 (maternal and paternal), and heterosis for emergence index, leaf greenness, total plants emerged, flowering date, grain moisture, and grain yield from cool environments.	38
Table 6. Parental and F1 means for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), and total plants emerged (TPE) when plants were grown in field (PD1), greenhouse (GH), and growth chamber (GC).	39
Table 7. Parental and F1 means for flowering date (FD), plant height (PH), ear height (EH), stalk lodging percentage (SLP), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY) from the combined field analysis.	40
Table 8. Spearman rank correlation coefficients for association between field (PD1 and PD2), greenhouse (GH), and growth chamber (GC) for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), and total plants emerged (TPE).	41
Figure 1. Accumulated growing degree days above 50 degrees Fahrenheit for the 1993 growing season between planting dates one and two.	34

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES CHAPTER IV.

Table 1. Maize inbreds and commercial hybrids evaluated for cold tolerance.	64
Table 2. Mean squares from the combined analysis of variance from field data collected in 1992 on inbreds.	65
Table 3. Mean squares from the combined analysis of variance from field data collected in 1992 on hybrids.	66
Table 4. Correlation coefficients for the association between emergence index (EI), seedling vigor (SV), leaf greenness (LG), net photosynthesis (NP), dry weight (DW), total percent emergence (TPE), and grain yield (GY) from field data collected in 1992 on inbreds.	67
Table 5. Correlation coefficients for the association between emergence index (EI), seedling vigor (SV), leaf greenness (LG), net photosynthesis (NP), dry weight (DW), total percent emergence (TPE), and grain yield (GY) from field data collected in 1992 on hybrids.	67
Table 6. Means for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), and grain yield (GY) and rankings for eight inbreds grown in three field (PD1, PD2, and PD3) environments.	68
Table 7. Means for flowering date (FD), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY) and rankings for eight hybrids grown in three field (PD1, PD2, and PD3) environments.	69
Table 8. Variance component estimates for six cold tolerant and four agronomic traits for inbreds evaluated in four environments.	70
Table 9. Variance component estimates for six cold tolerant and four agronomic traits for testcrosses evaluated in four environments.	71
Table 10. Group means for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), total plants emerged (TPE) for inbreds grown in four environments.	72
Table 11. Group means for flowering date (FD), plant height (PH), ear height (EH), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY) for inbreds grown in four environments.	73
Table 12. Group means for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), total plants emerged (TPE) for testcrosses grown in four environments.	74
Table 13. Group means for flowering date (FD), plant height (PH), ear height (EH), stalk lodging (SLP), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY) for testcrosses grown in four environments.	75
Table 14. Estimate of variance components for flowering date (FD), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY) for testcrosses evaluated for grain yield over two years and three locations.	76

Table 15. Spearman rank correlation coefficients for association between groups from early field planting (PD1), late field planting (PD2), greenhouse (GH), and growth chamber (GC) for emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), and total plant emerged (TPE).	77
Table 16. Spearman rank correlation coefficients for associations between the four inbred groups and testcrosses representing these groups.	78
Table 17. Correlation coefficients for the association between emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), total plants emerged (TPE), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY) from 1993 inbred grown in controlled and field environments. . . .	79
Table 18. Correlation coefficients for the association between emergence index (EI), leaf greenness (LG), dry weight (DW), total plants emerged (TPE), grain moisture (GM), and grain yield (GY) from 1993 testcrosses grown in controlled and field environments.	79
Figure 1. Mean flowering date for hybrid groups combined over environments for yield evaluations.	80
Figure 2. Mean grain moisture for hybrid groups combined over environments for yield evaluations.	80
Figure 3. Mean grain yield for hybrid groups combined over environments for yield evaluations.	81
Figure 4. Mean grain yield for testers combined over environments for yield evaluations.	81
Figure 5. Mean grain yield for locations averaged over years and years averaged over locations from yield evaluation data collected in 1992 and 1993.	82

LIST OF TABLES RELEASE PROPOSAL

Table 1. Summary of data of four groups of inbred lines selected for cold tolerance.	89
--	----

PREVIEW

LIST OF TABLES APPENDIX

Table A1. Statistical tests for fixed effects from inbreds grown in 1993.	91
Table A2. Statistical tests for fixed effects from testcrosses grown in 1993.	92

PREVIEW

Chapter I.

Introduction

Seedling cold tolerance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the ability of plants to germinate, emerge, and grow under cold wet conditions. Low temperature is a major environmental factor limiting the range of adaptation for maize. In short season environments, such as areas of high altitudes and high latitudes, maize yields could be improved by earlier planting, which allow plants to utilize more of the growing season.

In Nebraska, maize is planted when soil temperatures warm sufficiently to initiate germination and sustain seedling growth. Therefore, planting is predominantly completed during the last week of April and the first two weeks of May. By planting prior to traditional planting dates growers may realize the following advantages: 1) earlier canopy development and increased competition against weeds, 2) reduced water evaporation and increased water availability to aid in seedling establishment due to early shading, 3) possible pollination prior to hot, dry days of summer, 4) pollination and grain-filling during periods of the growing season with maximize daylength, 5) greater photosynthetic potential for deposition of starch in grain, 6) longer grain-filling periods, and 7) early harvest with reduced grain moisture.

Tropical plants, such as maize, grow poorly when temperatures are less than optimum. Maize, when grown under cool conditions (10° C to 15° C), can suffer severe symptoms such as chlorosis and even death. These symptoms generally do not occur in species such as wheat, which are adapted to temperate zones of the world. Generally, in maize, germination is slow, seedlings are weak, plant stands are poor, and longer periods are required for plant establishment when temperatures are below 13° C. As plant tolerance to chilling increases, growth is sustained at lower temperatures and chilling injury arising from temperatures just above freezing takes longer to develop. Inbreds and hybrids with cold tolerance can have an advantage to producers wishing to utilize longer growing seasons. In addition, use of technology that allows producers to minimize soil erosion and more effectively utilize available moisture, such as no-till or minimum tillage, leaves soils cooler and wetter than conventional tillage practice. Therefore, maize with seedling cold tolerance is desirable when cool wet conditions limit early growth and development of maize seedlings.

Chapter II

Effectiveness of a chlorophyll meter in determining chlorophyll response to temperature, light, and stage of plant development in maize

Abbreviations: LW, light warm; LC, light cold; DW, dark warm; DC, dark cold; LG, leaf
greenness.

ABSTRACT

Cool spring environments can damage cold-sensitive crops such as maize (*Zea mays* L.). Low temperatures can result in crop damage and reduced yields. Therefore, development of a simple rapid screening test for cold tolerance is the focus for many maize breeding programs. The objectives of this study were to: 1) determine if relative chlorophyll concentrations can be detected using a Minolta chlorophyll meter (SPAD 502); 2) determine effects of temperature and light on chlorophyll concentration in maize; and 3) ascertain which leaf, in maize, to use to screen for cold tolerance when using the chlorophyll meter. Four growth chambers were used: light warm (light/dark; 29/24° C); light cold (light/dark; 13/10° C); dark warm (dark; 29/24° C); dark cold (dark; 13/10° C). Plants were exposed to a 13.5 h photoperiod in lighted chambers (LW and LC). Differences ($p < 0.05$) were found among origins of plants (environments in which plants were germinated) for chlorophyll concentration and leaf greenness (LG). Differences among leaves were significant only for chlorophyll *a* and LG. Correlations between total chlorophyll, chlorophyll *a*, and chlorophyll *b* were positive ($p < 0.01$) in chambers LW and LC and in analyses combined across both chambers. Correlations between LG and total chlorophyll were highly significant ($p < 0.01$) in the LW ($r = 0.88$), LC ($r = 0.92$), and combined chambers ($r = 0.93$). Correlations between LG and chlorophyll *b* ($r = 0.84$) were lower than those associated with LG and chlorophyll *a* ($r = 0.93$). Significant correlations between LG and total chlorophyll were obtained for leaf one, two, three, and four. Mean LG (21.78), total chlorophyll (1.05 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt), chlorophyll *a* (0.89 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt), and chlorophyll *b* (0.162 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt) was highest in the first leaf. Chlorophyll concentration was the highest in the LW chamber; LG (22.3), total chlorophyll (1.129 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt), chlorophyll *a* (0.943 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt), and chlorophyll *b* (0.186 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt). Plants originating in the DC chamber had greater ($p > 0.05$) chlorophyll concentrations than those originating in the DW. Total chlorophyll was greater in plants originating in the DC (1.055 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt) chamber than in the DW (0.611 mg chl g⁻¹ fresh wt) chamber. Also, LG was higher ($p < 0.05$) in plants originating in the DC (20.36) than in the DW (12.46) chamber. A linear relationship exists between the chlorophyll meter

and total chlorophyll concentration indicating the chlorophyll meter is able to detect changes in chlorophyll concentration. Plants grown in cool temperatures had less chlorophyll and leaf greenness than those grown in optimum conditions (LW). Significantly positive correlations between LG and chlorophyll concentration in cool environments suggest leaves one through three can be used to screen maize for cold tolerance.

PREVIEW

INTRODUCTION

Unfavorable environmental conditions can result in damage to crops and reduced yields. Many important annual crops cultivated in temperate climates, such as maize, have been introduced from the tropics. Low temperatures in spring can injure cold-sensitive crops of tropic origin. Therefore, development of a simple and rapid screening test for cold tolerance is a focus for many maize breeding programs. Cold-sensitive species, such as maize, are susceptible to photochemical damage (photoinhibition of photosynthesis) if exposed to high light at temperatures below 13° C (Greer and Hardacre, 1989). Taylor and Rowley (1971) showed that severe inhibition of photosynthesis in maize leaves occurred when leaves were given prolonged exposure to high light at low temperatures. This treatment also resulted in permanent photooxidation of chlorophyll. Chlorophyll concentration declined in leaves of maize when grown at low temperatures and moderate photon irradiance (Hardacre and Turnbull, 1986; McWilliam and Naylor, 1967). Hodgins and van Huystee (1985) found the largest difference in chlorophyll content due to cold stress was expressed in the lower basal regions of the leaf with little difference detected in the older (tips) regions. Hetherington et al. (1989) found photoinhibition dependent on both temperature and light, increasing nonlinearly with decreasing temperature and linearly with increasing light.

Chilling damage may be manifest, at least initially, as a photoinhibitory inactivation of the primary photo chemistry (Greer and Hardacre, 1989). Leaves of maize hybrids that differ in tolerance to low temperature should, therefore, show variation in susceptibility to photo inhibition and photo-oxidation, especially at low temperatures. Chloroplast function, and potential productivity, in developing or stressed leaves is often closely associated with thylakoid membrane integrity and associated pigments, particularly chlorophyll (McWilliam and Naylor, 1967; Taylor and Rowley, 1971). McWilliam and Naylor (1967) suggested that high light in conjunction with low temperatures did not damage the mechanism leading to chlorophyll production. However, high light and low temperatures destroyed chlorophyll at a greater rate than could be synthesized. Genetic variation for chlorophyll concentration