

## INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

**The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.** Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

**UMI<sup>®</sup>**

Bell & Howell Information and Learning  
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA  
800-521-0600

PREVIEW

# **DIGESTIVE PHOSPHOLIPASE A<sub>2</sub> IN INSECTS**

by

**Rico Lamoste Rana**

**A DISSERTATION**

Presented to the Faculty of  
The Graduate College at the University of Nebraska

In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements  
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Entomology

Under the Supervision of Professor David W. Stanley

Lincoln, Nebraska

August, 1999

**UMI Number: 9942148**

PREVIEW

---

**UMI Microform 9942148**  
**Copyright 1999, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.**

**This microform edition is protected against unauthorized  
copying under Title 17, United States Code.**

---

**UMI**  
**300 North Zeeb Road**  
**Ann Arbor, MI 48103**

DISSERTATION TITLE

**Digestive Phospholipase A2 in Insects**

BY

**Rico Lamoste Rana**

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

APPROVED

DATE

*David W. Stanley*

Signature

**David W. Stanley**

Typed Name

*July 16, 1999*

*Leon G. Higley*

Signature

**Leon G. Higley**

Typed Name

*July 16, 1999*

*Gautam Sarath*

Signature

**Gautam Sarath**

Typed Name

*July 16, 1999*

*Sharron S. Quisenberry*

Signature

**Sharron S. Quisenberry**

Typed Name

*July 19, 1999*

Signature

Typed Name

Signature

Typed Name



GRADUATE COLLEGE  
UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

## DIGESTIVE PHOSPHOLIPASE A<sub>2</sub> IN INSECTS

**Rico Lamoste Rana, Ph.D.**

University of Nebraska, 1999

Advisor: David W. Stanley

We hypothesized that phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> (PLA<sub>2</sub>) is a common feature of insect digestive physiology in insects. PLA<sub>2</sub> hydrolyzes polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) associated with the *sn*-2 position of phospholipids (PLs). We conducted experiments to determine whether insects produce a digestive PLA<sub>2</sub>, and investigated its biochemical and physiological characteristics.

Oral secretions of adult burying beetles, *Nicrophorus marginatus*, display a calcium-dependent PLA<sub>2</sub> similar to its mammalian counterparts. However, PLA<sub>2</sub> activity in midgut contents of the tobacco hornworm larvae, *Manduca sexta*, is independent of calcium. PLA<sub>2</sub> activity in both insects is sensitive to reaction pH, protein concentration, substrate concentration, temperature, and incubation time.

We also found that fed hornworms expressed higher PLA<sub>2</sub> activity than starved ones. Based on *in vitro* assay, midguts isolated from *M. sexta* are competent to secrete PLA<sub>2</sub> into the incubation medium. Two-hour incubations with buffer amended with selected diet component resulted in increased secretion of digestive PLA<sub>2</sub>, while small increases in secretion were detected when the buffer was amended with a specific PL, phosphatidylcholine. Highest secretion of the enzyme was detected from the middle

region and lowest secretion from the anterior midgut region. Because isolated midguts responded to food chemicals with increased secretion of digestive PLA<sub>2</sub>, we suggest the secretion is regulated by a prandial and/or paracrine mechanism, as suggested for digestive proteases in other insect species.

Our efforts to partially purify the digestive PLA<sub>2</sub> yielded three interesting points. First, upon elution from superose-12 size-exclusion column, fractions containing the highest enzyme activity was determined to be about 24 kDa, which is higher than the mammalian secretory PLA<sub>2</sub>. Second, in the absence of ammonium sulfate precipitation, we obtained more than 100% recovery of enzyme activity, which is equivalent to a 1.5-fold purification of the enzyme. And third, three major proteins were displayed upon sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of the most active fraction. One of which was identified to be trypsin (43 kDa), based on its similarities with trypsins identified from *Manduca*.

PREVIEW

## **DEDICATION**

I would like to dedicate this work to my family, for their love and support throughout these years. Studying overseas has been a challenging ordeal for me and my Nanay, Tatay, and the rest of the family. Their patience, trust and prayers have kept me going despite the distance. I love them very much!

RLR

PREVIEW



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. David W. Stanley, my major professor and mentor, has provided me with valuable guidance, support, and motivation throughout my years at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln; and his help in supporting my graduate studies in the United States is gratefully acknowledged. Without his patience, support, and encouragement, this work would have not been possible. David has given me the opportunity to accomplish research goals independently. He has given me a better perspective of being a scientist and as an individual. I am thankful not only to have an outstanding mentor but a friend as well. This is the first time in my career that I have been able to be more comfortable about addressing a major professor on a first name basis. So, I thank David very much.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to a group of scientists who devoted part of their hectic schedule to serve in my supervisory committee. To Dr. Gautam Sarath, for teaching me some "nuts and bolts" of protein chemistry; and to Dr. Leon G. Higley and Dr. Sharron S. Quisenberry, for their invaluable advise and mentoring throughout my stay in the Department of Entomology. They have been supportive in my professional growth and development. I thank them for their time and effort to achieve my professional goals.

My thanks and appreciation go to all the faculty and staff of the Department of Entomology, especially Ms. Cheri Marshall and Ms. Marilyn Weidner, for their kindness and cooperation through the years.

The joys and frustrations of graduate school have not been mine alone. Friends and fellow graduate students have laughed with me, received some of my outbursts, and understood. I thank my colleagues at the Insect Biochemical Physiology Laboratory:

Venkat Pedibhotla, Jon Miller, Nor Aliza, Wyatt Hoback, Hasan Tunaz and Jon Bedick for their camaraderie, cooperation and assistance in the laboratory. Their different personalities and backgrounds have helped me appreciate the value of cultural diversity and teamwork.

A special note of thanks to Sharon R. Perry, whom I care a lot. Sharon became an inspiration and a source of energy during times of ups and downs. I thank her for putting up with me during the last few months.

I am forever grateful to two people who have given me their love, understanding, and support through times of good and bad. Without their support and that of the rest of the family, none of this would have been possible. Thanks, Nanay, Tatay and all. I love you all very much.

To Almighty God, for giving me the strength, courage, and wisdom to do my best, thank you very much.

RLR

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Chapter 1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction .....	2
The Insect Midgut is the Principal Site for Digestive and Absorptive Processes .....	2
Ultrastructure of the Insect Midgut Epithelium .....	2
Diversity in Digestive Enzymes Reflects the Variety of Insect Diets .....	7
Mechanism of Digestive Enzyme Secretion .....	9
Insects Process Dietary Lipids by Hydrolytic Action of Phospholipases .....	11
Purification of Insect Digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> s: Lessons Learned from Mammalian Research ..	17
Problem Statement .....	18
Bibliography .....	18
 <b>Chapter 2. Pre-oral Digestion: A Phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> Associated with Oral Secretions in Adult Burying Beetles, <i>Nicrophorus marginatus</i> .....</b>	 <b>29</b>
Abstract .....	30
Introduction .....	31
Materials and Methods .....	32
Results .....	36
Discussion .....	45
Bibliography .....	48

### **Chapter 3. A Digestive Phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> in Midguts of Tobacco Hornworms,**

<b><i>Manduca sexta</i> L.</b> .....	51
Abstract .....	52
Introduction .....	53
Materials and Methods .....	54
Results .....	58
Discussion .....	68
Bibliography .....	75

### **Chapter 4. *In Vitro* Secretion of Digestive Phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> by Midguts Isolated from Tobacco Hornworms, *Manduca sexta*** .....

<b>from Tobacco Hornworms, <i>Manduca sexta</i></b> .....	78
<b>Abstract</b> .....	79
<b>Introduction</b> .....	80
<b>Materials and Methods</b> .....	81
<b>Results</b> .....	86
<b>Discussion</b> .....	91
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	100

### **Chapter 5. Partial Purification of *Manduca* Digestive PLA<sub>2</sub>** .....

<b>Abstract</b> .....	105
<b>Introduction</b> .....	106
<b>Materials and Methods</b> .....	108

Results .....	110
Discussion .....	132
Bibliography .....	135
Conclusions .....	139
Bibliography .....	144
Appendix 1. Extra-Dissertational Experiences .....	146

PREVIEW

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
<b>Table 2-1.</b> Source of digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in adult burying beetles, <i>N. marginatus</i> .....	37
<b>Table 2-2.</b> The influence of indicated treatments on digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in oral secretions of adult burying beetles, <i>N. marginatus</i> .....	44
<b>Table 3-1.</b> PLA <sub>2</sub> and PLC activities in the midgut contents of <i>M. sexta</i> .....	59
<b>Table 3-2.</b> Influence of larval feeding history on digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in <i>M. sexta</i> , and evidence that an active PLA <sub>2</sub> is not present in midgut epithelia .....	66
<b>Table 3-3.</b> Effects of the indicated treatments on PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in midgut contents of <i>M. sexta</i> .....	67
<b>Table 3-4.</b> Partial purification of digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> in <i>M. sexta</i> by ammonium sulfate precipitation .....	69
<b>Table 5-1.</b> PLA <sub>2</sub> activity recovered from different sections of the native-polyacrylamide gel on Figure 5-3 .....	115
<b>Table 5-2.</b> PLA <sub>2</sub> activity of eluted proteins from bands 1 and 2 of the 10% native- polyacrylamide gel on Figure 5-4 .....	118
<b>Table 5-3.</b> First attempt to purify the digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> in <i>M. sexta</i> larvae .....	121
<b>Table 5-4.</b> Second attempt to purify the digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> of <i>M. sexta</i> larvae .....	122
<b>Table 5-5.</b> Third attempt to purify the digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> of <i>M. sexta</i> larvae .....	123
<b>Table 5-6.</b> Final attempt to purify the digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> of <i>M. sexta</i> larvae .....	127

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
<b>Figure 1-1.</b> An overview of the sites of phospholipase actions on phospholipids .....	13
<b>Figure 1-2.</b> Reaction catalyzed by phospholipase A <sub>2</sub> .....	15
<b>Figure 2-1.</b> A representative radio-thin layer chromatogram of products of PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in oral secretions of adult burying beetles, <i>N. marginatus</i> .....	38
<b>Figure 2-2.</b> The influence of oral secretion protein concentration on PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in oral secretions of adult burying beetles, <i>N. marginatus</i> .....	39
<b>Figure 2-3.</b> PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in oral secretions of adult burying beetles, <i>N. marginatus</i> , as a function of reaction time .....	41
<b>Figure 2-4.</b> The influence of reaction pH on PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in oral secretions of adult burying beetles, <i>N. marginatus</i> .....	42
<b>Figure 2-5.</b> The influence of reaction temperature on PLA <sub>2</sub> activity in oral secretions of adult burying beetles, <i>N. marginatus</i> .....	43
<b>Figure 3-1.</b> Progress of the <i>M. sexta</i> digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> reaction .....	60
<b>Figure 3-2.</b> Fatty acid hydrolysis as a function of <i>M. sexta</i> midgut contents protein concentration .....	62
<b>Figure 3-3.</b> Influence of reaction temperature on <i>M. sexta</i> digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> activity ....	63
<b>Figure 3-4.</b> Influence of reaction medium pH on <i>M. sexta</i> digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> activity ....	64
<b>Figure 3-5.</b> Influence of the site-specific PLA <sub>2</sub> inhibitor OOPC on PLA <sub>2</sub> activity of the partially purified protein preparation of <i>M. sexta</i> midgut contents .....	70

<b>Figure 3-6.</b> Influence of radioactive substrate concentration on PLA <sub>2</sub> activity of the partially purified protein preparation of <i>M. sexta</i> midgut contents .....	71
<b>Figure 4-1.</b> Selection of incubation medium for <i>in vitro</i> assay .....	87
<b>Figure 4-2.</b> Secretion of digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> from isolated midgut epithelia is influenced by incubation period .....	88
<b>Figure 4-3.</b> Midgut epithelium shows differential secretion of digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> .....	90
<b>Figure 4-4.</b> Phospholipase A <sub>2</sub> activity from midgut contents isolated from different regions of the midgut .....	92
<b>Figure 4-5.</b> Influence of nutrient elements on stimulation of digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> secretion .....	93
<b>Figure 4-6.</b> Secretion of digestive PLA <sub>2</sub> in response to different phospholipid concentrations .....	94
<b>Figure 5-1.</b> Elution profile (A <sub>280</sub> ) of <i>M. sexta</i> midgut content PLA <sub>2</sub> preparation .....	112
<b>Figure 5-2.</b> PLA <sub>2</sub> activity (open bars) and absorbance at 280 nm (solid line) of selected fractions eluted from superose-12 size-exclusion chromatography column .....	113
<b>Figure 5-3.</b> Native-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (7.5%) of (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> precipitated dialyzate (P25-65%) showing the four sections (A to D) eluted to assess the location of PLA <sub>2</sub> activity .....	116
<b>Figure 5-4.</b> Native-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10%) of (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> precipitated dialyzate (P25-65%) .....	117
<b>Figure 5-5.</b> Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (14%) of electro-eluted proteins in Figure 5-4 .....	120



<b>Figure 5-6.</b> PLA <sub>2</sub> activity of pooled fractions eluted from DE-52 anion-exchange chromatography column .....	125
<b>Figure 5-7.</b> Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12%) of pooled fractions eluted from DE-52 anion-exchange chromatography column .....	126
<b>Figure 5-8.</b> Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12%) of 0.35 M NaCl fractions eluted from DE-52 anion-exchange chromatography column (Table 5-6) .....	128
<b>Figure 5-9.</b> PLA <sub>2</sub> activity of pooled fractions eluted from DE-52 anion-exchange chromatography column .....	129
<b>Figure 5-10.</b> Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12%) of pooled fractions eluted from DE-52 anion-exchange chromatography column (Fig. 5-9) .....	130

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

PREVIEW

## INTRODUCTION

### *The insect midgut is the principal site for digestive and absorptive processes*

The alimentary canal of insects is generally subdivided into three major sections. First, the foregut or stomodaeum includes the mouth, pharynx, esophagus, crop, and proventriculus. It is derived from the invaginations of the embryonic ectoderm and lined with a cuticle. Second, the midgut or mesenteron includes the midgut ceca and ventriculus. It is sometimes lined with a cell-thick peritrophic membrane and the only section of the alimentary canal that is of endodermal in origin. Third, the hindgut or proctodaeum is comprised of the Malpighian tubules, pylorus, ileum, colon, rectum, and anus, and, like the foregut, is lined with a cuticle (Chapman, 1985a; McFarlane, 1985). Of these three sections, the midgut of most insect species is the primary site for secretion of digestive enzymes, digestion of food, and absorption of nutrients. The midgut of lepidopterous larvae also regulates transport of ions. For example, potassium is actively transported from the hemolymph side to the lumen side of isolated midguts of *Hyalophora cecropia* (Harvey and Nedergaard, 1964), *Manduca sexta* (Blankemeyer, 1976), *Bombyx mori*, *Macrothylatia rubia*, and *Philosamia cynthia* (Giordana and Sacchi, 1977), while magnesium (Wood *et al.*, 1975) and calcium (Wood and Harvey, 1976) are actively transported in the opposite direction.

### *Ultrastructure of the insect midgut epithelium*

The midgut epithelium in most insects is morphologically and, perhaps, functionally differentiated along its length. However, the apparent regional differentiation

and cellular characteristics present in these regions may not always confer functionality. Structure-function relationships may not be discerned by ultrastructural studies alone. Histochemical localization studies of enzyme activity may yield some light in identifying specific midgut structures directly involved in food digestion. Cioffi (1979) divided the midgut of lepidopterous larvae of the tobacco hornworm (*M. sexta*) into three regions, namely: anterior, middle, and posterior region. He separated the midgut according to the pattern of folding of the epithelial sheet, which gives the tissue a corrugated appearance, and also by variations in cellular structure occurring along its length. A detailed microscopic examination of the midgut epithelium revealed cell types distinct among lepidopterans: columnar and goblet cells. Columnar cells are the predominant digestive and absorptive epithelial cells in the midgut. They are characterized by the presence of dense brush border of highly folded microvilli in the apical plasma membrane. On the other hand, the goblet cells have a flat nucleus lying in the basal cytoplasm and contain a large cavity with an apical opening into the lumen of the midgut (Endo and Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo, 1981). These cells are believed to be the principal agent of active potassium transport from the hemolymph to the lumen of the midgut (Anderson and Harvey, 1966). Both the columnar and goblet cells could form a basis for the functional role of the midgut regions in digestive, secretory, storage, and absorptive processes.

Some distinct differences occur in cellular structures along the midgut. For instance, the ultrastructure of the columnar cell changes gradually from the anterior to the posterior midgut region, while the goblet cells show a sudden change from one type to another. A common feature of the goblet cell cytoplasm in the three midgut regions

includes the presence of mitochondria, golgi complexes, rough endoplasmic reticula, and polyribosomes. Goblet cells usually occupy the bulk of the anterior and middle midgut epithelium. The projections of the goblet cell apical membrane contain elongated mitochondrion, a feature unique to arthropod epithelia, and characteristic of active ion-transporting tissues (Klein *et al.*, 1991). However, at the posterior midgut, the goblet cells occupy less than 50% of the midgut cell and the apical membrane projections lack mitochondria. Anderson and Harvey (1966) first suggested that the apical membrane of the goblet cell was the site of active potassium transport. Since their pioneering work on *Cecropia* midgut, several attempts were made to positively identify the transporting cell in other insects (Harvey and Zerahn, 1969; Harvey and Wood, 1972; Wood and Harvey, 1975; Wieczorek *et al.*, 1986; 1989; 1991). Studies of the nature of the  $K^+$  pump were possible with the development of a procedure to isolate plasma membrane fractions from the posterior midgut (Cioffi and Wolfersberger, 1983). They found that the goblet cell apical membrane (GCAM) contained considerable  $K^+$ -stimulated V-ATPase activity. Immunohistochemical studies using antibodies raised against the V-ATPase showed that the V-ATPase activity is confined to the GCAM throughout the midgut regions. The  $K^+$  pump of the GCAM vesicles consists of two molecular components, a primary  $H^+$  pump and a secondary  $K^+/H^+$  antiport (Wieczorek *et al.*, 1991; Azuma *et al.*, 1995). This is the first instance in which a V-ATPase was found to energize a secondary active transport in animal plasma membranes. When ATP is present and  $K^+$  absent, the GCAM vesicles developed a pH gradient of 2-3 units and an electrical potential difference, suggesting that the primary transporter is an electrogenic proton pump. The presence of  $K^+$  dissipated

both the electrical and chemical gradients, but stimulated ATP hydrolysis (Wieczorek *et al.*, 1991). The dissipation of the gradients is consistent with a  $K^+/H^+$  exchange; the stimulation of ATP hydrolysis would reflect the sensitivity of the electrogenic  $H^+$  pump to the  $H^+$  gradient. When a  $K^+$  gradient is present across the vesicle membrane, both acidification and charge transfer took place in the absence of ATP, consistent with  $K^+/H^+$  exchange driven by the  $K^+$  gradient. These findings indicate that the presence of a  $K^+/H^+$  antiport modifies the composition and charge transfer. Using static measurements in vesicles, Azuma *et al.* (1995) showed the stoichiometry of the antiport to be 2  $H^+$  per  $K^+$ .

Another important feature of the midgut regions described by Cioffi (1979) is the distinct projections of the apical surface of the columnar cells. These projections constitute a dense brush border of microvilli which changes from the anterior to posterior end of the midgut. These microvilli are generally branched in the anterior region, and tend to fuse with adjacent projections forming a dense interconnecting network. Large, membrane-bound vesicles break off from the surface of this structure, and small golgi vesicles are the only cell organelle present at the apical border of the cell. The microvilli at the apical surface of the columnar cells become less pronounced in the middle region until, by the posterior region, where these structures become more distinct. Each projection is a distinct structure not connected to adjacent microvilli along its entire length. Beneath the posterior microvilli are large whorls of rough endoplasmic reticulum, golgi complexes, stores of glycogen granules, and few mitochondria. The occurrence of highly organized stacks of rough endoplasmic reticulum and its associated golgi complexes and mitochondria may be associated with storage, lysosomal, or secretory

vesicles (Andries, 1977; Billingsley and Downe, 1986). In the mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*, the whorls themselves may store intracellular, membrane-bound ribosomes, to which associated mRNA may be protected from degradation. This arrangement allows cells to respond readily to changes in lumen content by *de novo* synthesis of mRNA (Felix *et al.*, 1991).

In addition to columnar and goblet cells, lepidopteran midguts also contain endocrine and regenerative cells, which may be more distinct from cells found in other insect orders. Pyramidal or bowl-shaped endocrine cells, or basal-granulated cells, are dispersed in the epithelia of insect midguts, extending toward the lumen side. The cells contain several well-developed golgi complexes undergoing sequential stages of granule development, and the nucleus usually occur as a distorted sphere in shape (Endo and Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo, 1981). Discovery of different types of endocrine cells was possible through ultrastructural and immunohistochemical studies utilizing antibodies raised against vertebrate hormones. According to these studies, the abundance and distribution of endocrine cells are not only species-specific, but stage-specific as well (Iwanaga *et al.*, 1981; Schoofs *et al.*, 1988). These cells occur as an "open" type, where the basal lamina is in direct contact with the midgut lumen through an apical cell process, which is covered by a tuft of microvilli (Endo and Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo, 1981; Brown *et al.*, 1985; Sivasubramanian, 1992). Also, "closed" type endocrine cells were observed in midguts of hematophagous species (Billingsley and Downe, 1986; Glättli *et al.*, 1987). These cells are embedded in the epithelium and lack luminal contact. These endocrine cells function as primary sensors. The "open" type registers the nutrient content of the gut, while the

"closed" type complements the nervous perception of gut tension (Fujita and Kobayashi, 1977; Fujita *et al.*, 1988). Endocrine cells are usually more common in the posterior end of the midgut (Brown *et al.*, 1985; Billingsley and Downe, 1986).

Conversely, regenerative cells are small and either singly dispersed in the epithelium (Endo and Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo, 1980) or lie as a mass like those in the cockroach midgut (Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo and Endo, 1981). They are relatively undifferentiated cells with dense cytoplasm, few organelles, and lack the elaborations at the apical and basal membranes described for columnar, goblet or endocrine cells (Billingsley and Lehane, 1996). Regenerative cells have no known digestive function, but play a role in regeneration of midgut epithelium in some insect species during metamorphosis (Hecker, 1977; Billingsley and Downe, 1986) or following injury (Spies and Spence, 1985). They give rise to new columnar, goblet, and possibly endocrine cells during the larval-larval ecdysis. At the early pharate pupal stage, the cells begin to proliferate and differentiate into only tall columnar cells replacing the old epithelial cells during larval-pupal ecdysis (Waku and Sumimoto, 1971). Short and cuboidal regenerative cells eventually replace the old pupal cells during pupal-adult ecdysis. Regenerative cells may be absent in midguts of some fly larvae (Terra *et al.*, 1988) or adults (Böhringer-Schweizer, 1977).

### ***Diversity in digestive enzymes reflects the variety of insect diets***

Nutrition may be considered the source of the chemical substances required by an organism for maintenance and reproduction. The molecular interconversions of