

INFORMATION TO USERS

The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

U·M·I

University Microfilms International
A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

PREVIEW

Order Number 9034285

**Coherence parameters and excitation cross-sections for electron
impact excitation of the 3^1P and 3^1D states of helium**

Perera, N. W. P. H., Ph.D.

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln, 1990

U·M·I

300 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PREVIEW

COHERENCE PARAMETERS AND EXCITATION CROSS SECTIONS
FOR ELECTRON IMPACT EXCITATION OF
THE 3^1P AND 3^1D STATES OF HELIUM

by

N.W.P.H. Perera

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Physics and Astronomy

Under the Supervision of Professor Donal J. Burns

Lincoln, Nebraska

May, 1990

DISSERTATION TITLE

"Coherence Parameters and Excitation Cross Sections for Electron Impact

Excitation of the 3^1P and 3^1D States of Helium."

BY

N.W.P.H. Perera

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

APPROVED

DATE

Donal J. Burns
Signature

April 18, 1990

Prof. Donal J. Burns
Typed Name

M. Eugene Rudd
Signature

Apr. 18, 1990

Prof. M. Eugene Rudd
Typed Name

Duane H. Jaecks
Signature

Apr. 18, 1990

Prof. Duane H. Jaecks
Typed Name

[Signature]
Signature

Apr 19, 1990

Prof. Michael L. Gross
Typed Name

Signature

Typed Name

Signature

Typed Name



COHERENCE PARAMETERS AND EXCITATION CROSS SECTIONS
FOR ELECTRON IMPACT EXCITATION OF
THE 3^1P AND 3^1D STATES OF HELIUM

N.W.P.H. Perera, Ph.D.
University of Nebraska, 1990

Adviser: Donal J. Burns

This dissertation describes two experiments which measured:

1. the relative differential cross section for the excitation of the 3^1P ($m_\ell = 0$) state in helium by electrons, and
2. the coherence parameters for electron impact excitation of the 3^1D state of helium using an angular correlation experiment.

Both of these experiments utilize the electron-photon coincidence technique which yields much more detailed information on the scattering process than is provided in more traditional electron atom scattering experiments.

In the 3^1P state of helium, the magnetic substates are degenerate with energy, and therefore, it is not possible to measure these magnetic sub-level differential cross sections with the traditional energy loss experiment. However, it was possible to measure the relative differential cross section

for the $m_\ell = 0$ substate of helium by detecting the $3^1\text{P} \rightarrow 2^1\text{S}$ decay photons (501.6 nm) in the scattering plane and perpendicular to the incident electron beam. These photons were detected in coincidence with the inelastically scattered electrons. The results are compared with previous experimental results and different theoretical model calculations.

The 3^1D excited state of helium is fully characterized by four coherence parameters. The behavior of these coherence parameters as a function of energy and scattering angle gives valuable information about the dynamics of the excitation process. An angular correlation experiment for the 3^1D state of helium was performed for the first time by measuring the angular distribution of the $3^1\text{D} \rightarrow 2^1\text{P}$ (667.8 nm) radiation emitted in the scattering plane in coincidence with the inelastically scattered electrons. With a measurement of intensity perpendicular to the scattering plane, this experiment yielded three of four coherence parameters, including one parameter that has never been measured before. These data are in good agreement with other published data obtained from polarization correlation experiments which measured linear and circular polarization of the $3^1\text{D} \rightarrow 2^1\text{P}$ decay radiation in coincidence with the scattered electrons. Results from the present experiment are compared with a number of recent theoretical models.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I wish to express my gratitude to my thesis adviser professor Donal J. Burns. He has not only given me guidance and encouragement throughout this work but also personal advice which I greatly appreciate. I am deeply indebted to him for giving me complete freedom during my research work, and for sharing the happy and sad moments in my life.

Special thanks go out to the members of my reading committee, professor M. Eugene Rudd and professor Duane H. Jaecks, for their valuable suggestions and willingness to support my work in many ways.

Prompt assistance in electronic instrumentation by Bob Kelty and his staff is greatly appreciated. I would also like to thank Don Fuehring and his staff with special thanks to Gordon McConnell for their fine work in constructing some of the apparatus used in my experiment.

I am particularly grateful to my wife Deanne for her encouragement, patience, and love during the critical period of my research work. I shall never forget the support and love that my parents, sister, and brother gave me during the early stages of my education, and I am ever grateful to them for this.

Finally, I acknowledge the financial support from the National Science Foundation and the Physics Department.

To my late father
Victor
and my mother
Ethel

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Photoemission experiments	2
1.2	Energy loss experiments	4
1.3	Electron-Photon coincidence experiments	6
2	Electron Impact Excitation of Helium	10
2.1	Excitation of the n^1P states of helium	10
2.1.1	General description	10
2.1.2	Angular correlation on the 1P states of helium . . .	14
2.1.3	Polarization correlation on the 1P states of helium .	17
2.1.4	Past experiments on the 3^1P state of helium	19
2.1.5	Present experiment on the 3^1P state of helium . . .	26
2.2	Excitation of the 1D states of helium	28
2.2.1	General discussion	28
2.2.2	Angular correlation on the 1D states of helium . . .	35
2.2.3	Polarization correlation on the 1D states of helium .	35
2.2.4	Past Experiments on the 3^1D state of helium	37

2.2.5	Present experiment on the 3^1D state of helium . . .	40
3	Experimental Apparatus	45
3.1	Electron Gun	45
3.2	Electron energy analyzer	51
3.3	Electron Detector	60
3.4	Gas Jet	64
3.5	Analyzer and electron gun mounting system	66
3.6	Light optics	73
3.7	Helmholtz Coil	77
3.8	Vacuum chamber and pumping system	79
3.9	Power supplies	81
3.9.1	Biasing scheme	82
3.9.2	Steering plates	85
3.9.3	Hemispheres of the analyzer	91
3.9.4	Bias power supply	98
3.9.5	Constant filament current source	101
4	Experimental procedure	104
4.1	Introduction	104
4.2	Pressure dependence	109
4.2.1	Resonant trapping	109
4.2.2	Secondary collisions of electrons	114
4.3	Energy loss spectrum	118
4.4	Normalization of coincidence signal	128

4.5	Analysis of coincidence spectrum	136
4.6	Experiment on the 3^1P state of helium	141
4.7	Experiment on the 3^1D state of helium	148
5	Results and Discussion	156
5.1	The 3^1P state	156
5.1.1	Results	156
5.1.2	Discussion	167
5.1.3	Conclusion	173
5.2	The 3^1D state	174
5.2.1	Results	174
5.2.2	Discussion	177
5.2.3	Conclusion	182
Appendix		
A	Parameterization of the 1P state in the natural frame	183
References		185

List of Figures

2.1	Collision geometry	11
2.2	Collision frame	16
2.3	Schematic diagram of an electron-photon polarization correlation experiment.	18
2.4	Energy level diagram of helium atom.	22
2.5	Natural frame	29
2.6	The spatial part of the spherical harmonics $Y_{2M}(\theta, \phi)$	31
2.7	Charge distribution of an excited 3^1D state	34
3.1	Electron gun.	46
3.2	The Cathode-Pierce element combination and the Davisson-Calbick lens.	49
3.3	The graph for zoom lens characteristics.	52
3.4	Electron energy analyzer.	53
3.5	Hemispherical energy selector.	55
3.6	Microchannel plate assembly.	61
3.7	Electrical circuit for the microchannel plate system.	62
3.8	Analyzer and electron gun mounting system	67

3.9	Modified mounting system for angular correlation measurements	69
3.10	Modified mounting system for measuring photons perpendicular to the scattering plane	72
3.11	Photon detection optics	74
3.12	Vacuum chamber and pumping system	80
3.13	Electron gun biasing scheme	83
3.14	Analyzer biasing scheme	84
3.15	Pusher Plate Assembly	86
3.16	Power supply circuit using a 741 operational amplifier	88
3.17	The complete circuit for a pusher plate assembly power supply	92
3.18	741 operational amplifier circuit without a buffer transistor	95
3.19	The complete power supply circuit for the hemispheres in the analyzer	97
3.20	Bias power supply circuit	99
3.21	Circuit for the constant filament current source	102
4.1	Schematic diagram of an electron-photon coincidence experiment	105
4.2	Pressure dependence of the 501.6 nm and 667.8 nm photon intensities.	112
4.3	Pressure dependence of the electron count rate.	117
4.4	Electronics for taking an energy loss spectrum.	120

4.5	Energy loss spectrum for helium at 10° scattering angle at incident electron energy of 60 eV.	121
4.6	Energy loss spectra at 20° , 30° , 40° , and 50° at incident electron energy of 80 eV.	126
4.7	Energy loss spectra at 60° , 75° , 80° , and 90° at incident electron energy of 80 eV.	127
4.8	Coincidence spectrum for the $3^1D \rightarrow 2^1P$ decay.	129
4.9	Coincidence spectrum for the $3^1P \rightarrow 2^1S$ decay	142
4.10	Averaged absolute differential cross sections for 2^1P , 2^3P , and 2^1S states of helium at 80 eV.	145
4.11	Sum of differential cross sections of the 2^1P , 2^3P , and 2^1S states of helium at 80 eV.	147
4.12	The photon detector in the xz plane ($\phi_\gamma = 0$)	152
4.13	Angular distribution curve of the correlated 667.8 nm photon at 20° scattering angle at 60 eV.	154
5.1	Total relative differential cross sections of $n=3$ states of helium at 80 and 60 eV.	157
5.2	N_c/N_e ratios for different scattering angles at 80 eV and 60 eV	158
5.3	The relative σ_0 at 80 eV	161
5.4	The relative σ_0 at 60 eV	162
5.5	Comparison of relative σ_0 at 80 and 60eV	163
5.6	The λ parameters at 80 eV evaluated using the method 1	165

5.7	The λ parameters at 80 eV evaluated using the method 2 .	166
5.8	Correlated 667.8 nm photon angular distribution curves at 10° and 40° at 60 eV.	175
5.9	γ at 60 eV	178
5.10	P_t at 60 eV	179
5.11	ρ_{oo} at 60 eV	180
5.12	γ , P_t , and ρ_{oo} at 80 eV	181

PREVIEW

Chapter 1

Introduction

The electron impact excitation of atoms has traditionally been studied by measurement of either

- the total (level) cross section, and, in some cases, the relative cross sections for particular magnetic sublevels as a function of incident electron energy,
- the differential electron scattering cross section as a function of scattering angle and incident electron energy.

These measurements have been made using two principal methods. One method involves measurement of the intensity of photons emitted from the decay of the excited states. The other method is electron spectroscopy in which measurements of the electron energy loss spectrum yield level cross

sections for those levels which can be resolved.

Both of these methods have provided valuable data and have contributed to the understanding of electron impact excitation of atoms. But the information that these experiments can provide is limited. To understand more about collision processes, more fundamental collision parameters have to be measured without averaging over other quantities such as different energy states of the atom, scattering angles, polarization of the emitted radiation etc. These measured fundamental parameters then become a stringent test for different scattering theories since the theoretical calculations of these fundamental parameters can be directly compared with the measured parameters. For example, measuring the individual magnetic sublevel cross sections of an excited state is more valuable than measuring the total cross section (sum of all magnetic sublevel cross sections) of the excited state.

1.1 Photoemission experiments

In photo emission experiments that detect the emitted photons rather than the scattered electrons, it is possible to make measurement on some excited states that cannot be resolved in the energy loss experiments. The photons

are emitted when the excited states decay to the lower energy levels. An interference filter or a monochromator can be used to select the photon to be detected. In these emission experiments, intensities of spectral lines excited by an electron beam are used to measure the total excitation cross section for the excited state, but it cannot be used to measure the differential cross section for the excited states.

However, the polarization and the isotropy of the radiation, found in photo emission experiments is dependent on the relative populations of the magnetic sublevels (Oppenheimer 1927, Percival and Seaton 1958). Polarization measurements of the emission lines in a few simple cases can give information about the relative population of magnetic sublevels but yield only cross sections integrated over all scattering angles. Unfortunately, there are several indirect ways an excited state can change its population resulting in intensity measurements which are difficult or impossible to interpret. Such complications include:

- Cascading from higher energy levels which were excited by electron impact and which then populate the lower level which is being investigated.
- Availability of several channels for radiative decay of excited states

(branching ratio) reduces the emission of a selected photon which is being detected by the experiment.

- **Imprisonment of resonance radiation (resonant trapping):** Re-absorption of decay photons by atoms in the ground state effectively lengthens the life of the excited state. Thus, the longer effective lifetime of the upper state results in an increased probability for intervention of collisional processes, and for conversion through other radiative transitions which are not detected by the experiment.
- **Collisional energy transfer:** An excited atom can be de-excited in a collision with a ground state atom, with a transfer of excitation energy and possibly changes in the values of the angular momentum and spin associated with the excitation energy.

1.2 Energy loss experiments

In an energy loss experiment, an electron collides with an atom and excites the atom to a higher excited state. In this process, the electron loses its kinetic energy to the atom by an amount that is equal to the energy difference between the initial and final states of the atom. Thus, the excited state can be identified by the amount of energy that an electron loses dur-

ing the collision. With the development of high resolution monochromators and energy analyzers in the 1960 's, energy loss experiments have played an important role in measuring differential cross sections, and so understanding the collision processes. However, if there are excited states with nearly equal energies, an energy loss experiment may not be able to distinguish between the excited states because of the finite energy width of the incident electron beam combined with the limited energy resolution of the electron detection system. If a state has fine structure or hyperfine structure, there may also be substates that are different in energy by as little as .04 meV. In this case, the overall effective energy resolution of the system would need to be less than .04 meV in order to distinguish the substates. Unfortunately, practically it is almost impossible to get such a fine energy resolution with sufficient electron beam density to perform an experiment. Of course, when the substates are degenerate in energy , they cannot be distinguished by the the energy loss of the scattered electrons. Therefore, an energy loss experiment often measures the sum of the differential cross section of the unresolved states.

Thus to measure the differential cross section for the excitation of different magnetic sublevels or the relative phase of the corresponding ampli-

tudes, neither the photon emission method nor the energy loss method can be used.

1.3 Electron-Photon coincidence experiments

The first concise theory of “Atomic Photon-Particle Coincidence Measurements” was presented by Macek and Jaecks in 1971. They showed that it is possible to determine the differential cross sections for the magnetic substates by detecting the scattered particle in coincidence with the photon that is emitted when the excited state decays. The measurements of differential cross sections of magnetic sublevels is useful in determining the shape and the inherent angular momentum of the excited atom. The basic technique used in the present experiments is called the electron-photon coincidence technique. The essential point for the understanding of the electron-photon coincidence technique is that the observation is restricted to radiation emitted by only those atoms which were excited by those electrons which were then scattered with a precise energy in a given direction defined by the electron detector.

The electron-photon coincidence experiments can be divided into two categories; electron-photon angular correlation experiments and electron-

photon polarization correlation experiments. In an electron-photon angular correlation experiment, the angular distribution of the photon intensity emitted by the subsequent decay transition, in the scattering plane is measured in coincidence with the inelastically scattered electrons. In some cases, this can yield the complete set of collision parameters for an excited state. Eminyan et al (1973) performed their pioneering electron-photon angular correlation coincidence experiment in which they were able to determine for the first time a set of scattering parameters as a function of scattering angle for electron impact excitation of the 2^1P and 3^1P states of helium (Eminyan et al 1974, 1975). Since then, electron-photon angular correlation experiments have been performed for various collision systems at different energies and scattering angles. For electron-helium collisions, much of the work has been done for the 2^1P state at different energies and scattering angles. The most extensive studies have been carried out at an incident electron energy of 80 eV. By contrast, only a few angular correlation experiments have been performed for the 3^1P state. In particular, the 3^1D state has been studied only once (van Linden van den Heuvell et al 1981, 1983).

In a polarization correlation experiment, the linear and circular polariza-