

INFORMATION TO USERS

This was produced from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technological means to photograph and reproduce this document have been used, the quality is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help you understand markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure you of complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark it is an indication that the film inspector noticed either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, or duplicate copy. Unless we meant to delete copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed, you will find a good image of the page in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed the photographer has followed a definite method in "sectioning" the material. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For any illustrations that cannot be reproduced satisfactorily by xerography, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and tipped into your xerographic copy. Requests can be made to our Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases we have filmed the best available copy.

**University
Microfilms
International**

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106
18 BEDFORD ROW, LONDON WC1R 4EJ, ENGLAND

8009586

GIARDINO, JOHN RICHARD

ROCK GLACIER MECHANICS AND CHRONOLOGIES: MOUNT MESTAS,
COLORADO

The University of Nebraska - Lincoln

PH.D.

1979

University
Microfilms

International 300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

18 Bedford Row, London WC1R 4EJ, England

PREVIEW

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark ☒.

1. Glossy photographs _____
2. Colored illustrations _____
3. Photographs with dark background ☒ _____
4. Illustrations are poor copy _____
5. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page _____
6. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages ☒ _____ throughout

7. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine _____
8. Computer printout pages with indistinct print _____
9. Page(s) _____ lacking when material received, and not available
from school or author _____
10. Page(s) _____ seem to be missing in numbering only as text
follows _____
11. Poor carbon copy _____
12. Not original copy, several pages with blurred type _____
13. Appendix pages are poor copy _____
14. Original copy with light type _____
15. Curling and wrinkled pages _____
16. Other _____

University
Microfilms
International

300 N ZEEB RD., ANN ARBOR MI 48106 (313) 761-4700

ROCK GLACIER MECHANICS AND CHRONOLOGIES:

MOUNT MESTAS, COLORADO

by

John Richard Giardino

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of
The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements
For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major: Geography

Under the Supervision of Professor Merlin P. Lawson

Lincoln, Nebraska

October, 1979

TITLE

ROCK GLACIER MECHANICS AND CHRONOLOGIES:

MOUNT MESTAS, COLORADO

BY

JOHN RICHARD GIARDINO

APPROVED

DATE

DR. MERLIN P. LAWSON

Oct. 31, 1979

DR. JOHN F. SHRODER, JR.

Oct. 31, 1979

DR. WILLIAM J. WAYNE

Oct. 31, 1979

DR. DAVID T. LEWIS

Oct. 31, 1979

DR. BRIAN W. BLOUET

Oct. 31, 1979

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

GRADUATE COLLEGE

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

ROCK GLACIER MECHANICS AND CHRONOLOGIES:

MOUNT MESTAS, COLORADO

John Richard Giardino, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1979

Advisor: Merlin P. Lawson

This dissertation studies the causes, mechanics, and rates of movement operating on the surface, within the internal structure, and along the basal contact of selected rock glaciers. In this study, two fundamental questions are explored: 1) what are the external, temporal characteristics of rock glaciers, and 2) what are the processes triggering their internal movement?

Movement of rock glaciers is an accepted fact, but the movement mechanism remains conjectural. From analysis of fabric patterns, a model has been suggested for the movement of rock glaciers in the Mount Mestas area along with a

model for the development of ridges and furrows. By employing principles of mechanics, one can consider the water within the rock glacier matrix to behave as a fluid in a confined area. Thus, as greater force is applied to the head of the rock glacier in terms of an increase in talus mass, then a proportionally greater force is applied to the toe or lobe of the rock glacier. In addition, the confined fluid also has hydrostatic pressure that pushes against the interior side of a lobe or the toe.

Evidence for basal slippage was also found in the form of basal push lobes which were found in front of the toe of several rock glaciers. It is felt that movement along the base results from a combination of hydrostatic pressure and lubrication along the rock glacier/valley floor interface. In addition, large landslide blocks of shale were observed being incorporated en mass into the rock glacier matrix. Thus, it is likely that movement between the base of one of the large landslide blocks and the valley floor or the rock glacier matrix might occur which could also account for basal movement.

Movement along interior shear planes also is present in the rock glaciers studied at Mount Mestas. Both fabric analysis and radiocarbon dates indicate interior shearing

occurs below the face of a lobe. This compression of the downslope flow results in the development of structures similar to folds. Many of these structures on the Mount Mestas rock glacier approach a state similar to a recumbent fold. However, stresses in many of these folds reach the point where failure occurs, and a slip plane is developed.

These slip planes can override younger materials as suggested by the radiocarbon dates.

The rock glaciers in the Mount Mestas area are thought to be Late Pinedale in age as indicated by various relative dating techniques. While not all relative dating methods were in agreement, several indicated that the lower portions of two rock glaciers could be Late Pinedale in age.

Although the rock glaciers were deposited during Late Pinedale time, their surfaces have shown numerous periods of recent down slope movement.

In conclusion, this study has shown that although the rock glaciers in the Mount Mestas area were developed under periglacial conditions, they are dynamic features that today continue to move in an attempt to adjust to variations of input and output as well as to changes in climate. Further, this study has suggested that water and fine-textured debris play important roles in the movement of rock glaciers. While this study has attempted to illustrate and

model the movement of rock glaciers, more data are needed to determine exact rates of movement. The Mount Mestas rock glaciers are today responding to continually changing slope conditions and are displaying both random and synchronous patterns of movement.

PREVIEW

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Merlin P. Lawson, under whose supervision this study was carried out, for his encouragement and advice both in the office and in the field.

Dr. John F. Shroder, Jr. spent the summer of 1977 introducing me to the rock glaciers of Utah. Dr. William J. Wayne spent part of the summer of 1977 in discussion in the field, and again visited the field area during the 1978 field season. Randy Brothers, Danny White, Theresa Scherkeike, Kevin Henke and my wife, Fran, served ably as field assistants.

Discussions in the field and elsewhere with M. P. Lawson, J. F. Shroder, W. J. Wayne, D. Brunswaller, P. W. Birkeland, and T. D. Moeglin have helped to clarify many problems. G. Alstrup, U. S. National Parks Department, provided the dendro lab to facilitate interpretation; Dan McGrath helped in the preparation of tree cores. My parents provided the use of a pickup to study the Mount Mestas area. My sister and brother-in-law graciously provided the use of a four-wheel drive vehicle during the reconnaissance stage of the research.

Portions or all of this manuscript have been read by P. W. Birkeland, S. E. White, G. S. Elbow, O. W. Templer, P. J. Johnson, C. A. Bell, D. Barsch, J. F. Shroder, and M. P. Lawson.

C. Fennemore, L. H. Brigham, and E. M. Estes allowed me access to their land in order to study the lower portion of some of the rock glaciers. The Blanca-Trinchera Ranch allowed me to establish a field camp in an idyllic aspen meadow. Marilyn Clarkson typed the early drafts, along with the final manuscript; Jo Shockley, and Julie and Bill Armstrong did much of the drafting. To all of the above, I extend my sincere appreciation.

This research was funded in part by Sigma Xi and a Maude Hammond-Fling Dissertation Fellowship.

Finally to my colleagues at Texas Tech who have been so gracious and helpful in seeing this dissertation to an end and to my wife, Fran, and daughter, Anne-Marie, who allowed me the time to devote to field work and the writing of this dissertation, I express my deepest appreciation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	Page iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES.	xiv
LIST OF PLATES	xvii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
Introduction.	1
Statement of the Problem and Objectives	3
Literature Review and Study Justification	4
Significance of the Study	13
Organization of the Dissertation.	14
CHAPTER II: SYSTEMS ANALYSIS.	16
Introduction.	16
General Systems Theory Framework.	16
Morphological Systems	20
Cascading Systems	21
Process-Response Systems.	24
Techniques of Analysis.	27
Geomorphological Mapping	27
Input/Output Analysis.	28
Dendrogeomorphological Analysis.	29
Fabric Analysis.	31
Carbon-14	35
Relative Dating Techniques	36
Fresh to weathered ratios	36
Pitted to non-pitted ratios	37
Depth of pits	37
Maximum height of mafic inclusions.	37
Roundness	38
Thickness of oxidized weathering rinds.	38
Lichen diameter and percentage of area.	38
Soil Data	39

CHAPTER III: PHYSICAL SETTING OF THE STUDY AREA . .	41
Introduction.	41
Location.	41
Climate	45
Regional Snowline--Pleistocene and Present. . . .	54
Natural Vegetation.	57
Geologic Setting.	59
Geomorphology	68
Rock Glaciers	80
Competency of Rock Glacier--Forming Material. . .	84
Input/Output Rates.	87
Ice Component	96
Ice Depth	97
Ice Sources	99
Description of the Rock Glaciers.	101
Longitudinal Ridges and Furrows	102
Transverse Ridges and Furrows	104
Rock Glacier VI	105
Microrelief Features.	106
Relative Age of the Rock Glacier.	107
Gannett Peak Deposits.	109
Audubon Deposits	114
Early Neoglacial Deposits.	114
Late Pinedale Deposits	115
Radiocarbon Ages.	117
Amount of Mass Wasting.	119
Hydrological Importance of the Rock Glaciers. . .	121
CHAPTER IV: DENDROGEOMORPHIC DATA AND ANALYSIS. . .	124
Introduction.	124
Sampling Procedure.	124
Event-Response Skeleton Plot.	128
Mass Movement Event Response Curve.	132
CHAPTER V: FABRIC DATA AND ANALYSIS	150
Introduction.	150
Fabric Parameters and Sampling Design	151
Analysis Technique.	153
Fabric Types on Mount Mestas.	157
Avalanche Deposit.	157
Alluvial Talus Deposit	157
Ridges	163
Furrows.	163
Lobe Faces	163
Longitudinal Furrows	165

Valley Side/Rock Glacier Interface	165
Rock Glacier Movement.	166
Rock Glacier Model	173
Ridge and Furrow Model	182
Radiocarbon Dates.	187
CHAPTER VI: SUMMARY	188
Introduction.	188
Mass and Energy Inputs.	189
Rock Glacier Formation and Movement	192
Thresholds.	194
Movement Models.	195
Relative Ages.	197
SELECTED REFERENCES.	198
APPENDIX	222

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Location, Author, and Date of Major Published Rock Glacier Research Through 1978	7
2.	Average Precipitation Data for Selected Stations in Southern Colorado.	50
3.	Average Monthly Temperatures for Selected Stations and Derived Average Monthly Temperatures for Mount Mestas.	52
4.	Geometrical Properties of Rock Glaciers in the Study Area	83
5.	Panama Hardness Scale.	86
6.	Results of Relative Age Techniques in the Study Area	110
7.	Summary of Relative Age Data for the Rocky Mountains.	111
8.	Summary of Carbon-14 Dates for the Study Area	118
9.	Material and Ice Volume for Rock Glaciers in the Study Area.	122
10.	Potential Sources of Dating Error in Constructing an Event-Response Curve . . .	131
11.	Analysis of Variance for Rock Glacier VI Fabric	158
12.	Chi-Squared Values for Rock Glacier VI Fabric	164

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	The Location of Major Rock Glacier Research Published Through 1978	6
2.	A Rock Glacier Subsystem Showing Both Positive and Negative Feedback Loops. . . .	19
3.	The Debris Cascade System	22
4.	An Alpine Process-Response System	26
5.	Interrelationship of Event-Responses with Mass Wasting.	30
6.	The Study Area Setting.	43
7.	Topographic Map of the Mount Mestas Area. .	44
8.	Selected Climatological Stations in Southern Colorado	46
9.	Scatter Diagram for Average July Temperature for Selected Stations in Southern Colorado.	53
10.	Pleistocene Snowline for Southern Colorado.	56
11.	Geology of Southern Colorado.	61
12.	Geology of the Study Area	62
13.	Geomorphology of the Mount Mestas Area. . .	69
14.	Topographic Profile Locations Within the Study Area.	70
15.	Topographic Profiles A - C.	71
16.	Topographic Profiles D - G.	72
17.	Slope Characteristics in the Study Area . .	73

18.	Rock Glaciers in the Study Area	81
19.	Location of Burlap Rugs on Rock Glacier I .	88
20.	Location of Burlap Rugs on Rock Glacier VI.	89
21.	Debris Volume Present on Burlap Rugs on Rock Glacier I.	91
22.	Debris Volume Present on Burlap Rugs on Rock Glacier VI	92
23.	Plane Table Map of Rock Glacier I	103
24.	Dated Deposits on Rock Glacier I.	112
25.	Dated Deposits on Rock Glacier VI	113
26.	Neoglacial Soil Profiles.	116
27.	Distribution of Sampled Trees on Rock Glacier I	125
28.	Response Symbols.	127
29.	Distribution of Tree Clusters on Rock Glacier I	129
30.	Mass-Movement Event Response Curve for Rock Glacier I.	133
31.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1451-1510	135
32.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1511-1570	136
33.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1571-1630	137
34.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1631-1690	138
35.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1691-1750	139

36.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1751-1810	140
37.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1811-1870	141
38.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1871-1930	142
39.	Movement Areas on Rock Glacier I from 1931-1978	143
40.	Fabric Sampling Site Locations on Rock Glacier VI.	154
41.	Petrofabric Diagrams for Sites 1-6.	159
42.	Petrofabric Diagrams for Sites 7-12	160
43.	Petrofabric Diagrams for Sites 13-18.	161
44.	Petrofabric Diagrams for Sites 19-23.	162
45.	Hypothesized Velocity Profiles for Rock Glaciers.	168
46.	Rock Glacier Model.	174
47.	Hydrostatic Pressure Model.	180
48.	Petrofabric Diagrams for Sites 24-27.	183
49.	Ridge and Furrow Model.	185

LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
I.	Aerial Photography of the Mount Mestas Area	222
II.	Views of Mount Mestas.	224
III.	Views of various rock glaciers	226
IV.	Rock glacier fabric and weathering characteristics.	228

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Introduction

A rock glacier is a deposit of poorly sorted, angular, blocky to tabular debris held together by an ice core or a matrix of fine clastics and ice-cement. It is either moving (active) or has moved at some time in the past (inactive or fossil rock glacier).

Rock glaciers, while not occurring in large numbers, appear to be a rather common element of alpine terrain. Flowing from the bases of some rock faces down into the valleys, rock glaciers form a component of valley side slopes and are characterized by: 1) slope angles intermediate between those of the adjoining rockface above and the valley floor below, 2) a surface of coarse rock fragments, 3) either a low density or a complete absence of vegetation, and 4) concave upward slope profiles in the upper parts and strongly convex fronts.

Previous studies of rock glaciers have concentrated mainly upon the problems of age assessment and of surface microfeatures description. The internal structure,

mechanics, and rates of movement have been largely left to speculation. With the exception of Shroder (1978), no study has attempted to analyze detailed, long-term surface movement. Potter (1972), White (1971), Wahrhaftig and Cox (1959), and Chaix (1919, 1943) have attempted only short-term (thirty years or less) surface movement studies.

The rock glacier system may be viewed as a subsystem within an alpine environment. With regard to such environments, Hewitt (1972) suggests that the study of alpine processes has a unique place in geomorphology. Among his notable observations are two: 1) the alpine environment is a high relative relief and a high energy environment, and 2) the surface of alpine landscapes, in large parts, consists of in situ bare rock, rock debris, snow, and ice. He cautions, however, that two complicating factors associated with alpine studies are: 1) the great variability in parameters such as climate and materials makes it difficult to generalize about alpine processes, and 2) process as well as response surfaces are not always amenable to study due to inaccessibility. Thus, the study of rock glaciers in an alpine environment must be pursued with these characteristics in mind.

Recognizing Hewitt's observations, one can appreciate the necessity for formulating a systems approach while

investigating the many variables that are responsible for the formation of a rock glacier. By employing the systems approach, one can diagram the various morphological components and their interrelationships. These, then, can serve as the organizational basis for data collection in the field.

Statement of the Problem and Objective

This study focuses on two main methodological questions: 1) what are the external, temporal characteristics of rock glaciers, and 2) what are the processes causing their internal movement? Taken together, these research concerns should complement each other, although either could stand alone as a valid subject for study.

Most rock glacier researchers have been preoccupied with surface description and relative dating. They have ignored the question of how rock glaciers move or have relied solely on speculation in discussing it. A considerable gap exists in knowledge with regard to the relationship among surface morphology, motive mechanism, and rates of movement. The main purpose of this research is to examine these relationships as they operate on the surface, within the structure, and along the basal contact of selected rock glaciers. More specifically, this study is

designed to investigate whether in some cases a rock glacier advance might be associated with a landslip component which, together with internal shearing and basal meltwater, would facilitate the movement of the rock glacier along its base. Further, this study is designed to show the important role rock glaciers play in alpine denudation and transport.

Literature Review and Study Justification

Rock glaciers were recognized as distinct geomorphic features more than 75 years ago. Spencer (1900) was the first to term rock glaciers as "a peculiar form of talus" while describing them in the San Juan Mountains of Colorado. A few years later Cross and Howe (1905), mapping the geology of the San Juans, referred to similar features as "rock glaciers" and "rock streams." Although most authors have attributed the term "rock glacier" to Capps (1910), a recent note (Giardino and Shroder, 1978) suggests the term first appeared in print with Cross and Howe (1905). That rock glaciers have been recognized in alpine regions throughout the world is attested by the various other terms that have been introduced: coulees de blocs (Chaix, 1919 and Caillius, 1947); glaciers rocheux (DeMartonne, 1920); Blockglatscher (Salomon, 1929); Blockstrome (Domaradzki, 1951); colate di pietre (Nangeroni, 1954); and litoglaciares

(Catalano, 1923). The location of studied rock glaciers has a wide geographical distribution (fig. 1), with these studies being undertaken by numerous researchers (table 1).

Originally Capps (1910) described rock glaciers by their surface morphology. Many rock glaciers, however, are located adjacent to glacial deposits or in former glacial valleys, and clear glacier ice may grade into an ice-cemented matrix which led Potter (1972) to conclude that the origin of the ice was not a decisive factor in the development of surface features. Ostrem (1971) and Barsch (1971) have debated the problem of transition from rock glacier to ice-cored moraine. Shroder and Giardino (1978) have called attention to the taxonomic continuum and terminology problems that might exist between ice glacier/debris-covered glacier and ice-cored rock glaciers. The rock glaciers examined in greatest detail herein were selected because they apparently lacked an ice core and, thus, were not part of a possible continuum of ice glacier/debris-covered glacier and ice-cored rock glacier.

Over the years numerous theories have been advanced to explain rock-glacier movement. The dominant opinions (Wahrhaftig and Cox, 1959; Potter, 1972; and White, 1971) recognize two genetic types: the ice-cored and the ice-cemented. It is now generally accepted that some rock