

INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

UMI

A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

PREVIEW

BEEF TALLOW AS A BIODIESEL FUEL

by

Yusuf Ali

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of

The Graduate College in the University of Nebraska

In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Major : Interdepartmental Area of Engineering
(Agricultural and Biological Systems Engineering)

Under the Supervision of Professor Milford A. Hanna

Lincoln, Nebraska

May, 1995

UMI Number: 9611038

PREVIEW

UMI Microform 9611038

Copyright 1996, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.

**This microform edition is protected against unauthorized
copying under Title 17, United States Code.**

UMI

**300 North Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48103**

DISSERTATION TITLE

BEEF TALLOW AS A BIODIESEL FUEL

BY

YUSUF ALI

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE :

APPROVED

DATE

Milford A. Hanna
Signature

4/17/95

Dr. Milford A. Hanna
Typed Name

David P. Shelton
Signature

4/17/95

Prof. David P. Shelton
Typed Name

Robert D. Grisso
Signature

4/17/95

Dr. Robert D. Grisso
Typed Name

Susan Cuppett
Signature

4/17/95

Dr. Susan L. Cuppett
Typed Name



GRADUATE COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my father, MOHAMMED HUSSAIN. He always encouraged me for higher education. One of his ambitions was to send me to USA for my Doctoral Degree. My only regret is that he did not get to see me graduate from the University of Nebraska. He passed away on Sept. 2, 1994.

I always wanted to show him my gratitude and love for whatever he did for me. I would like to think this dissertation serves that purpose. Papa, Thank you ... I Love You ... and I miss you.

BEEF TALLOW AS A BIODIESEL FUEL

Yusuf Ali, Ph.D.

University of Nebraska, 1995.

Advisor : Milford A. Hanna

Blends of diesel fuel, methyl tallowate, methyl soyate and ethanol were prepared. Physical and fuel properties including viscosity, specific gravity, API gravity, distillation ranges, calculated cetane index, energy content, flash point, water content, sulfur content, carbon residue, particulate matter, acid value, copper strip corrosion test, ash content, melting point, cloud point, pour point and major constituents of the blends were determined. Viscosity of methyl tallowate was reduced to that of No 2 diesel fuel by blending 35 % (v/v) ethanol. Blends of ethanol and methyl tallowate had melting, cloud and pour points lower than methyl tallowate. All other fuel properties of methyl tallowate and methyl soyate were close to the properties of No.2 diesel fuel.

Performance and emissions characteristics of all fuel blends were evaluated in a Cummins N14-410 diesel engine and compared to engine operation with No.2 diesel fuel. Engine performance was satisfactory without significant reduction in power and torque. There was a slight increase in brake specific fuel consumption. Only hydrocarbon exhaust emissions were affected by increases in the amount of alternative fuel in the blend. Based on engine performance and emissions analyses, an optimum blend of 80:13:7 % (v/v) diesel fuel:methyl tallowate:ethanol was recommended, and a 200-hour endurance test was performed using an Engine Manufacturer's Association test procedure. Engine performance was satisfactory

for 148 h. Three different injectors failed in the subsequent 49 h of operation. The failures were due to cracks across the injector tips. The injector cracking was not due to the fuel, but rather due to improper injector installation. Otherwise, power output, torque produced and brake specific fuel consumption remained, more or less, constant throughout the test period. Engine wear were less than the recommended limits for standard diesel fuel.

Engine in-cylinder pressure characteristics were evaluated using engine cycle analysis data. It was observed that peak pressure was always less than the peak pressure produced by No.2 diesel fuel. Rate of heat release and charge temperatures also were less than those for No.2 diesel fuel. It was concluded that the fuel blends used in this research would have no detrimental effects on long term engine performance, engine wear and knock.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The completion of the dissertation is a long awaited and arduous task made easier only through the continuous help and encouragement of numerous persons to whom I am deeply grateful. First and foremost I wish to offer my thanks to Dr. Milford A. Hanna for his invaluable guidance, consistent encouragement and timely advice throughout the period of this research. My dream of earning a doctoral degree would never have come true had he not accepted me as graduate student at University of Nebraska and provided me the necessary financial support. It has been a pleasure working under him.

I owe a great deal to Prof. David P. Shelton and Dr. Susan L. Cuppett, for their careful reading and thought provoking comments; and Dr. Robert D. Grisso, member of my supervisory committee for fruitful discussion and reviewing this dissertation.

I sincerely appreciate the help provided by Joseph E. Borg and Kevin G. Johnson during engine testing and data collection. I also am thankful to Dr. Louis I. Leviticus for making the engine testing laboratory available and to the staff of the engine testing lab for their help.

Help provided by Dr. Kent M. Eskridge during experimental design and statistical analysis is highly appreciated.

I also extend a word of thanks to all of the staff and students of IAPC and the Department of Biological Systems Engineering. A very special thanks to Dr. Sandeep Bhatnagar whose friendly advise brought me to UNL, Dr. Viswas

Ghorpade for his moral support, Krish, Anantha, Tarun, Mike, Brad and Roger for their friendship and help during our stay in Lincoln.

I am overwhelmed by never-ending support and encouragement provided by my wife Zulekha and son Arafat (Jimmy) who kept me inspired and motivated to work dedicatedly towards my educational goal. Their patience during long hours of my absence from home is lovingly acknowledged. Moral and emotional support provided by my parents, brothers, sister and in-laws in India also is remembered with pleasure. My mom's prayers and good wishes carried me through and enabled me to realize my educational goal.

PREVIEW

DISSERTATION FORMAT

This dissertation is written as a series of eight technical papers. Preceding these papers is a brief introduction describing the scope and objective of this research. Each paper has its own introduction, a literature review included as part of the introduction, methods and materials, results and discussion and conclusions section as well as references used in that research paper.

The first paper of this dissertation is a review paper on the use of oils and fats as biodiesel fuel, a review of engine performance, and emissions characteristics of various fuels. The second paper discusses physical properties related to viscosity and the effect of temperature on viscosity. This paper suggests an optimum blend of methyl tallowate and ethanol having the same viscosity as that of No.2 diesel fuel. The third paper in this series presents detailed physical properties of the various blends of diesel fuel, methyl tallowate, methyl soyate and ethanol. Paper number four in this dissertation presents a detailed engine performance and emissions analysis using the 12 fuel blends. On the basis of engine performance when operating on the different fuel blends, one blend was optimized and the optimization process is discussed in the fifth paper of this dissertation. The sixth paper presents a detailed 200 h endurance test suggested by the Engine Manufacturer's Association (EMA). The engine cycle analysis performed during engine testing and the effects of the different fuel blends on in-cylinder pressure characteristics are presented in the seventh paper. The effects of the fuel blends on rate of heat release, ignition delay, burn duration and charge temperature are discussed and presented in paper number eight.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content	Page
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION.	1
CHAPTER II. ALTERNATIVE DIESEL FUEL FROM VEGETABLE OILS.	4
Abstract.	5
Introduction.	5
Chemical Structures of Vegetable oils and diesel fuel.	6
Fuel Formulation and Properties.	7
Techniques to decrease the viscosity of vegetable oils.	9
Dilution.	9
Pyrolysis.	10
Microemulsion.	12
Transesterification.	13
Evaluation of Vegetable Oil Esters as Biodiesel Fuel.	15
Performance of Engine on Vegetable Oil Derived Diesel Fuels. .	16
Engine Exhaust Emission Trends Using Vegetable Oil Derived Fuels.	22
Conclusions.	26
References.	28
CHAPTER III. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF TALLOW ESTER AND DIESEL FUEL BLENDS.	41
Abstract.	42
Introduction.	42
Description of Tallow Fuel and Its Development.	44
Transesterification Reaction Procedure.	44
Viscosity Measurement.	45
Density Measurement.	46
Cetane Number Determination.	46
Results and Discussion.	46

Viscosity of Ester/Ethanol Blends.	46
Effect of Temperature and Blend (Diesel, Ethanol and Ester) on Viscosity.	47
Density of Blends.	47
Fuel Properties.	47
Conclusions.	48
References.	49
 CHAPTER IV. FUEL PROPERTIES OF TALLOW AND SOYBEAN OIL ESTERS.	 55
Methods and Materials.	58
Fuel Blend Preparation.	58
Fatty Acid Composition Determination.	59
Physical Properties Determination.	60
Viscosity.	60
Specific gravity.	60
Distillation range.	60
Energy content.	62
Melting point.	62
Cloud point.	62
Pour Point.	63
Flash point.	63
Ash content.	63
Other properties.	63
Water content.	64
Results and Discussion.	64
Fatty Acid Composition.	64
Physical Properties.	65
Viscosity.	65
Specific gravity.	66
Distillation property.	66
Energy content.	68
Melting point.	69
Cloud point.	70

Pour point.	71
Flash point.	72
Water content.	73
Sulfur content.	73
Ash content.	74
Carbon residue.	74
Particulate matter.	75
Acid value.	75
Copper strip corrosion.	76
References.	77

CHAPTER V. TESTING OF ALTERNATIVE DIESEL FUEL FROM TALLOW AND SOYBEAN OIL IN CUMMINS N14-410 DIESEL ENGINE.

Abstract.	87
Introduction.	87
Methods and Materials.	91
Engine and Instrumentation.	91
Fuels.	92
Test Run and Performance Map.	92
Test Procedure.	93
Experimental Design and Data Analysis.	94
Engine performance.	94
Emissions analyses.	95
Results and Discussion.	95
Engine Performance.	95
Diesel and methyl tallowate blends.	96
Diesel, methyl tallowate and ethanol blends.	97
Diesel fuel, methyl tallowate, methyl soyate and ethanol blends.	99
Comparison of No. 2 diesel fuel with blends containing no diesel.	99
Emissions Analyses.	101
EPA off-highway emission standards.	101

Diesel and methyl tallowate blends.	101
Diesel, methyl tallowate and ethanol blends	103
Diesel, methyl tallowate, methyl soyate and ethanol blends.	104
Comparison of Engine Performance Using Different Fuel Blends.	105
Conclusions.	107
References.	109
 CHAPTER VI. OPTIMIZATION OF DIESEL, METHYL TALLOWATE AND ETHANOL BLEND FOR REDUCING EMISSIONS FROM DIESEL ENGINE.	121
Abstract.	122
Introduction	122
Materials and Methods	124
Engine and Instrumentation	124
Fuels	126
Test Run and Performance Maps	126
Testing Procedure.	127
Optimization of Fuel Blends	127
Results and Discussion.	128
Engine Performance	129
Emission Analysis.	131
Optimization of Fuel Blend	135
Conclusions.	136
References.	137
 CHAPTER VII. DURABILITY TESTING OF DIESEL, METHYL TALLOWATE AND ETHANOL BLEND IN CUMMINS N14-410 ENGINE.	147
Abstract.	148
Introduction	149
Methods and Materials.	151

Experimental Engine and Instrumentation	151
Fuel	152
Testing Procedure and Load Cycle	153
Rated condition	154
Maximum torque	154
High idle	154
Low idle	154
Results and Discussion	155
Engine Performance	155
Emissions Characteristics	156
Engine Oil Analysis	157
Injector Coking	158
Conclusions	160
References	161
 CHAPTER VIII. IN-CYLINDER PRESSURE CHARACTERISTICS OF A CI ENGINE USING BLENDS OF DIESEL FUEL AND METHYL ESTERS OF BEEF TALLOW	
	170
Abstract	171
Introduction	171
Materials and Methods	173
Engine and Instrumentation	173
Fuels	175
Testing Procedure	175
Results and Discussion	176
Pressure vs. Crank Angle	176
Indicated Mean Effective Pressure	180
Derivative of Pressure with Respect to Crank Angle.	181
Conclusions	185
References	186

CHAPTER IX. EFFECT OF ALTERNATIVE DIESEL FUEL FROM TALLOW ON HEAT RELEASE CURVES IN CUMMINS N14-410 DIESEL ENGINE.	198
Abstract	199
Introduction	199
Materials and Methods	202
Engine and Instrumentation	202
Fuels	203
Testing Procedures	204
Results and Discussion	205
Rate of Heat Release vs. Crank Angle	205
Mass-Burned Fraction vs. Crank Angle.	209
Charge Temperature vs. Crank Angle	212
Conclusions	216
References	217
CHAPTER X. SUMMARY	231
CHAPTER XI. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH ...	235
TABLE OF APPENDIX	236
APPENDIX	238

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. #	Description	Page
2.1	Chemical structure of vegetable oil.	33
2.2	Transesterification reaction equation.	34
2.3	Flow chart for on-farm transesterification of sunflower oil.	35
3.1	Viscosity as a function of tallow ester to ethanol ratios (% v/v).	52
3.2	Cetane index as a function of No.2 diesel fuel to tallow ester ratio (%v/v).	53
3.3	Viscosity as a function of temperature and tallow ester:ethanol:diesel blend.	54
4.1	Distillation curve for tallow, soybean oil, their esters and blends with ethanol and No.2 diesel fuel.	85
5.1	Effects of diesel fuel:methyl tallowate blends and engine speeds on corrected power output, corrected torque and brake specific fuel consumption.	115
5.2	Effects of diesel fuel:methyl tallowate:ethanol blends and engine speeds on corrected power output, corrected torque and brake specific fuel consumption.	116
5.3	Comparison of No.2 diesel fuel and methyl tallowate:methyl soyate:ethanol blends for corrected power output, torque and brake specific fuel consumption.	117
5.4	Effects of diesel:methyl tallowate blends on brake specific emissions.	118
5.5	Effects of diesel:methyl tallowate:ethanol blends on brake specific emissions.	119
5.6	Effects of diesel:methyl tallowate:methyl soyate:ethanol blends on brake specific emissions.	120
6.1	Effects of engine speed and fuel blends on corrected power output.	141
6.2	Effects of engine speed and fuel blends on corrected torque. .	142
6.3	Effects of engine speed and fuel blends on brake specific fuel consumption.	143
6.4	Effects of diesel fuel:methyl tallowate:ethanol blends on brake specific CO and CO ₂ emissions.	144

6.5	Effects of diesel fuel:methyl tallowate:ethnaol blends on brake specific O ₂ and HC emissions.	145
6.6	Effects of diesel fuel:methyl tallowate:ethnaol blends on brake specific Nox and smoke emissions.	146
7.1	Engine performance at 3 h intervals during 200 h EMA durability test.	164
7.2	Brake specific emissions from the engine at 45 h intervals.	165
7.3	Viscosity of engine lubricating oil.	166
7.4	Increases in wear metals concentrations in engine oil.	167
7.5	Overview of the fracture under scanning electron microscopy of failed nozzle (fracture initiation site can be seen as marked with the arrow).	168
7.6	Detailed view of the cracked region (Mag. 150X backscattered electron image).	169
8.1	Overlay of pressure curves for different fuel blends at peak torque (graph in box shows the peak pressure values).	194
8.2	Overlay of pressure curves for different fuel blends at rated engine speed (graph in box shows the peak pressure values).	195
8.3	Overlay of rate of change of pressure curves for different fuel blends at peak torque.	196
8.4	Overlay of rate of change of pressure curves for different fuel blends at rated engine speed.	197
9.1	Overlay of rate of heat release curves for different fuel blends at peak torque (graph in the box shows the peak rate of heat release).	225
9.2	Overlay of rate of heat release curves for different fuel blends at rated engine speed (graph in the box shows the peak rate of heat release).	226
9.3	Overlay of mass-burned fraction curves for different fuel blends at peak torque.	227
9.4	Overlay of mass-burned fraction curves for different fuel blends at rated engine speed.	228
9.5	Overlay of charge temperature curves for different fuel blends at peak torque (graph in box shows the peak temperature).	229

9.6	Overlay of charge temperature curves for different fuel blends at rated engine speed (graph in box shows the peak temperature).	230
-----	---	-----

PREVIEW

LIST OF TABLES

Table #	Description	Page
2.1	Chemical structure of common fatty acids found in vegetable oils.	36
2.2	Chemical properties of vegetable oil.	37
2.3	Fuel properties of vegetable oils.	38
2.4	Fuel properties of No.2 diesel, ethyl esters and methyl esters of rapeseed oil.	39
2.5	Physical properties of rapeseed oil and tallow, their esters and blends with diesel fuel.	40
3.1	Densities of tallow ester:ethanol:diesel fuel blends.	50
3.2	Fuel properties of tallow ester and its blends with ethanol and diesel.	51
4.2	Fuel blends used.	80
4.2	Average composition of fatty acids (wt %) in edible and inedible beef tallow and soybean oil and their esters.	81
4.3	Fuel properties of tallow, soybean oil, their esters and blends with diesel and ethanol.	82
5.1	Engine specifications.	111
5.2	Fuel blends tested.	112
5.3	Engine speeds and loads used for each fuel blend.	113
5.4	EPA off-highway emissions standards.	114
6.1	Engine specifications.	139
6.2	Engine speeds and loads used for each fuel blend.	140
7.1	Fuel properties of 80:13:7 % (v/v) diesel fuel:methyl tallowate:ethanol fuel blend.	162
7.2	Concentration of wear metals in crankcase oil after each 100 h run.	163
8.1	Engine specifications.	187
8.2	Pressure transducer, charge amplifier and shaft encoder specifications.	188
8.3	Fuel blends tested.	189
8.4	Engine speeds and loads used for each fuel blend.	190

8.5	Peak pressure developed for each fuel blend at different speeds and location of the peak pressure with respect to TDC.	191
8.6	Indicated mean effective pressure developed for each fuel blend at different speeds.	192
8.7	Peak rate of pressure change with respect to crank angle for each fuel blend at different speeds and location of the peak pressure change with respect to TDC.	193
9.1	Engine specifications.	218
9.2	Pressure transducer, charge amplifier and shaft encoder specifications.	219
9.3	Fuel blends tested.	220
9.4	Engine speeds and loads used to determine engine performance.	221
9.5	Peak rate of heat release for each fuel blend at different speeds and location of the peak rate of heat release with respect to TDC.	222
9.6	Crank angles for ignition delay and burn duration for each fuel blends at different engine speeds.	223
9.7	Peak charge temperature for each fuel blend at different speeds and its location with respect to TDC.	224

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The downturn in petroleum-based energy cost in early 70's stifled research in the area of alternate fuels but now air quality concerns have rekindled interest in the development and use of non-petroleum based renewable fuels. Among the different renewable fuels being considered are various types of oils and/or fats derived from plant and animal sources. These oils and fats have potential as a fuel for diesel engines, but there is a need for a continuous and concentrated research effort to change the physical properties of these oils and fats to make them compatible with diesel engines.

Vegetable oils and animal fats can be used as fuel in direct injection diesel engines, but there are some limitations on their use. From the point of view of fuel properties, both oil and fat have fuel properties fairly close of diesel fuel, but from the point of view of physical properties they are not close to diesel fuel. One of the most important physical properties of oils and fats is viscosity; oils are 10 to 15 times more viscous than diesel fuel and animal fats are solid at room temperature, making them unusable as a fuel in raw form. Further, long term use oils may cause fouling with varnish-like deposits which can lead to engine failure.

To use these highly viscous oils and fats as fuels in a compression ignition engine, one must modify their physical properties, particularly, viscosity. Several physical and chemical approaches have been used to reduce the viscosity of oils and fats; of them, transesterification is the most common approach.

Transesterification of beef tallow not only helps in reducing viscosity but also helps in keeping the tallow in liquid form at room temperature.

Tallow is a by-product from the meat packaging industry and its production is dependent on the demand for meat. Edible fats have lost a significant share of the domestic cooking fat market as health conscious consumers are switching to vegetable oils. The effect of this loss is a narrow two cent price difference between edible and inedible tallow and excess supply pressure on traditional inedible markets. The surplus of edible fats comes at a time when traditional markets for inedible fats are in transition. The net effect of the transition has been long-term, flat or declining prices. For example, in 1982, the average price of inedible tallow was \$0.16 per pound, compared to \$0.135 in 1992. Cattle producers and meat packers need a strong by-product market to help stabilize their primary market.

The United States is the largest producer of tallow, with annual production of 7.9 billion pounds at a value of \$ 978 million in 1990. The largest market for animal fat is export. In 1990, U.S. net export totaled 3.26 billion pounds of inedible tallow and greases and 461 million pounds of edible tallow and lard. The volume of inedible tallow export would be sufficient to substitute part of diesel fuel on a nation-wide basis.

Tallow, like vegetable oils, is a mixture of esters of long chain fatty acids and the trihydric alcohol, glycerol. The molecular weight of tallow is approximately 890, that of diesel fuel being about 270. Principle fatty acid components of a typical tallow are palmitic, stearic and oleic acids. The total saturated fatty acids in