

INFORMATION TO USERS

The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book. These are also available as one exposure on a standard 35mm slide or as a 17" x 23" black and white photographic print for an additional charge.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

U·M·I

University Microfilms International
A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

PREVIEW

Order Number 8911141

**Remote sensing applied to the exploration for uranium-mineralized
breccia pipes in northwestern Arizona**

Kwarteng, Andrews Mensah Yaw, D.G.S.

The University of Texas at El Paso, 1988

U·M·I

**300 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48106**

PREVIEW

**REMOTE SENSING APPLIED TO THE EXPLORATION FOR
URANIUM-MINERALIZED BRECCIA PIPES IN
NORTHWESTERN ARIZONA**

by

ANDREWS MENSAH YAW KWARTENG, B.Sc., M.Sc.

DISSERTATION

**Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of
The University of Texas at El Paso**

**in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements
for the Degree of**

DOCTOR OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO

December 1988

REMOTE SENSING APPLIED TO THE EXPLORATION FOR
URANIUM-MINERALIZED BRECCIA PIPES IN
NORTHWESTERN ARIZONA

APPROVED:

Philip C. Goodell

N.E. Emigiano D.

Kenneth F. Clark

DR Keller

D and H Walen

Pat S. Chavez, Jr.

Joseph H. Berlevisi
Dean of the Graduate School

*To my wife, Dora,
our three lovely girls,
Juanita, Francine, Afua,
and to all who believe in
"brighten your corner wherever you are and wherever you come from"*

PREVIEW

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is part of a larger project on the breccia pipes of northwestern Arizona which is funded by the U.S. Geological Survey, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the Hualapai Indian Tribe. My sincerest gratitude goes to Dr. Karen J. Wenrich, leader of the USGS breccia pipe project, who provided funding and continually encouraged and supported this study. I am also grateful to the following companies for providing additional funding for this research: Energy Fuels Nuclear Incorporation, Pathfinder Mines Corporation, and Union Pacific Resources Corporation.

I would like to thank the other scientists from the USGS for their immense help and encouragement. Pat Chavez gave me access to the U.S. Geological Survey's Mini Image Processing System (MIPS) at Flagstaff, Arizona, for image processing, and also supplied the photographic products generated in this study. Dr. Mel Podwysocki provided a field spectrometer for my field work and also arranged for me to do laboratory spectral reflectance analysis in USGS laboratory in Reston, Virginia. X-ray diffraction analysis was carried out at Dr. Gene Whitney's laboratory in Denver, Colorado.

I am very grateful to Dr. P.C. Goodell, chairman of my dissertation committee, for getting me involved in this project through the USGS. I would like to thank him and the rest of my committee members, Dr. N.E. Pingitore, Dr. K.N. Clark, Dr. G.R. Keller, Dr. D. Williams, Dr. M. Podwysocki, Mr. P.S. Chavez, and Dr. Karen J. Wenrich, for their suggestions, encouragement, and finally for serving on my committee.

I would like to thank my fellow graduate students, Sulaiman Abushagur, Virgil Lueth, Kevin Mickus and Abdunnur Suleiman for their company and all the good

times in El Paso and Juarez.

I am very grateful to my wife, Dora, for her continual support, and also thank God for our three lovely girls Juanita, Francine, and Afua Seiwaa. Juanita Spencer made my family feel 'at home' in the United States and provided all the love and care we could count on. Finally, I would like to thank all the people in my village (Nwadan Mampong Ghana), especially my family, for their prayers and best wishes.

"Me da Nyame ase;
Yen nananom ne Agonafoo
nsamanfoo nyinaa, me da
mo ase a ensa da."

ABSTRACT

The currently depressed uranium market makes high-grade uranium deposits the only economically viable and attractive exploration target. In the United States, exploration for uranium-mineralized breccia pipes in northwestern Arizona is active because of the high-grade ore they contain which can also include such by-products as Ag, Au, Cu, Pb, Zn and V. These breccia pipes were formed from the collapse of the overlying sedimentary strata into karst caverns developed in the Mississippian Redwall Limestone. Mineralization of the breccia pipes occurred between 200 and 220 m.y. ago as determined by U-Pb isotopic analyses.

Field and laboratory spectroscopic studies using Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) band-passes clearly demonstrate that the surfaces of breccia pipes were indistinguishable from their immediately surrounding areas. The hydrothermal event accompanying the uranium-mineralization introduced new clays into the pipes. These clays were found to be indistinguishable from the ubiquitous diagenetic clays in sediments in the study areas, using TM bands. Discriminant analysis of the field, laboratory, and Landsat TM digital numbers indicates that 64-80% of the samples collected on the surfaces of breccia pipes and their immediately surrounding areas were correctly classified; however, the classification data from some previously known breccia pipes were not encouraging.

Digital image processing techniques were applied to Landsat TM, color infrared photograph (NHAP), and airborne geophysical data of selected areas in northwestern Arizona to evaluate the utility of the remotely sensed data in breccia pipe exploration. The color composites of selected enhanced TM bands, printed at the scale of 1:100,000, resulted in the recognition of some of the previously known orebodies as well as several anomalies in the study areas. The criteria utilized were

the appearance of circular features and the size of known breccia pipes. Enhancement of a digitized NHAP photograph improved appearance of circular and other structural features in comparison with the unenhanced NHAP and a color aerial photograph. Digital image processing techniques applied to the geophysical data sets included: (1) conversion of the data into gridded grey-scale images, (2) spatial filtering for noise removal, (3) integration and analysis of the data sets, and (4) modeling using various parameter combinations. One of the most promising models developed in this study incorporated apparent resistivity and total field magnetics. The results of this model outlined 13 anomalies representing 12% of the survey area. The processing and critical analysis of the geophysical data is apparently the most promising approach to breccia pipe exploration in this study.

PREVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	vi
Table of Contents.....	viii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xiv
Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Breccia Pipes in Northwestern Arizona	1
1.2 Uranium Market	7
1.3 Remote Sensing in Uranium Exploration.....	8
1.4 Outline of Research.....	10
1.5 Study Areas	11
2. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREAS.....	14
2.1 Introduction.....	14
2.2 Physiography and Vegetation	14
2.2.1 Hack Area	14
2.2.2 Hualapai Area.....	14
2.3 Distribution of Soil Type	15
2.3.1 Hack Area	15
2.3.2 Hualapai Area.....	17

Chapter	Page
2.4 Geologic Setting	18
2.4.1 General Stratigraphy and Tectonic History of the Colorado Plateau	20
2.4.2 Surface Lithologic Units	23
2.4.2.1 Kaibab Formation	24
2.4.2.2 Moenkopi Formation	24
2.5 Summary	27
3. DESCRIPTION OF BRECCIA PIPES	29
3.1 Introduction	29
3.2 Morphology of Breccia Pipes	30
3.3 Field Recognition of Breccia Pipes	33
3.4 Exploration Techniques	36
3.5 Mineralization and Alteration	36
3.6 Comparison of Sandstone-type of Uranium Deposits and Breccia Pipes on the Colorado Plateau	43
3.7 Genesis of Breccia Pipes	44
3.8 Summary	49
4. SPECTROSCOPIC STUDIES AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF FIELD, LABORATORY AND TM DATA	51
4.1 Introduction	51
4.2 Spectral Signatures of Rocks and Minerals in the Visible and Near-infrared Region (0.4-2.5 μm)	52
4.3 Discriminant Analysis	55

Chapter	Page
4.4 Field Spectral Reflectance Measurements.....	58
4.5 Analysis of Field Data.....	60
4.6 Laboratory Spectral Measurements.....	72
4.7 Description and Analysis of Laboratory Spectra.....	74
4.7.1 Spectra of Rocks in Northwestern Arizona.....	74
4.7.2 Spectra of Outcrops on Breccia Pipes Located in the Kaibab Formation.....	77
4.7.3 Spectra of Outcrops on Breccia Pipes Located in the Moenkopi Formation.....	79
4.7.4 Spectra of Unaltered and Hydrothermally Altered Rocks	81
4.7.5 Statistical and Graphical Analyses of Laboratory Reflection Spectra.....	83
4.8 Analysis of TM Digital Numbers of Breccia Pipes	92
4.8.1 Atmospheric Scattering Correction	92
4.8.2 Graphical and Statistical Analyses	94
4.9 Significance of Spectroscopic and Statistical Analysis	103
5. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF TM IMAGES.....	108
5.1 Introduction.....	108
5.2 Statistical Analysis.....	109
5.3 Digital Enhancement.....	111
5.3.1 Spectral Enhancement.....	113
5.3.1.1 Contrast Stretching.....	113

Chapter	Page
5.3.1.2 Ratio Images	114
5.3.1.3 Principal Component Analysis.....	115
5.3.1.4 Suppression of Vegetation.....	116
5.3.2 Structural Enhancement.....	117
5.3.2.1 High-pass Spatial Filtering.....	118
5.3.2.2 Edge Enhancement	118
5.3.2.3 First Differencing.....	119
5.4 Interpretation of TM Images.....	119
5.4.1 Hack Area	120
5.4.2 Hualapai Area.....	130
5.5 Comparison of TM images and color aerial photographs.....	134
5.6 Summary.....	142
6. DIGITAL ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICAL AND NHAP DATA.....	144
6.1 Introduction.....	144
6.2 Airborne Geophysical Data	145
6.2.1 Description of Database.....	145
6.2.2 Significance of Data Types for Mineral Exploration..	146
6.2.3 Digital Processing and Modeling.....	149
6.2.4 Discussion of Results	164
6.3 Enhancement and Discussion of NHAP Data	173

	Page
Chapter	
6.4 Summary.....	178
7. CONCLUSIONS.....	180
References.....	185
Vita.....	195

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Metal production from selected breccia pipes in northwestern Arizona.....	6
2. Spectral bands of Landsat Thematic Mapper.....	59
3. Field spectral reflectance measurements with a Barringer hand-held ratioing radiometer	61
4. Statistics generated from discriminant analysis of field data.....	64
5. Mineralogy of field samples derived from X-ray diffraction	73
6. Description and location of laboratory samples.....	76
7. Landsat TM bands values derived from laboratory spectra.....	84
8. Statistics generated from discriminant analysis of laboratory spectral data.....	86
9. Statistics generated from discriminant analysis of Landsat TM digital data.....	95
10. Classification of known breccia pipes and anomalies in the Hack study area.....	98
11. Landsat TM digital numbers of selected breccia pipes	100
12. Statistics of Landsat TM bands for the Hack study area.....	110
13. Optimum index factor (OIF) of the six Landsat non-thermal bands of the Hack area	112
14. Evaluation of the appearance of known breccia pipes and anomalies on enhanced TM images for the Hack study area	121
15. Evaluation of the appearance of known breccia pipes and anomalies on enhanced TM images for the Hualapai study area.....	132
16. Statistics for airborne geophysical data	165
17. Output DN and percent of areas associated with combinations of input files.....	170

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Index map of northwestern Arizona showing the major structural units, the study areas, and the location of breccia pipes	4
2. General distribution of soil type in the Hack area.....	16
3. General distribution of soil type in the Hualapai area	19
4. Stratigraphy of the Grand Canyon area, Arizona	21
5. Geologic map of the Hack study area	25
6. Geologic map of the Hualapai study area.....	26
7. Schematic cross section of a mineralized breccia pipe.....	31
8. Photograph of a breccia pipe exposed on the north wall of the Little Colorado River Gorge	32
9. A brecciated drill core sample from a breccia pipe.....	34
10. The surface expression of the SBF pipe	34
11. Uranium ore from the Lynx orebody.....	39
12. Pyrite cap from the EZ-1 pipe.....	39
13. Bleached and unbleached samples of the Hermit Shale, Canyon mine.....	41
14. Three possible mechanisms for the transportation and deposition of uranium in breccia pipes.....	47
15. Diagnostic absorption features of the common iron alteration minerals.....	54
16. Laboratory spectra of some common minerals associated with hydrothermal alteration zones.....	56
17. Schematic diagram of a Barringer hand-held reflectance radiometer ..	59
18. Scatter diagram of the first two canonical discriminant functions of field observations.....	67
19. Ternary diagram of TM bands 1, 3, and 7 of field measurements	69

Figure	Page
20. Plot of TM bands versus field reflectance measurements of selected breccia pipes and their background located in the Kaibab Formation.....	70
21. Plot of TM bands versus field reflectance measurements of selected breccia pipes and background located in the Moenkopi Formation	71
22. Laboratory spectra in the VNIR of rocks commonly found in northwestern Arizona.....	75
23. Laboratory VNIR reflectance of samples collected on the surface and background areas of breccia pipes located in the Kaibab Formation.....	78
24. Laboratory VNIR reflectance of samples collected on the surface and background areas of breccia pipes located in the Moenkopi Formation.....	80
25. Laboratory VNIR reflectance spectra of hydrothermally altered and unaltered rocks	82
26. Scatter diagram of the first two discriminant functions of the laboratory data.....	87
27. Ternary diagram of Landsat TM bands 1, 3, and 7 of laboratory data	89
28. Plot of TM band-passes and laboratory reflectance of breccia pipes and background located in the Kaibab Formation	90
29. Plot of TM band-passes versus laboratory reflectance of selected breccia pipes and background located in the Moenkopi Formation	91
30. Scatter diagram of the first two discriminant functions of TM digital data.....	97
31. Plot of TM digital numbers versus TM band-passes of selected breccia pipes and their background located in the Kaibab Formation	101
32. Graph of TM digital numbers versus TM band-passes of selected breccia pipes and their background located in the Moenkopi Formation	102

Figure	Page
33. Color composite image of TM 3 (blue), TM 4 (green), and TM 5 (red) of the Hack area.....	125
34. High-pass filtered image (51 x 51) of Landsat TM 7 of the Hack area.....	127
35. Color composite of TM 2 (blue), TM 4 (green) and TM 7 (red) of northwestern part of the Hack study area.....	129
36. Color composite of TM 2 (blue), TM 4 (green) and TM 7 (red) of the Hualapai study area.....	136
37. Color composite image of PC1 (blue), PC2 (green) and PC3 (red) of Landsat TM bands 2, 4, and 7 of the Hualapai area.....	138
38. Landsat TM and color aerial photographs of DB pipe.....	141
39. (A) Grey-scale image of resistivity.....	151
(B) Resistivity image filtered with a 11 by 11 LPF.....	151
40. (A) Grey-scale image of total field magnetics.....	153
(B) HPF (51 x 51) of total field magnetics image	153
41. (A) Grey-scale image of overburden thickness	155
(B) Overburden thickness image filtered with a combination of two one-dimensional filters comprising of LPF (25 x 11) and HPF (51 x 51).....	155
42. (A) Grey-scale image of VLF-EM.....	157
(B) LPF (11 x 11) of VLF-EM image	157
43. (A) Part of the lower portion of DN histogram of LPF (11 x 11) resistivity image.....	160
(B) Part of the DN histogram of HPF (51 x 51) magnetic image	161
(C) DN histogram of overburden thickness	162
(D) Part of upper portion of DN histogram of LPF (11 x 11) VLF-EM image.....	163

Figure	Page
44. Exploration models created from different combination of of filtered geophysical images	
(A) Model 1 (resistivity, magnetics, overburden thickness, and VLF-EM	167
(B) Model 2 (resistivity, magnetics, and overburden thickness).....	167
(C) Model 3 (resistivity, magnetics, and VLF-EM)	168
(D) Model 4 (resistivity and magnetics)	168
45. (A) Color infrared NHAP photograph of parts of the Hack study area.....	175
(B) PC1 of NHAP photograph.....	176
(C) Color composite of PC1 (blue), PC2 (green), and PC3 (red) of the blue, green and red components of the NHAP photograph.....	177

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The primary goal of this study was to examine how digital image processing techniques applied to remotely sensed data comprised of (1) Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM), (2) airborne geophysics, and (3) an infrared color photograph, could potentially contribute to exploration for mineralized breccia pipes in northwestern Arizona. The geophysical data consisted of apparent resistivity, total field magnetics, overburden thickness, and very low-frequency electromagnetics (VLF-EM). The color infrared photograph was collected as part of the National High Altitude Photography (NHAP) program, coordinated by the U.S. Geological Survey. Digital analysis of the Landsat TM, geophysical and NHAP data was performed using the U.S. Geological Survey's Mini Image Processing System (MIPS) at Flagstaff, Arizona. Two sites in northwestern Arizona, approximately 2100 sq km each, were selected as the study areas for this research.

1.1 Breccia Pipes in Northwestern Arizona

Orebodies developed exclusively in brecciated rock have received and are still receiving much attention from both research and exploration geologists. The reasons are twofold: first, the intrinsic scientific interest associated with their genesis, and second, the relatively high-grade ore they often contain. Some of the significant deposits found in brecciated rock worldwide include: lead and zinc from the Mississippi Valley type deposits, copper-molybdenum in porphyry copper systems, and precious metals in epithermal deposits. The majority of such orebodies developed in brecciated rock are found in igneous rocks; however, significant

numbers are developed in sedimentary and metamorphic rocks.

A mineralized breccia pipe is a cylindrically shaped, more or less vertical orebody containing brecciated rock. A breccia pipe may or may not be mineralized. In this context and subsequent chapters, the usage of breccia pipe is limited to a mineralized pipe unless stated otherwise. Breccia pipes in northwestern Arizona are formed in sedimentary rocks, and are unrelated to any volcanic or intrusive activity. They were formed from the collapse of the overlying sedimentary strata into solution caverns developed in the Redwall Limestone (Bowles, 1965; Gornitz and Kerr, 1970; Wenrich, 1985). Mineralization of the breccia pipes occurred within the interval 200-220 m.y. as determined by U-Pb isotopic analysis (Ludwig et al., 1986). In theory, all areas on the Colorado Plateau underlain by the Redwall Limestone are permissive for the occurrence of mineralized breccia pipe deposits.

Mining for metals in the Grand Canyon area commenced in the 19th Century, and since then U, Cu, Pb, Zn, Au, and Ag have been mined from many deposits (Pierce et al., 1970). Some of the deposits were later recognized as breccia pipes. The main commodity exploited from the breccia pipes has changed from Cu to U since the 1950s. Figure 1 shows the location of past mines, current mines, possible orebodies, and identified breccia pipe mineral occurrences in northwestern Arizona. More than 20 orebodies have been discovered since the late 1970s. At present (1988) there are 2 active mines and 4 others are scheduled to start mining operations soon. Many more breccia pipes and suspected pipes have been mapped by Huntton et al. (1981 and 1982) on the Grand Canyon and adjacent regions, but mining and prospecting in national parks and forests are prohibited by Federal law. An ongoing U.S. Geological Survey breccia pipe exploration program has identified several collapse features on the Hualapai Indian Reservation that may possess the potential

FIG. 1. Index map of northwestern Arizona showing the major structural units, the study areas and breccia pipes. Numbers refer to the following breccia pipe names: (1) Grand Gulch, (2) Savannic, (3) Cunningham, (4) Snyder, (5) Old Bonnie Tunnel, (6) Copper House, (7) Copper Mountain, (8) Parashant*, (9) Chapel*, (10) Ridenour*, (11) Mohawk, (12) Sage, (13) Platinum, (14) Lynx, (15) Rose, (16) SBF, (17) Orphan*, (18) Grandview*, (19) Canyon, (20) New Year, (21) Black Box, (22) Otto, (23) Riverview*, (24) Arizona, (25) Pinenut, (26) Hack 1, (27) Hack 2 & 3, (28) Rim, (29) Kanab South, (30) Kanab North, (31) Pigeon, (32) Hermit, (33) DB, (34) What, (35) EZ-1, (36) EZ-4, (37) EZ-2, (38) Lisa, (39) John, (40) Peace.

* old mines (developed before 1980) from which uranium was mined.